Permit Hearing
Stillman Valley Ranchettes
Item #16

## NOTICE OF PERMIT HEARING OF THE CLEARWATER UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Notice is herby given that the Board of Directors for the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District will conduct a hearing on two Applications for Permits as described below at 1:30 p.m. on Wednesday, November 10, 2021, in the Clearwater UWCD Board Room located at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas, in compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act.

| The hearing | will be con | ducted on the | following | annlication: |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
|             |             |               |           |              |

| Applicant's File<br>Number/Name  | Permit Applicant/Holder and<br>Landowner   | Location of Well/Wells   | Proposed Annual<br>Groundwater Withdrawal<br>Amount & Purpose of Use   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Operating Permit Hearing related to:  N2-20-008P Existing Well  N2-20-009P Existing Well | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC c/o Russell Spillers Texas Veterans Properties LLC 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53 Austin TX 78759 (512) 814-7357 | The proposed permits are for two wells located at:  Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude:30.928700° Longitude: -97.7168527°  Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.928214° Longitude -97.717865°  Completed to the Hosston Layer of the Trinity Aquifer. The wells are equipped with a maximum 2-inch column pipe with a submersible pump rate not to exceed 17 gallons per minute on the 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Road and Firefly Road, Florence, TX | Request for an Operating Permi on an existing well,  Well #1: N2-20-008P for an operating permit authorized to serve 8 homes and not to exceed 2.6 ac-feet/yr or 855,414 gallom per year.  Well #2: N2-20-008P for an operating permit of authorized to serve 7 homes and not to exceed 2.3 ac-feet/yr or 748,487 gallom per year.  Both wells are completed in the Hosston Layer (Lower Layer) of the Trinity Zaquifer to produce groundwater for demostic using shared well agreement. |
| Combination Drilling & Operating Permit N2-21-008P                                       | Zachary & Charlotte Baird<br>c/o William Gamblin P.E.<br>P.O. Box 1292,<br>Salado, TX 76571<br>(512) 484-2033                          | New Well: N2-21-008P  Latitude: 30.872972° Longitude: -97.602789°  Completed to the Hensell Layer of the Trinity Aquifer. The well will be equipped with a maximum 2-inch column pipe with a submersible pump rate not to exceed 30 gallons per minute on the 88.47-acre tract located at 21801 Williamson Road, Salado, TX 76571  | Request for a Drilling and Operating Formit for a new well to produce water for domestic use in a shared well system; serving no more than 8 homes and no more than 22 people.  Proposed annual quantity not to exceed 5.0 acre-feet or 1,657,465 gallons per year total.  |

The Applications for Permit and Permit Amendments, if granted, would authorize the permit holders to operate wells within the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District according to the terms and conditions set forth in the permit. A person wishing to submit a Contested Case Hearing Request who is unable to appear at the hearing on the date and time set forth above must also file a motion for continuance with CUWCD demonstrating good cause for the inability to not appear.

For additional information about this application or the permitting process, or to request information on the legal requirements on what MUST be included for a Contested Case Hearing Request to be valid, please contact CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court (PO Box 1989) Belton, Texas, 76513, 254-933-0120.

ISSUED this 29th day of October 2021 in Belton, Texas, on the recommendation of the General Manager.

I, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that the above NOTICE OF PERMIT HEARING of the Board of Directors of the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District is a true and correct copy of said Notice. I have posted a true and correct copy of said Notice at the District office located in Belton, Texas, and said Notice was posted on October 29, 2021, and remained posted continuously for at least 10 (ten) days immediately preceding the day of said hearing; a true and correct copy of said Notice was furnished to the Bell County Clerk, in which the above-named political subdivision is located.

Dated 10/29/2021

Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District

Dirk Aaron, General Manager

Stillman Valley Ranchettes
CUWCD Executive Summary

### **Staff Report**

# **Application for 2 Combination Drilling/Operating Permits** N2-20-008P, N2-20-009P



Applicant/Owner: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC

c/o Russell Spillers

8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, Austin, TX 78759

### **Location of Wells:**

43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Road and Firefly Road, Florence TX.

N2-20-008P Well #1: Latitude 30.928700°/ Longitude -97.7168527° N2-20-009P Well #2: Latitude 30.928214°/ Longitude -97.717865°

| Proposed Annual             | Proposed Use               | Aquifer:       | Nearest Existing             |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Withdrawal:                 |                            |                | Wells:                       |
|                             | Domestic                   | Hosston Layer  |                              |
| Initial Rate: 20 gpm        |                            | of the Trinity | <b>Well #1:</b> 4 @ 1/4 mile |
| Proposed Rate: 15 gpm       | Well #1 serving 8 homes    | Aquifer        | 13 @ 1/2 mile                |
|                             | (amended to 7 homes), 2.6  | (known as the  |                              |
| Withdrawal/Well:            | ac-ft or 855,414 gallons   | Lower Trinity) | <b>Well #2:</b> 4 @ 1/4 mile |
| Well #1: 2.6 acre/feet/year | per year. Reduced to       |                | 11 @ 1/2 mile                |
| 855,414 gallons reduced to  | 1,738.8 gallons per day or |                |                              |
| 1,738.8 gallons per day or  | 1.95 acre/feet/ year or    |                |                              |
| 1.95 acre/feet/ year or     | 634,662 gallons per year   |                | Note: not all wells are      |
| 634,662 gallons per year    |                            |                | completed in the             |
|                             | Well #2 serving 7 homes,   |                | Hosston Layer of the         |
| Well #2: 2.3 acre/feet/year | 2.3 ac-ft or 748,487       |                | Trinity Aquifer              |
| 748,487 gallons reduced to  | gallons per year. Reduced  |                |                              |
| 1,738.8 gallons per day or  | to 1,738.8 gallons per day |                |                              |
| 1.95 acre/feet/ year or     | or 1.95 acre/feet/ year or |                |                              |
| 634,662 gallons per year    | 634,662 gallons per year   |                |                              |
|                             |                            |                |                              |
| Amended Withdrawal:         | Amended Withdrawal:        |                |                              |
| Combined 3.9 acre/ft/year   | Combined 3.9 acre/ft/year  |                |                              |

#### **General Information**

Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC, on behalf of Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made an application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, for a Combination Drilling/Operating permit to authorize drilling and withdrawal from two proposed wells in the Lower Trinity Aquifer. The application for each well was stated to have a 1½-inch column pipe and now equipped to produce a maximum of 15 gallons per minute. The total tract of land is <u>43.435-acre tract</u> located off Stillman Valley Rd. and Firefly Rd, Florence, TX.

Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC in their initial application was to produce water for beneficial use described as "domestic use" in a proposed annual quantity (for Well #1) of 2.6 acre-feet per year based on an occupancy of 3 people per home x 8 homes thus 855,414 gallons per year and a proposed annual quantity (for Well #2) of 2.3 acre-feet per year per based on an occupancy of 3 people per home x 7 homes thus 748,487 gallons per year. Each well will serve no more than 24 people.

Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC planned to develop 15 separate tracts of land between 2.01 acres and 7.19 acres known as the Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision. Their initial plan was to serve 8 tracts with Well #1 in a shared well agreement between the landowners of each respective 8 lots. Their plan is to serve 7 tracts with Well #2 in a shared well agreement between landowners of each respective 7 lots.

Prior to submitting the permit applications, the applicant worked with Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC (WRGS) to complete a Groundwater Availability Certification (GAC) for Platting by drilling two exempt wells on the large tract for the purpose of the groundwater availability study. The District approved the two exempt wells (based on the 43-plus acre tract size) with provisions necessitated by the GAC as the applicant intends to pursue a rural plat approval with Bell County. If approved the proposed two well system necessitates a permit for production that the wells would then need to be approved under district rules for an operating permit under non-exempt status. Mr. Andrew Worsley, P.G. completed the report on September 24, 2021 (Worsley, 2021a). Worsley (2021a) describes the results of a groundwater availability study, which included a drilling and testing program, intended to meet the requirements of the Bell County Subdivision Regulations dated April 2, 2001. The information provided by Worsley (2021a) included modeling of the proposed production to assess the potential effects on local water levels.

Following consultation with the District GM and Mike Keester, the applicant revised their proposed water demands down to a total of 3.9 acre-ft per year from the two well system and reduced the total proposed lots of 15 to 14 separate tracts. This was stated in a letter dated November 19, 2021 (Worsley, 2021b). Mr. Worsley provided additional information regarding the potential effects of the proposed production. This additional information has been reviewed by the district consultants.

Required Provisions have been discussed with the applicant to install both a meter and observation tube in each respective well, should the board approve the permits. This would ensure compliance by the potential homeowners with the prescribed provisions of a permit that allows shared wells for two or more homes.

If approved the operating permit is renewable annually by CUWCD staff, unless the permittee fails to meet all required reporting, and/or other special agreed upon provisions. The applicant will need to testify that the future homeowners, under their proposed shared well agreement, will comply with district rules and provisions of the permits. The applicant will also need to testify they understand, should conditions of the Lower Trinity Aquifer merit curtailment, that the permit holders must comply in accordance with District Rules and Chapter 36 necessary to meet the DFC under statutory requirements.

CUWCD consulting hydrogeologist, Mike Keester, and Stephanie Wong LRE Water LLC have reviewed the application, referenced the GAC, and conducted the required drawdown analysis per district rules. Keester & Wong have evaluated the applicant's GAC and have offered their opinion

related to the limited ability of the Hosston Layer of the Trinity Aquifer to meet the full merits of the two well system for 14 homes as prescribed in the GAC.

The district assisted the applicant's driller and consultants during the drilling phase to attain a geophysical log during at the completion of drilling and a minimum 24-hour pump test was conducted to assess aquifer transmissivity and storativity (see attached Keester/Wong Geoscience Evaluation).

CUWCD general counsel has reviewed the applications for 2 wells in a shared well agreement by each respective landowner and has advised the District GM on the elements of the applications that the District Board of Directors can deliberate. In addition, they will participate in the public hearing as general counsel to the Board.

This property lies within the Chisholm Trail SUD (City of Georgetown) CCN # 11590 (certificate of convenience and necessity). The applicant has investigated with the City of Georgetown for the possibility of public water supply delivery and <u>will testify</u> that public water is currently either not available or unaffordable, thus the need to pursue groundwater rather than public water supply.

Verification and approval of on-site sewage facilities (OSSF) will be conducted by the Bell County Public Health District – Environmental Health Division (BCPH-EHD) once each tract is sold. Staff will confirm with BCPHD-EHD the well locations and assist them in verifying set-back from the proposed on-site septic systems. The two exempt wells do not have a sanitary seal necessary for encroachment of more than 100 feet of all future OSSFs.

#### **Per Rules 6.9 and 6.10**

In deciding whether or not to issue a permit, the Board must consider the following:

- 1. Does the application contain all the information requested, is the application accurate? Does it meet spacing and production limitations identified by District Rules, and does it conformed to all application requirements which include public notification and accompanied by the prescribed fees? (Rule 6.10.24(a)(b), TWC 36.116(a)(1), TWC 36.113(d)(1) and Rule 6.9.1(b)(1)(2)
  - The application is complete—all requested information has been provided. The application conforms to said rules with all required application fees. In addition, the applicant has met all notification requirements in a proper manner per District Rules.
- 2) Is the proposed use of water dedicated to a beneficial use? (TWC 36.113(d)(3) and District Rule 6.10.24 (d).
  - The water produced from these wells will be used for domestic use and equipped to minimum of 15 gpm/well to provide 7 homes (Well #1) and 7 homes (Well #2) with household water per their GAC Report (Worsley, 2021a,b). This does qualify as a beneficial use under district rules and chapter 36 and appears to not exceed the number of beneficial connections under TCEQ designation of a public water supply.
- 3) Has the applicant agreed to avoid waste and achieve water conservation? (TWC 36.113(d)(6) and Rule 6.10.24(f)

The applicant has agreed to testify that efforts avoid waste and achieve water conservation. Testimony should address the process for how the applicants intends to memorialize the shared well agreements including but not limited to deed restrictions

and/or an HOA agreement or notes and limits on a future plat. The applicant should testify that by signing the application form the applicant agrees to stating compliance with the District's Management Plan.

Applicant should testify to the importance of water conservation measures in the plat dedications & notes that options for outside water conservation are very limited and vital to the sustainability of the aquifer. The District hopes that the applicant states in testimony they do not intend future homeowners to utilize the groundwater for extensive landscape purposes. These assurances should lay out guidance for conservation in HOA bylaws, and deed restrictions.

4) Has the applicant agreed that reasonable diligence will be used to protect groundwater quality and that the applicant will follow well plugging guidelines at the time of well closure? (TWC 36.113(d)(7) and Rule 6.10.24(g))

The applicant has agreed (by signing the application form) and should offer testimony that if either of the 2 wells deteriorate over time or be damaged in such a way that the well is inoperable that state law and district rules require such a well to be plugged before a replacement well can be drilled.

5) Will the proposed water well comply with spacing and production limitations identified in our rules? (TWC 36.116(a)(1) and Rule 6.10.24(b)) and Rule 9.5.2

The two proposed wells will have a column pipe size not to exceed 1½ inch. Based on this column pipe size, a minimum size tract of 2 acres is required, with a 100-foot spacing requirement from other wells. The applicant must testify that the encumbrance of the proposed 7 tracts assist in meeting the minimum tract size. The 50-foot setback requirement from adjacent property lines has been met for each of the proposed wells in the proposed "<u>Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision</u>" which includes the new property lines. District Rule 9.5 Spacing Requirements will be adhered to.

The District rules do not impose production limitations other than those determined applicable in the review of the today's permit request, or to prevent unacceptable level of decline in water quality of the aquifer, or as may be necessary to prevent waste and achieve water conservation, minimize as far as practicable the drawdown of the water table or the reduction of artesian pressure, lessen interference between wells, or control and prevent subsidence. These issues are considered in Items 6 & 7 below and with staff recommendations to address potential concerns of adjacent property owners.

6) Will the proposed use of water unreasonably affect existing groundwater and surface water resources or existing permit holders?

Based upon available information, there are the following number of wells as defined:

Well #1: 4 wells within 1/4 mile and 13 wells within 1/2 mile Well #2: 4 wells within 1/4 mile and 11 wells within 1/2 mile

**Total:** 4 wells within 1/4 mile and 13 wells within 1/2 mile

All the wells, except for one non-exempt well, are listed as exempt in our database. Only one of the referenced wells is completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer. <u>N1-18-004P</u> (1,386 feet from Well #1 and 1,185 feet from Well #2) and is a non-exempt well for domestic use.

Mike Keester & Stephanie Wong, Hydrogeologists, LRE Water LLC, have reviewed the application and have determined anticipated drawdown and have provided the attached MK/SW reports for each well, per their conclusions and recommendations stating that the proposed wells and combined permitted amount of 3.9 acre-feet/year could diminish the ability of other aquifer users to produce water for a beneficial use from the Lower Trinity Aquifer known as the Lower Trinity. Keester will also offer testimony to the limited ability of the Hosston layer of the aquifer to provide long-term needs as prescribed in the requirements of GAC per TCEQ along with the limited groundwater availability from the shallow Hensell layer of the aquifer.

Additionally, the District, to the extent possible, must issue permits up to the point the total volume of exempt and permitted groundwater production will achieve the applicable Desired Future Condition (DFC) per TWC 36.1132(a)(b) and Rule 6.10.25(a)(b)(c)(d)(e).

7) The proposed use of water is consistent with the District's Groundwater Water Management Plan related to the approved DFC and the defined available groundwater for permitting.

The District's Management Plan reflects a groundwater availability figure in the Lower Trinity Aquifer of 7193 ac-ft/year Modeled Available Groundwater (then reserve 178 ac-ft/year for exempt well use) thus 7,015 ac-ft/year is the Managed Available Groundwater for permitting.

The Board, per the District Management Plan, has evaluated groundwater available for permitting the three Layer of the Trinity Aquifer and most recently evaluated the available groundwater for permitting (consistent with the management plan as stated on pages 9-10).

The requested permit amount relative to the modeled available groundwater MAG determined by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) based on the desired future conditions (DFCs) established by the District for the Lower Trinity Aquifer was set by CUWCD based on drawdown of 330 feet for the next 60 years. These drawdowns were approved by the board in January 2019. To achieve this DFC, the TWDB used a model that indicated the MAG was equal to 7193 acre-feet per year from the Hosston Layer (Lower) Trinity Aquifer.

A summary of YTD 2021 permit production, HEUP & OP Permit Analysis, pending applications and \*Exempt Well Reservations for the Trinity Aquifer, per District Report illustrates current Lower Trinity permits total 4150.78 acre feet/year thus available for permitting is 2,864.22 acre feet/year. (see attached Trinity Aquifer Status Report, November 2021).

8) The Modeled Available Groundwater calculations determined by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board.

Refer to #7 above. The modeled available groundwater will not be exceeded by granting this permit. (see attached Trinity Aquifer Status Report, November 2021).

9) The Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board's estimate of the current and projected amount of groundwater produced under the exemptions in District Rule 8.3.

Refer to #7 above. Reservation of Modeled available groundwater for <u>exempt well</u> use will not be exceeded by granting this permit. 178 ac-ft/year vs 53 ac-ft estimated to be used annually in the Lower Trinity. (see 2020 district exempt use report)

10) The amount of groundwater authorized under permits previously issued by the District.

Refer to #7 above. Existing permits do not exceed the managed available groundwater (modeled available groundwater – exempt well use = Managed available groundwater) for the Lower Trinity Aquifer 7,015 ac-ft per year.

11) A reasonable estimate of the amount of groundwater that is produced under permits issued by the District is determined.

The total permitted amounts for wells in the Lower Trinity Aquifer in 2020 was 3914.04 acre-feet and the actual production in 2020 was 1119.97 acre-feet (28.6%) of permitted amount. (Figures are based upon monthly production reports submitted to Clearwater by the permit holders in 2020).

12) Yearly precipitation and production patterns.

Clearwater is currently in no drought management stage based on the PDI system (average running total annual rainfall) over the Aquifer in the District, is currently at 35.982 inches of rain received in the last 365 days (11/30/2021) thus 109.03% of annual expected rainfall of 33 inches. The Lower Trinity permit holders in all of 2020 have used only 27.45% of total permitted amounts in the Lower Trinity Aquifer. Permit holders did not exceed their total permitted amounts in 2020. The gravity of the drought of 2011-2013, 2018 and again in summer of 2020 necessitated the need for all permit applications to be evaluated based on conservative needs and usage that is not contradicted by the current voluntary drought contingency plan stage.

#### **Conclusions:**

• CUWCD well records indicate that 1 existing well (Lower Trinity) N1-18-004P is located within a ½-mile radius of Well #2 and 1 existing well (Lower Trinity) N1-18-004P within ½ of Well #1. This well is listed as non-exempt with confirmed depth in the Lower Trinity Aquifer by the District geoscience community.

- Worsley submitted an amended amount in the initial application that a reduction in the proposed groundwater production from the original study (Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021) is warranted and that now they intend to request a plat for 14 lots instead of 15. This reduction includes a reduction to 90 gallons per capita per day instead of 106 gallons which results in a total combined production of 3.9 ac-ft/year or 1,270,819 gallons per year.
  - \*District notes that the original application requested a collective amount of  $\underline{4.9 \text{ ac-feet/year}}$  or  $\underline{1,596,670 \text{ gallons/year.}}$
  - \*\*For the record this pumping amount is substantially less than the allowed production of <u>one exempt well</u> under Chapter 36 and District rules. Exempt well owners are allowed to produce at the maximum rate of 17 gallons per minute (or 25,000 gallons per day) for 365 days equaling <u>28 ac-ft/year</u> on tracts of land 10 acres or more.
- The long-term pumping effects from the proposed two wells at the requested pumping amount are more than negligible at the nearest existing Lower Trinity well. The combined effects from many wells across the District with relatively small pumping rates can have a noticeable long-term effect on aquifer water levels per Keester/Wong's review. Area hydrogeologic conditions along with the additional drawdown could diminish the ability of aquifer users to produce water for a beneficial use. (see attached Keester/Wong's Report)

#### **Recommendations:**

- 1) Receive testimony from the District Consultants, prior to deliberation, that the requirements of the TCEQ-GAC and the merits of the long-term assessment prescribed by the TCEQ-GAC are reasonable or not reasonable and that the District General Manager can testify to those as such when the Bell County Commissioners Court request said opinion.
- 2) Receive testimony from the applicant for the Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC assurances, if the permits are approved that the following permit conditions are:
  - a) That the buyers are made aware that the water produced from the wells is not safe for human consumption unless treated.
  - b) That the buyers are made aware that an alternative source of water may be necessary in less that 20 years.
  - c) All "N2" operating permits require that the wells be equipped with a meter for monthly reporting.
  - d) Each well is to serve no more than 7 homes and 24 people under the discussed shared well agreements thus permitted amounts meet the anticipated needs of the future homeowners.
  - e) Groundwater ownership for the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision owned by Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC described in the "Special Warranty Deed" reservation from conveyance of the groundwater rights to each respective Lot.
  - f) Assure the District that no cross connections for the purpose of having an aggregated system for the subdivision will exist.
  - g) Assure the District that the applicant will install the prescribed meter at each well head for the purpose of reporting monthly production of groundwater.

- f) Assure the District that the pump installer will install a measuring tube alongside the column pipe to allow for measurement of the water level using an e-line or other direct measurement method.
- g) Assure the District will have access for the purpose of assessing actual changes in water levels due to pumping from each of the proposed operating permits.
- h) Assure the District will have access for the purpose of water quality screening/testing the groundwater from each well on no less than an annual base.

#### Attachments are as follows:

| Keester/Wong, PG Supplement Report            | 12/02/2021   |
|---|--------------|
| CUWCD Trinity Aquifer Status Report           | 11/12/2021   |
| CUWCD 2020 Exempt Well Estimate of Use Report | 12/31/2020   |
| CUWCD Site Map                                | See Attached |
| Applications, fees and Notification Affidavit | See Attached |

| _                                | FC Analysis Ove<br>(2000-Preser<br>Andeled Available Grou | nt)                  |                             | nd OP Pern<br>to the Model<br>Groundwate |   | <u>Total</u><br>Jan<br>1500.6      | 1 YTD<br>Prod.<br>- Oct<br>52 Ac-ft<br>56% |   | nding<br>cations                               | <u>Exempt</u>                        | t Well Rese   | ervations                             |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Trinity<br>Aquifer<br>(by layer) | DFC Adopted * Average Drawdown (by layer)                 | MAG ** Ac-ft Current | HEUP<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer) | OP<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer)                | Total<br>Permitted<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer) | 2020<br>YTD<br>Prod.<br>(by layer) | 2021<br>YTD<br>Prod.<br>(by layer)         | Available<br>for<br>Permitting<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer) | Pending<br>Applications<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer) | Exempt Well Reserve Ac-ft (by layer) | 2020<br>Exempt<br>Well Use<br>Estimate<br>Ac-ft<br>(by layer) | Available Exempt Use Ac-ft (by layer) |
| Pawluxy                          | NA  | 0                    | 0                           | 0  | 0   | 0                                  | 0  | 0   | 0  |                                      |   | 0                                     |
| Glen Rose<br>(upper)             | -1.38 ft/yr<br>-83 ft/60 yrs                              | 974                  | 61.9                        | 71.28                                    | 133.18                                    | 25.85                              | 17.20                                      | 147.82  | 0  | 693                                  | 194   | 499                                   |
| Hensell<br>(middle)              | -2.28 ft/yr<br>-137 ft/60 yrs                             | 1099                 | 259.3                       | 211.25                                   | 470.55                                    | 93.69                              | 57.46                                      | 80.45   | 0  | 548                                  | 524   | 24                                    |
| Hosston<br>(lower)               | <b>-5.50 ft/yr</b><br>-330 ft/60 yrs                      | 7193                 | 1181.4                      | 2969.38                                  | 4150.78                                   | 1119.97                            | 1425.98                                    | 2864.22   | 0  | 178                                  | 53  | 125                                   |
| Total                            |   | 9266                 | 1502.6                      | 3251.91                                  | 4754.51                                   | 1239.50<br>(27.45%)                | 1500.62<br>(31.56%)                        | 3092.49   | 0  | 1419                                 | 771   | 648                                   |

<sup>\*</sup>Desired Future Conditions (DFC) is the description of how the aquifer should look in the future (60 years).

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Modeled Available Groundwater (MAG) is the estimated amount of water available for permitting assigned to Clearwater UWCD by the Executive Administrator of TWDB.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Pending applications

Stillman Valley Ranchettes

LRE Water LLC Hydrogeologic Review



#### **Technical Memorandum**

To: Dirk Aaron, General Manager –

Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District

From:

Michael R. Keester, P.G. and Stephanie Wong, Ph.D.

Date: December 3, 2021

Subject: Hydrogeologic Review of the Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC

Applications for Two Non-Exempt Wells Classification 2 Completed

in the Lower Trinity Aquifer

This technical memorandum provides information related to our review of the permit applications submitted by Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC. These are for two wells as follows:

Proposed Well IDs: N2-20-008P and N2-20-009P

• Well Names: Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well #1 and #2

• Tract Size: 44.7 acres (Bell CAD Property ID: 15092, 79.8 acres)

• Column Pipe Size: Unspecified

• Aquifer: Hosston Layer of the Trinity Aquifer (Lower Trinity)

- Proposed Annual Production:
  - o Requested amounts:
    - Well # 1 2.3 Acre-Feet per Year
    - Well # 1 2.6 Acre-Feet per Year
  - Subsequent discussion of a combined total of 3.9 Acre-Feet per Year (1,738.8 gallons per day per well)
- Proposed Instantaneous Pumping Rate:
  - o Requested amount: 20 Gallons per Minute per well
  - Subsequent discussion: 15 Gallons per Minute per well

Prior to submitting the permit applications, the applicant worked with Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC (WRGS) to complete a Groundwater Availability Certification for Platting. Mr. Andrew Worsley, P.G. completed the report on September 24, 2021 (Worsley, 2021a). Worsley (2021a) describes the results of a groundwater availability study, which included a drilling and testing program, intended to meet the requirements of the Bell County Subdivision Regulations dated April 2, 2001. The Bell County Subdivision Regulations state that the certification is required to demonstrate "that adequate groundwater is available for the subdivision ... [and] lack of certification

that suitable and adequate groundwater is available is grounds for denial of plat approval."

The full requirements for certification of groundwater availability are defined in 30 TAC §230. In general, as part of the certification process a Texas licensed professional engineer or Texas licensed professional geoscientist must:

- 1. Assess the projected water demands for the proposed subdivision
- 2. Assess the general groundwater resources beneath the proposed subdivision
- 3. Obtain site-specific groundwater data through a drilling and testing program, including:
  - a. Aquifer hydraulic properties
  - b. Local groundwater quality
- 4. Determine if the groundwater is of sufficient quantity and quality to meet the intended use

When considering groundwater availability in relation to the Bell County Subdivision Regulations, the key questions are:

- 1. Is the local groundwater **suitable** for the intended use?
- 2. Is there adequate local groundwater for the intended use?

The first question suggests an assessment of the quality of the local groundwater for use within the homes to be constructed. The second questions focuses on the quantity of groundwater and for how long that groundwater will be available to meet the needs of future homeowners. The purpose of the certification of groundwater availability as defined in 30 TAC §230 is gather the information necessary to answer these questions and to provide a professional opinion certifying to the public that there is sufficient groundwater available to meet their needs and investment expectations.

Worsley (2021a) provides information meeting the requirements of 30 TAC §230 including modeling of the proposed production to assess the potential effects on local water levels. Following consultation with the District, the applicant revised their proposed water demands down to a total of 3.9 acre-feet per year from the two wells with an instantaneous rate of 15 gallons per minute (gpm) and, in a letter dated November 19, 2021 (Worsley, 2021b), Mr. Worsley provided additional information regarding the potential effects of the proposed production. Our analyses focus on the reduced production amount presented in the November 19, 2021 letter report.



Worsley (2021a) analyzed data collected during an aquifer test using the two wells completed on the property to draw water from the Lower Trinity Aquifer. Worsley (2021a) reported the calculated transmissivity of the Lower Trinity Aquifer to be 11.59 feet squared per day (ft²/d) based on data collected from the pumping well. A transmissivity value is essentially a measure of how easily water can move through an aquifer. The transmissivity value from the testing is lower than was expected based on previously existing data. For comparison, the transmissivity values from the groundwater availability model (GAM) datasets¹ indicate a transmissivity of more than 1,200 ft²/d which is more than 100 times greater than the value from the aquifer testing analysis.

During the aquifer test, water levels were also collected in an observation well located 376 feet from the pumping well. Based on data collected from the observation well, Worsley (2021a) reported the calculated aquifer transmissivity to be 10.88 ft²/d with a storage coefficient of 0.0000207. Worsley (2021a) conducted both analyses using the Cooper-Jacob method which is an approximation of the Theis equation². A key limitation of the Cooper-Jacob method is that it is only applicable when one of the coefficients is less than 0.01³. Review of reported results indicates this coefficient is greater than 0.06 suggesting the Cooper-Jacob method is not applicable.

Directly using the Theis equation to match a theoretical curve with the observed water-level data to determine the transmissivity (T) and storage coefficient (S) is still applicable. Figure 1 illustrates our Theis curve match with the measured water-level data from the observation well. Based on our analysis the local transmissivity is slightly lower than reported and the storage coefficient is slightly higher than reported. However, the results from all of the analyses indicate the transmissivity and storage coefficient are low which will limit groundwater production. With the general agreement in low aquifer hydraulic property coefficients, for consistency with potential impact estimates provided by Worsley (2021b) we also used the aquifer hydraulic property coefficients he reported in our analyses and compared those results when necessary

 $<sup>^3</sup>$   $u = r^2S/4Tt$  — After determination of T and S the values should be used in the equation to determine if u < 0.01 which is the key condition for the applicability of the Cooper-Jacob method (Batu, V., 1988, Aquifer Hydraulics: A Comprehensive Guide to Hydrogeologic Data Analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.)



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Groundwater availability model (GAM) datasets include the Northern Trinity/Woodbine GAM (for the Middle Trinity Aquifer) and the modified Northern Trinity/Woodbine GAM (for the Lower Trinity Aquifer).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Theis, C.V., 1935, The Relation Between the Lowering of the Piezometric Surface and the Rate and Duration of Discharge of a Well Using Ground-Water Storage: American Geophysical Union Transactions, v. 16, p. 519-524.

with the estimated impacts using the values calculated using the Theis analysis (Figure 1).

The potential effects of the proposed production on local water levels in the aquifer are calculated using the Theis equation which relates water-level decline (that is, drawdown) to the pumping rate of a well and properties of the aquifer. While the equation does not account for aquifer conditions which may affect the calculation of long-term water level declines (for example: aquifer recharge, faulting, or changes in aquifer structure), it does provide a very good, reliable, and straightforward method for estimating relatively short-term drawdown in and near a well due to pumping. As the duration of pumping and distance from the well increase, the uncertainty in the calculated drawdown also increases.




Figure 1. Theis curve match of the data collected from the observation well during the aquifer test.

Currently there are two wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer within one mile of the applicant's wells. Well N1-18-004P is 0.24 miles and well N2-20-004P is 0.87 miles away from the applicant's wells, respectively (see Figure 2). Table 1 presents the range in calculated drawdown, using the reported aquifer hydraulic property coefficients



(Worsley, 2021a), at the applicant's wells and other Lower Trinity wells within one mile of the applicant wells. For 1-Day Drawdown, we applied the proposed instantaneous pumping rate for a period of 24 hours. For 30-Day Drawdown, we assumed peak pumping during the summer of about 15 percent more than the average monthly amount (that is, the proposed annual production rate divided by 12 then multiplied by 1.15). For 1-Year Drawdown, we used the proposed annual production amount.




Figure 2. Location of applicant's and nearby wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer.



Table 1. Predicted drawdown at the proposed Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC Lower Trinity wells and nearby wells within one (1) mile of the applicant wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer.

| Well Name                           | 1-Day<br>Drawdown (feet) | 30-Day Drawdown<br>(feet) | 1-Year Drawdown<br>(feet) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Proposed Wells                      | 279 (175.61*)            | 33                        | 38                        |
| Justin Scott Well<br>(N1-18-004P)   | 8                        | 12                        | 24                        |
| Hines Texas, LLC #3<br>(N2-20-004P) | 0                        | 6                         | 19                        |

<sup>\*</sup> Observed drawdown after 24 hours during the aguifer test.

At the proposed production of 1,738.8 gallons per day (gpd) per well, the predicted drawdown at each applicant's well location is about 38 feet after one year with about 20 feet of interference drawdown from each well upon the other. Using the combined production from the wells of 3.9 acre-feet per year, the predicted drawdown after one year of the proposed production is about 24 feet at Well N1-18-004P and at Well N2-20-004P is about 19 feet. To reduce the predicted drawdown at the nearest well (N1-18-004P) to less than one foot (referred to as "negligible" in previous analyses), production from the from the applicant's wells would need to be about 145 gallons per day which is insufficient for the proposed use.

According the District's virtual bore tool, the Lower Trinity Aquifer (that is, the Hosston Formation) is about 771 feet below ground level (BGL) and 91 feet thick at Well #1 (see Figure 3) and about 778 feet BGL and 124 feet thick at Well #2 (see Figure 4). According to Worsley (2021a), the Lower Trinity Aquifer (the Sligo/Hosston Formation) is about 676 feet BGL and 92 feet thick at Well #1 and about 676 feet BGL and 104 feet thick at Well #2. Review of the geophysical logs for the two wells suggests that the aquifer is shallower than the virtual bore suggests. The new data will be incorporated into future versions of the virtual bore.

With the shallower depth to the top of the formation, the available drawdown in the well is also reduced. Available drawdown is the height of water in the well above the point at which the anticipated production could no longer be maintained (that is, the minimum pumping water level). For the two wells, we calculated the available drawdown as the difference between the reported static water level and the top of the screen interval. While it is possible to draw the water down into the screen interval, the ability to maintain production may be diminished when this occurs.






Figure 3. Estimated depth to the formations at the applicant's well location for Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well #1.






Figure 4. Estimated depth to the formations at the applicant's well location for Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well #2.

Adding the 1-year predicted drawdown and the drawdown that occurs each time the well starts pumping at the instantaneous rate (that is, instantaneous drawdown), we can estimate the pumping water level in the well after one year of operation. Incorporating the observed regional water level trend, we can then estimate how many years before the water level reaches the minimum pumping water level after which time production capacity may diminish. That is, we are able to estimate the years of available groundwater for the proposed development.

As indicated in Table 2, under the best-case scenario we estimate there are about 28 years of groundwater available for the proposed development assuming a regional water-level decline trend of 7 feet per year and the minimum pumping water level of 720 feet below ground level at Well #1. However, the water-level decline trend at the nearby N1-18-004P well is 7.8 feet per year suggesting the best-case scenario is unlikely based on available information. If the regional water-level decline trend increases or the groundwater use is more than projected, the duration of groundwater availability for the proposed subdivision may decrease. In addition, at the aquifer hydraulic property



coefficients determined from the Theis analysis, the best case scenario groundwater availability decreases to 27 years.

Table 2. Estimated years of Lower Trinity Aquifer groundwater available to the applicant's wells based on available information.

| Parameter                          | Well 1                | Well 2                |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Static Water Level                 | 367 feet BGL          | 373 feet BGL          |
| Top of Screen                      | 720 feet BGL          | 700 feet BGL          |
| Minimum Pumping Water Level        | 720 feet BGL          | 700 feet BGL          |
| Current Available Drawdown         | 353 feet              | 327 feet              |
| 1-Year Drawdown*                   | 58 feet               | 58 feet               |
| Instantaneous Drawdown*            | 102 feet              | 102 feet              |
| 1-Year Pumping Water Level         | 527 feet BGL          | 533 feet BGL          |
| 1-Year Available Drawdown          | 193 feet              | 167 feet              |
| Approx. Annual Water-Level Decline | 7 to 10 feet per year | 7 to 10 feet per year |
| Approx. Groundwater Availability   | 19 to 28 years        | 17 to 24 years        |

<sup>\*</sup>includes drawdown at the well, interference drawdown from the other production well, and negative boundary effects.

At the two nearby Lower Trinity wells, based on the currently available information we expect aquifer water levels to remain above the minimum pumping level for about 22 years (see Table 3). This estimate assumes each well will produce 5 gallons per minute (instantaneous) and 0.5 acre-feet per year (long term), and that water levels should not decline below the top of the screen. Based on the available information, the estimated groundwater availability would increase by about two years with no additional pumping.

Table 3. Estimated years of Lower Trinity Aquifer groundwater available to wells within one mile of the applicant's wells based on available information.

| Parameter                          | Justin Scott Well<br>(N1-18-004P) | Hines Texas, LLC #3<br>(N2-20-004P) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Distance From Applicant Wells      | 0.24 miles                        | 0.87 miles                          |
| Static Water Level                 | 371 feet BGL                      | 385 feet BGL                        |
| Top of Screen                      | 645 feet BGL                      | 650 feet BGL                        |
| Minimum Pumping Water Level        | 645 feet BGL                      | 650 feet BGL                        |
| Current Available Drawdown         | 269 feet                          | 265 feet                            |
| 1-Year Drawdown*                   | 29 feet                           | 27 feet                             |
| Instantaneous Drawdown*            | 72 feet                           | 72 feet                             |
| 1-Year Pumping Water Level         | 472 feet BGL                      | 484 feet BGL                        |
| 1-Year Available Drawdown          | 173 feet                          | 166 feet                            |
| Approx. Annual Water-Level Decline | 8 feet per year                   | 7 feet per year                     |
| Approx. Groundwater Availability   | 22 years                          | 22 years                            |

<sup>\*</sup>includes drawdown at the well, interference drawdown from the other production well, and negative boundary effects.



Worsley (2021a) provided a water quality analysis report for a sample collected from Well #2. Four constituents exceed public drinking water standards; three constituent concentrations exceed the secondary standards and one constituent concentration exceeds the primary standard. Regarding the secondary maximum contaminant level exceedances, the total dissolved solids concentration of 1,150 milligrams per liter (mg/L) exceeds the standard of 1,000 mg/L, chloride concentration of 370 mg/L exceeds the standard of 300 mg/L, and pH of 8.64 exceeds the standard of 8.5. These exceedances are not a hazard to health but can affect the aesthetic quality (such as taste or odor) of the water. However, the fluoride concentration of 6.41 mg/L exceeds the primary maximum contaminant level of 4.0 mg/L. Primary drinking water standards are set to protect public health and water with constituents exceeding these standards is not safe for human consumption. Treatment of the groundwater produced from the two wells is recommended prior to consumption.

#### **Conclusions**

The Lower Trinity Aquifer beneath the proposed subdivision is shallower than estimated based on previously existing data. In addition, the transmissivity of the aquifer is more than 100 times less than previously existing data indicated. The results of the drilling and testing program further illustrate the importance of obtaining site-specific hydrogeologic data to assess long-term groundwater availability.

Based on the site-specific data, essentially any production from the proposed wells has a predicted non-negligible (greater than one foot) impact on the nearest existing well completed in the same aquifer. The predicted drawdown at the nearest existing well with the proposed production of 3.9 acre-feet per year is about 24 feet. To reduce the predicted drawdown to less the one foot would require a reduction in the proposed production to a total of about 145 gallons per day for the 14 lots which would be the equivalent of about 4 gallons per person per day.

Based on the projected drawdown and the trend in water level decline for the area, we estimate less than 30 years of groundwater availability at the site with the proposed production. If the regional water-level decline trend increases or the groundwater use is more than projected, the duration of groundwater availability for the proposed subdivision may decrease. Based on the site-specific hydraulic data and area water-level decline trend in the Lower Trinity Aquifer, it does not appear that there is adequate groundwater available from the Lower Trinity to supply the anticipated use of the proposed subdivision.



The quality of the groundwater produced from the well completed at the site does not meet public drinking water standards. As these wells will not be designated as part of a public water supply system, there is no requirement to treat the water prior to use. However, future users of the water should be aware of the health risks associated with the fluoride levels above the primary maximum contaminant level.

If the Board approves the permits, being "N2" permitted wells, we are aware the applicant will be required to have a meter on the discharge pipe and a water-level monitoring tube installed in each well. We recommend the Board also carefully consider the duration of groundwater availability in the area. In particular, whether the proposed use of water does or does not unreasonably affect existing groundwater resources or existing permit holders.

Regarding the certification of groundwater availability, based on currently available information the local hydrogeologic conditions do not appear sufficient to provide suitable and adequate groundwater to the proposed subdivision.

If you have any questions regarding our review, please let us know.

### **Geoscientist Seal**

This report documents the work of the following licensed professional geoscientist with LRE Water, LLC, a licensed professional geoscientist firm in the State of Texas (License No. 50516).

Michael R. Keester, P.G.

Senior Project Manager | Hydrogeologist

#### References

Worsley, A., 2021a, Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision Groundwater Availability Certification for Platting: Bell County, Texas. Report of Findings: WRGS 21-016, 84 p.

Worsley, A., 2021b, CUWCD Letter - Stillman Valley Ranchettes. 7 p.



Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well #1: N2-20-008P Application & Notification



### APPLICATION FOR NON-EXEMPT WELL **CLASSIFICATION 2**

Phone: 254933-0120 Fax: 254933-8396
Every drop counts!

A NON-EXEMPT WELL, CLASSIFICATION 2 is a well that satisfies the following conditions:

N2-20-008P

1) A water well used for purposes other than domestic, livestock or poultry; or 2) A water well that is drilled, equipped or completed so that it is capable of producing more than 25,000 gallons/day.

|    | ck one of the following:   | •  |  |  |             |
|----|--|--|--|--|-------------|
|    | O DRILLING PERMIT  | •  | ING PERMIT   | OPERMIT AMENDMENT  |             |
|    | (Complete Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7)   |  | Sections 1, 5 & 7;<br>ions 2, 3, & 4 if  | Modify Dilling Permit (Complete Sections 1,2,3,4 & 3   | 7)          |
|    | New Well   | different fro  | om Drilling Permit)  | Modify Operating Permit  |             |
|    | Replacement Well   | <b>✓</b> Water to Re   | emain in District  | (Complete Sections 1,5 & 7)  |             |
| _  |  | Water to be  | Exported Outside District*   | Change in Well Ownership (Complete Sections 1 & 7)   |             |
|    | An application for an <b>Operating Permit</b> must be days of completing a new well, or reworking/re-existing well.  A <b>Hydrogeological Report</b> is required for 1) Operapplications requesting an annual maximum permit or than 37 acre-feet; or 2) amendments to increor production capacity of a public water supply, in commercial, industrial, agricultural or irrigation woutside casing diameter greater than 6 5/8 inchest District Rule 6.9.2.  *Requests to export water outside the District must complete Section 7.   | quipping an erating Permit nitted use of case production nunicipal, vell with an as discussed in   | State of Texas We within 60 days of NEW Rer District Water Quality As Installer and/or W screen test, samp Installer or Drille days of the well of purge the well is pump permanent!   | Rule 9.3 and State Law TDLR all all Reports are due to the District well completion.  Rule 9.3 at completion of all we sessment is required by the Pump //ell Driller. District Staff will provide bottles, and coordinate with Pumr to retrieve the sample within 45 completion. Temporary pump to required should the well not have y installed in first 45 days. This reperating permits 37 ac. ft. or less   | de<br>p     |
| 1. | Owner Information  |  |  |  |             |
|    | Note: If well owner is different from pro  | perty owner, pi  | rovide documentation from  | n property owner authorizing we  | ell .       |
|    | construction and operation.  Well Owner: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LI   | LC Email: Ru   | ssellSpillers@amail.com :r.at.   | mbana Na : 512-814-7357  |             |
|    |  |  |  |  |             |
|    | Address: 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53 (Street or P.O. Box)  |  | Austin (City)  | TX 78759<br>(State) (Zip Code)   |             |
|    | Contact Person (if other than owner):  |  | • •  | ·  |             |
|    |  |  | Telephol   |  |             |
|    |  |  |  | C  |             |
|    | If ownership of well has changed, name of  | previous owner   |  | State Well No  |             |
| 2. | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca Owner of property (if different from well ov  | ıtion  |  |  |             |
| 2. | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca<br>Owner of property (if different from well ov   | ntion<br>vner):  |  |  |             |
| 2. | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca   | ntion<br>vner):  |  |  |             |
| 2. | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca<br>Owner of property (if different from well ov   | ttion<br>wher):<br>; S(N,S,E,W)  | of Killeen<br>(Nearest City or T   | on Stillman Valley and Firefl<br>(Name of Road)  | y Rd        |
|    | Property Location & Proposed Well Locatowner of property (if different from well over the Property is located 6.5 (Number) miles   | when the second states and the second states are second states as a second state and the second states are second states | of Killeen (Nearest City or T Latitude: 30.9287  available) be used for each purpose: estock/Poultry: ublic Supply;  | on Stillman Valley and Firefit (Name of Road)  Longitude: -97.71685  Agricultural/Irrigation: Other.   | y Rd<br>527 |
|    | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca Owner of property (if different from well ov Property is located 6.5 miles (Number)  Acreage: 43.435 Bell CAD Property ID  Well Description (Submit if State of Texas a. Proposed use of well and estimated amo YES *Domestic; Industrial; *Total number of houses to be serviced **Notice is required of any application t provide water or wastewater service will  b. Estimated distance from nearest:(feet)  110 N/S Property Line; 500 River, Stream or Lake;  | when the second states and the second states are second states as a second state and the second states are second states as a second state and the second states are second states as a second state are second states as a second state are second states as a second state are second states are second states as a second state are second states are second st | of Killeen (Nearest City or T Latitude: 30.9287  available) be used for each purpose: stock/Poultry: ublic Supply; obtain or modify a Certifical pursuant to the requested pur | on Stillman Valley and Firefit (Name of Road)  Longitude: -97.71685  Agricultural/Irrigation: Other.   | y Rd        |
|    | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca Owner of property (if different from well ov Property is located 6.5 miles (Number)  Acreage: 43.435 Bell CAD Property ID  Well Description (Submit if State of Texas a. Proposed use of well and estimated amo YES *Domestic; Industrial; *Total number of houses to be serviced **Notice is required of any application t provide water or wastewater service will  b. Estimated distance from nearest:(feet)  110 N/S Property Line; 500 River, Stream or Lake;  | when the second state of t | of Killeen (Nearest City or T Latitude: 30.9287  available) be used for each purpose: stock/Poultry: ublic Supply; obtain or modify a Certifical pursuant to the requested pur | on Stillman Valley and Firefit (Name of Road)  Longitude: -97.71685  Agricultural/Irrigation: Other.  te of Convenience and Necessity to the strength of the s | y Rd        |
|    | Property Location & Proposed Well Loca Owner of property (if different from well over the content of property) (if different from well over the content of property) (if different from well over the content of property) (if different from well over the content of property) (if different from the content of | when the second states and the second states are second states as a second state and the second states are second states as a second state are second states | of Killeen  (Nearest City or T  Latitude: 30.9287  available) be used for each purpose: stock/Poultry: ublic Supply;  obtain or modify a Certifical pursuant to the requested  | on Stillman Valley and Firefly (Name of Road)  Longitude: -97.71685  Agricultural/Irrigation: Other.  te of Convenience and Necessity to bermit.  Existing Septic Leach Field: Livestock Enclosure; petroleum storage tank, etc.)  ding: O Yes O No  well aggregate system? O Yes O  | y Rd        |

NOTE: If this is a replacement well, indicate location of well that is being replaced and distance from the proposed well. Abandoned well must be properly capped or filled in accordance with state law and the rules of the District.

| iame: Associated D  | rilling   |  | TDLR Pump In  | staller License Number   | 4064  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Address: PO Box 67  |   |  | TDLR Pump Installer License Number: 4064  TDLR Well Drillers License Number: 4064   |  | 4064  |
| (Street or P.O.   | Box)  |  | Comments/notes Drilled for Glass Well Services  |  |   |
| Dripoing St   | orings TX   | 78620  | Comments/notes  |  |   |
| (City)  | (State)   | (Zip Code)   |   |  |   |
| 512-894-3535  |   |  |   |  |   |
| (Phone #)   |   | (Fax #)  | (E-mail   | address)   |   |
| Completion Inform   | nation  |  |   |  |   |
| -   |   | he extent known and availa   | ble at the time of  | application  |   |
|   | •   |  |   | ••   | <b>.</b>  |
| NOTE: Provide t   | ne compiete armei   | r's log and any mechanic   | ar iog, or enemic   | ai anaiysis, within  | oo days of completion o   |
| well. Well must be  | drilled within 30 f   | feet of the location specific  | ed and not closer   | to any existing wel  | or authorized well site   |
| than the District's   | minimum spacing   | g rule requires.   |   |  |   |
| If amending existing  | ig permit, explain re   | equested amendment and r   | eason for amenda  | nent:  |   |
| decided to pur  | sue the lower   | trinity for best chanc   | e of sustainal  | ole water for th   | e future owners   |
| · ·   |   | •  |   |  |   |
| Proposed Total De   | nth of Well: 80   | 00 ft; Borehole Diamete  | er (Dia) 12 inc   | ches (in) from 0   | to 18 :   |
| •   |   | to 800 Casing: Ma  |   |  |   |
|   | ~   | PVC Screen I   |   |  |   |
| _   | •   |  |   |  |   |
|   |   | submersible ; Power:   |   | orsepower Rating 0   | <u>5                                    </u>  |
| Depth:  | _ft; Column Pipe II   | D: in. Date Com  | inleted 08/17/2 <b>Pi</b>   |  | C   |
|   |   | C - 1  |   |  | \ (7 - / - / \ \M   |
| Proposed Water B  | searing Formation   | : Lower Trinity  |   |  | Orrech  |
|   | Searing Formation   | : Lower Trinity  |   |  | (orred  |
| Operating Permit  |   |  |   | e attach a senarate sl   |   |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin   | g operating permits   | : Lower Trinity or permit renewals for mul   |   | attach a separate sl   |   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for   | g operating permits each well.  | or permit renewals for mul   | ltiple wells, please  | ·  |   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p  | g operating permits<br>each well.<br>ermit annual produc  | or permit renewals for mul   | Itiple wells, please  | ·  |   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p<br>Include statement/d   | g operating permits<br>each well.<br>ermit annual produc<br>ocumentation expla  | or permit renewals for multion:  | ltiple wells, please<br>Requested incr  | ease/decrease:   | neet with the information   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p<br>Include statement/d<br>Number of contigue   | g operating permits<br>each well.<br>ermit annual produc<br>ocumentation expla<br>ous acres owned or  | or permit renewals for mul<br>ction:<br>aining requested production<br>leased on which water is to   | Itiple wells, please  Requested increase:  8  | ease/decrease:   | neet with the information   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p<br>Include statement/d<br>Number of contigue<br>Total annual produc  | g operating permits<br>each well.<br>ermit annual produc<br>ocumentation expla<br>ous acres owned or<br>tion requested with   | or permit renewals for mulestion:  | Itiple wells, please  Requested increase  n: b be produced: 43  | ease/decrease:   | acres gallons   |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p<br>Include statement/d<br>Number of contigue<br>Total annual produc<br>Requested annual v  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte  | or permit renewals for mulestion:  unining requested production leased on which water is to this operating permit: 2.3  ed out of the District: 0  | tiple wells, please  Requested increase  be produced:  C  | a.435 cre-feet or 748,48 fallons (0  | acres gallons _% of total pumpage)  |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (1 acre-foot =  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte  | or permit renewals for mulestion:  | tiple wells, please  Requested increase  be produced:  C  | a.435 cre-feet or 748,48 fallons (0  | acres gallons _% of total pumpage)  |
| Operating Permit<br>NOTE: If requestin<br>requested below for<br>Current operating p<br>Include statement/d<br>Number of contigue<br>Total annual produc<br>Requested annual v  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual product ocumentation explains acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exported 325,851 gallons) Withdright   | or permit renewals for mulestion:  unining requested production leased on which water is to this operating permit: 2.3  ed out of the District: 0  | tiple wells, please  Requested increase  be produced:  C  | a.435 cre-feet or 748,48 fallons (0  | acres gallons _% of total pumpage)  |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (1 aere-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be exp  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd nts orted outside the Di  | or permit renewals for multiple ction:   | Itiple wells, please  Requested increns:  be produced:  Colls Classification 2 m  | asse/decrease.  3.435  acre-feet or 748,48  fallons (0  aust be reported to the I  | acres gallons   |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (1 acre-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be exp  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla- bus acres owned or ition requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd nts orted outside the Di- bility of water in the  | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  aining requested production leased on which water is to this operating permit:  2.3 ed out of the District:  irawals from all non-exempt we istrict, describe the following bistrict and in the propose   | Liple wells, please  Requested increase:  Begin to be produced:  Colls Classification 2 means issues and proved receiving area.   | asse/decrease:   | acres gallons _% of total pumpage) District monthly—by the 10th vant to these issues: equested.   |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (1 acre-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be exp  • The availal • The project   | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ocus acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd nts orted outside the Di oility of water in the ted effect of the pro  | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  aining requested production leased on which water is to a this operating permit: 2.3 and out of the District: 0 rawals from all non-exempt we istrict, describe the following District and in the proposed export on aquifer composed export on aquifer compared to the proposed export on aq | Liple wells, please  Requested increase:  Begin to be produced:  Colls Classification 2 means issues and proved receiving area.   | asse/decrease:  3.435  acre-feet or 748,48  fallons (0  ust be reported to the fallowide documents releduring the period re  | acres 7gallons _% of total pumpage) vistrict monthly—by the 10th  |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (I acre-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be exp  • The availa • The projec holders or  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd  nts orted outside the Di oility of water in the ted effect of the pro  | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  aining requested production leased on which water is to this operating permit:  2.3 ed out of the District:  irawals from all non-exempt we istrict, describe the following bistrict and in the propose   | Requested increns  Requested increns  be produced: 43  Colls Classification 2 m  ng issues and profed receiving area and itions, depletic   | ease/decrease:   | acres gallons gallons want to these issues: quested. ects on existing permit  |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (I acre-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be expl  The availa The projec holders or   | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd  nts orted outside the Di oility of water in the ted effect of the pro  | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  | Requested increns  Requested increns  be produced: 43  Colls Classification 2 m  ng issues and profed receiving area and itions, depletic   | ease/decrease:   | acres gallons go of total pumpage) istrict monthly—by the 10*  vant to these issues: quested. ects on existing permit                                   |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (I acre-foot = of the following month Export Requirement If water is to be exp  The availa The project holders or How the pr Certification  | g operating permits each well. ermit annual produc ocumentation expla ous acres owned or tion requested with olume to be exporte 325,851 gallons) Withd  nts orted outside the Di oility of water in the ted effect of the pro other groundwater u oposed export is co  | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  | Requested incrent in the produced of the produced receiving area on ditions, depletic regional water place.                     | ease/decrease:  3.435  allons (0)  ust be reported to the I  vide documents releduring the period re on, subsidence or efform and certified Dist   | acres 7 gallons _% of total pumpage) bistrict monthly—by the 10**  vant to these issues: quested. Fects on existing permit rict Management Plan.        |
| Operating Permit NOTE: If requestin requested below for Current operating p Include statement/d Number of contigue Total annual produc Requested annual v NOTE: (I acre-foot = of the following month Export Requireme If water is to be exp  The availa The projec holders or How the pr Certification I hereby certify that abide by the terms of | g operating permits each well. ermit annual product ocumentation explaints ous acres owned or etion requested with olume to be exported 325,851 gallons) Withd  nts orted outside the Dibility of water in the ted effect of the pro- other groundwater upoposed export is co- the information con- of the District Rules | or permit renewals for multiple cition:  | ltiple wells, please  Requested increments be produced: 42  Colls Classification 2 mm ng issues and profed receiving area and itions, depletic regional water place or received to the best to Plan, and order. | ease/decrease:  3.435  cre-feet or 748,48  callons (0)  ust be reported to the I  vide documents releduring the period re on, subsidence or efform and certified Dis- cof my knowledge are sof the Board of Dis- | acres gallons gallons sistrict monthly—by the 10th vant to these issues: quested. ects on existing permit rict Management Plan. ad belief. I certify to |

PERMIT TERMS: Drilling Permits—effective for 365 days from the date the permit application is approved by the Board. Combination Drilling / Operating Permits—effective until the end of the calendar year in which it is issued. Permits may be renewed by the General Manager, subject to any changes necessary under proportional adjustment regulations, District Rules, or the District Management Plan.


SPACING/ACREAGE REQUIREMENTS: Refer to District Rules, Section 9.5. For a well with a column pipe size of 2" or less, a minimum tract size of 2 acres is required, with a 100' setback from other well sites, and a 50' setback from property lines. Acreage and setbacks increase with larger column pipe size

Acreage and setbacks increase with larger column pipe size.

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS: Permit applicants must provide notice of filing as follows: 1) publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the District; and 2) certified mail, return receipt requested, to all adjacent property owners and owners of wells located within ¼ mile radius of the existing well or proposed well that is the subject of the application. The District will provide the appropriate forms for notification. Applicant must provide 1) proof of publication of public notice; and 2) proof of receipt by certified mail of the public notice to property owners as

SUBMIT FOR ADMIN REVIEW

Russell Spillers, Trustee



LOCATION MAP

NOT TO SCALE

FIREFLY RD. (ROW VARIES)

W.S.R. 278

\_571'37'16"E

113 ma #125 W

49.96

0

DRAWING DESCRIPTION: PARTYCHIEF

FIELDBOOKS

N54'05'59"E

| STIL   | LMAN VAI | LLEY RANCH  | ETTES |  |  |  |  |
|--------|----------|-------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
|        | CULVE    | RT TABLE    |       |  |  |  |  |
|        |          |             |       |  |  |  |  |
| LOT    | *CULVERT | CULVERT     |       |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER | DIA (in) | LENGTH (FT) | SLOPE |  |  |  |  |
| 1      | 18       | 22          | 1.25% |  |  |  |  |
| 2      | 18       | 22          | 2.00% |  |  |  |  |
| 3      | 18       | 22          | 3.33% |  |  |  |  |
| 4      | 18       | 22          | 3.90% |  |  |  |  |
| 5      | 18       | 22          | 3.20% |  |  |  |  |
| 6      | 18       | 22          | 2.40% |  |  |  |  |
| 7      | 18       | 22          | 2.30% |  |  |  |  |
| 8      | 18       | 22          | 1.90% |  |  |  |  |
| 9      | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |  |
| 10     | 18       | 22          | 1.00% |  |  |  |  |
| 11     | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |  |
| 12     | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |  |
| 13     | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |  |
| 14     | 18       | 27          | 0.50% |  |  |  |  |

frequency, as required by Bell County Subdivision Regulations. Existing impervious cover conditions are calculated for each culvert drainage area along Stillman Valley Rd. and Firefly Rd.

Note: Culvert material assumed to be RCP.

LOT 10

in at

5.71

LOT 11

15 4474

\$20'43'25"E 1786.10"

1

LOT B 😭

tanta Mil.

LOT 7

A7857

LOT 6

/ 15 miles

## PRELIMINARY PLAT RANCHETTES SUBDIVISION

A SUBSTANCE OF AS ASSEMBLES ARREST OR LESS. WITH STANCE OF LANGUAGE OF THE MALE MONITOR MARKET ON LOCAL ASSIPALITY OF SUBSTRACTION LOCAL OF THE MALE MARKET ASSIPALTY OF LOCAL OF THE MALE MARKET ASSIPALTY OF LOCAL OF THE MARKET ASSI IN EFEL COUNTY, TEXAS

CONCO TO SEE ON PROFISED OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREIT USES WOMEN A SPECIAL FLOOR HAZARD WITH AN EMBORIOUS FLOOR FLOOR TO ANGLESS FRANK MAR PAGE, NO. 4550700500 (AFFD 19 CR LINES

before the many of the state of the state of the state of the

HAME IN ME AS

EREPORTS SALTASSIFIET AVVINCES

LOT 14

BEGINNING-

tend bushpateur as an fitte albert (TEVAL ADD is bush without Ann Fit A. Bircombi SET BATH (DAS) ALBERT TOWARD CALLER HO AND EMPERA-

with Williams what is not the property of the long of the encycle of the encycle

WATER RESIDENT TO THE DECEMBER WILL BE PROVIDED BY ON SIE WELL SHAFT FOR THE WAS A SIE OF THE PROVIDED BY THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROVIDED BY T

Information only a Provider A Annabas is the graph of a significant of the graph of the significant of the provider and of the Provider of the significant of the sig

i afferment P. (Papalanti, in white programs that the medical control of the programs of the second control of



1 inch - 200 ft LEGEND

FROMENTA CORNER FOUND ASSO

CAMES IN TREATMENT AND THE RESERVE OF THE CONTROL O

transfers of State Colors for the Colors of the Colors of

#### DESCRIPTION - 88.526 ACRES

a as any adell'incoment openy sent than 12 page, and when the man genom in the above the man age of the sent of th

electrics of an entry that there is a transfer the following that is a function of the control of the control of the electric of the electric

THEORY ARE THE CHARLEST LINE OF \$40,759 ARE THAT AND HELD PREVIOUS LINE OF LAND HELD ARE THAT A THE CHARLEST SOTITION OF A CONTROL OF THE CHARLEST TO A TO LAND A CONTROL OF THE CHARLEST LINE OF THE

the first constraint as exists the right agent than to the second and the time the transfer and the first second (1)

- Country 25 m, in Destination of The 27 Head in the line of the mode of many labeled in the country of the country of the country of the first time for the country of the c

- The second of th

Todaine, with the southern about of the cities had steamer which head and the inchesen code of said raid about the following flore (as countries and incheses and

- I DEPURE EL A DISTORT DE ROCHT EFFET DU SITE, ROCH MOUVEN, DE L'ORDRECE DE VORRO PER L'ORDRECE DE VORRO PETE DE L'ALLE POR DES L'ALLES DE VORRO PETE DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PETE DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PETE DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PETE L'ALLES DES L'ALLES DE VORRO PORTE D'ALLES DE VORRO PETE L'ALLES DE VORRO PORTE PORTE ALLES DE VORRO PORTE PORTE ALLES DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PORTE PORTE DE VORRO PETE L'ALLES DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PORTE PORTE DE VORRO PETE L'ALLES DE L'ALLES DE VORRO PETE L'ALLES

THE E. WITH THE WESTERN PRINT OF WAY CHIE ES GAD EMPERE MOAD AND THE FASTERN USE OF SAID TREE AFRE THAT I SOMEOSES, A DISTANCE OF 1796 HO FRET TO THE MOAT. OF HISTORICAL

THEATHUR 43 425 ATPES OF 1,402 047 SQUARE FEET, MORE OF 1755

1 9 E System (Interpretate With the Inter-ensity to the service with the Inter-20107 98 M LOT 4 238 90 LOT 9 #2014312\$"₩ 620 46 VALLEY RD. VARIES) FIREFORM TERMINAL AN INTERPOLATION AND A SHIP AND THAT THE PARTY THAT THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTROL OF THE PARTY THAT THAT THE PARTY LOT 3 929/43/28/W 1520/28 59.70 ACRES FIRST CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY LOT 12 -BREZ ILC-B MAN.O.W. DOCUMENT NO. 2020000290 R.P.R.B.C.T. LOT 13 204325W AJO 46 \$1.30 x 1 40 20 LOT 1 N20'43'25"W 834.27' 567'52'52"W BM IRON ROD WITH ATWELL CAP ELEVATION 789.98 54.10 N20'43'25"W 778.22" N68\*30'49"E 81.60 79.80 ACRES, TRACT I WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY LLC DOCUMENT NO. 2020060320 ীয় PROJECT: Stillman JOB NUMBER: 20004088 SURVEY DATE: JANUAR + 2021 SCALE: 1" = 200 SURVEYOR ROBERT J GERTSON, RPLS 6367 TECHNICIAN A

#05 (As JUNAS PAREMA), SHITE SHO AUSTIN, 1- 72745 512 H04 U505 TOPE US H0193726

PRELIMINARY PLAT OF STILLMAN VALLEY RANCHETTES M.A. BROWN SURVEY ABSTRACT NO. 952. W. MILLER SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 1278 **BELL COUNTY, TEXAS** 

# 3

P.8521 J JCP1501 6347

> SHEET 1 OF 1

### **Clearwater Underground Water Conservation**

PO Box 1989 Belton, TX 76513

## **Invoice**

**Invoice** #: 128

Invoice Date: 12/23/2020

**Due Date: 12/14/2020** 


Project: P.O. Number:

Bill To:

Whitley 20 8127 Mesa Dr #206-53 Austin TX 78759

| Date       | Description   | Amount   |
|------------|---|----------|
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee Well #N2-20-008P (Well #1)             | 1,000.00 |
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee Well # N2-20-009P (Well #2)            | 1,000.00 |
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee Well # N2-20-010P (Well # 3) E-16-043P | 1,000.00 |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            | Q   |          |
|            | 1,  |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |

| Total            | \$3,000.00 |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Payments/Credits | \$0.00     |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Due      | \$3,000.00 |  |  |  |  |



## N2-20-008P Contact List

| ۷ | ۷ | e | lls | 1 | /4 | ٨ | Λi | le |
|---|---|---|-----|---|----|---|----|----|
|   |   |   |     |   |    |   |    |    |

| Prop ID | <u>Name</u>                    | <u>Address</u>           | <u>City</u>  | <u>State</u> | <u>Zip</u> | Well #     | Status  | <u>Depth</u> | <u>Aquifer</u> | <u>Use</u> | <b>Distance</b> |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 15092   | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave | Pflugerville | TX           | 78660      | N2-20-009P | Capped  | 780          | Lower Trinity  | Domestic   | 367 ft          |
| 15092   | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave | Pflugerville | TX           | 78660      | E-16-043P  | Active  | 725          | Middle Trinity | Domestic   | 1,249 ft        |
| 15092   | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave | Pflugerville | TX           | 78660      | E-03-318G  | Plugged | 14           | Alluvium       | Not Used   | 654 ft          |
| 116784  | Anna Thornton                  | 17451 Stillman Valley    | Florence     | TX           | 76527      | E-12-024P  | Active  | 590          | Middle Trinity | Domestic   | 1,272 ft        |
|         |                                |                          |              |              |            |            |         |              |                |            |                 |
|         |                                |                          |              |              |            |            |         |              |                |            |                 |
|         |                                |                          |              |              |            |            |         |              |                |            |                 |
|         |                                |                          |              |              |            |            |         |              |                |            |                 |

| Wells 1/2 Mile |                           |                           |            |    |       |            |          |         |                |          |          |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----|-------|------------|----------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|
| 36643          | Justin Scott              | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | N1-18-004P | Active   | 685     | Lower Trinity  | Domestic | 1,386 ft |
| 123172         | Robert Aviles-Rodriguez   | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | E-21-057P  | Proposed | 560     | Undeclared     | Domestic | 1,950 ft |
| 32563          | Angela Edwards            | PO Box 425                | Florence   | TX | 76527 | E-02-1379G | Active   | 500     | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1,744 ft |
| 77652          | Patrick Murphy            | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado     | TX | 76571 | E-02-1855G | Active   | 570     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,063 ft |
| 480353         | Kirk & Tamera Roefer      | 401 Gladeview Dr          | Round Rock | TX | 78681 | E-03-232G  | Active   | 400     | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1,946 ft |
| 116784         | Anna Thornton             | 17451 Stillman Valley Rd. | Florence   | TX | 76527 | E-02-3592G | Active   | unknown | unknown        | Domestic | 1,510 ft |
| 116784         | Anna Thornton             | 17451 Stillman Valley Rd. | Florence   | TX | 76527 | E-02-3593G | Active   | 12      | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1,593 ft |
| 126029         | Anne Wehler               | 17232 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | E-03-231G  | Active   | 560     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,056 ft |
| 68705          | Louis Palousek            | 17288 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | E-02-3433G | Active   | 561     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,478 ft |
| 117128         | Walter & Jo Elaine Carter | 17284 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | E-03-192G  | Active   | 522     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,648 ft |
| 15090          | Abhiram Garapati          | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin     | TX | 78732 | E-03-317G  | Active   | 685     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 1,802 ft |
| 54443          | Vicki Hale                | 20281 Firefly Rd.         | Florence   | TX | 76527 | E-19-132GU | Active   | 663     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,583 ft |
| 123169         | Rolando & Sonia Hinojosa  | 18066 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 | E-03-400G  | Active   | 570     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,603 ft |
|                |                           |                           |            |    |       |            |          |         |                |          |          |

### Adjacent Property

| · talagement tobestal |                                    |                           |            |    |       |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----|-------|
| 15090                 | Abhiram Garapati                   | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin     | TX | 78732 |
| 36947                 | Clyde Melvin Ford Revocable Trust  | PO Box 339                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 459952                | Jorge Cintron-Lugo & Mariela Ortiz | 3985 Chaparral            | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 123173                | Mary Floyd                         | 8904 Bowfield Dr          | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 123172                | Robert Aviles-Rodriguez            | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 77652                 | Patrick Murphy                     | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado     | TX | 76571 |
| 32563                 | Angela Edwards                     | PO Box 425                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 480353                | Kirk & Tamera Roefer               | 401 Gladeview Dr          | Round Rock | TX | 78681 |
| 116784                | Anna Thornton                      | 17451 Stillman Valley     | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 500578                | Kevin Parlin                       | 107 Hilea Court           | Bastrop    | TX | 78602 |
| 432396                | Erik & Ashley Gulbranson           | 22537 Firefly Rd.         | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 432398                | Alice Merideth                     | PO Box 26                 | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 36643                 | Justin Scott                       | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |

## **Mailing List**

## N2-20-008P & N2-20-009P

| Abhiram Garapati                   | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin            | TX | 78732 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----|-------|
| Clyde Melvin Ford Revocable Trust  | PO Box 339                | Florence          | TX | 76527 |
| Jorge Cintron-Lugo & Mariela Ortiz | 3985 Chaparral            | Killeen           | TX | 76542 |
| Mary Floyd                         | 8904 Bowfield Dr          | Killeen           | TX | 76542 |
| Robert Aviles-Rodriguez            | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen           | TX | 76542 |
| Patrick Murphy                     | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado            | TX | 76571 |
| Angela Edwards                     | PO Box 425                | Florence          | TX | 76527 |
| Kirk & Tamera Roefer               | 401 Gladeview Dr          | <b>Round Rock</b> | TX | 78681 |
| Anna Thornton                      | 17451 Stillman Valley     | Florence          | TX | 76527 |
| Kevin Parlin                       | 107 Hilea Court           | Bastrop           | TX | 78602 |
| Erik & Ashley Gulbranson           | 22537 Firefly Rd.         | Florence          | TX | 76527 |
| Alice Merideth                     | PO Box 26                 | Florence          | TX | 76527 |
| Justin Scott                       | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen           | TX | 76542 |

### NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT

Name Address City, TX Zip

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

RE: Application for Operating Permits

To Whom It May Concern:

Mr. Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC, on behalf of Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Availability Study for plating the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrawal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Rod and Firefly Road, Florence, TX. This application is for a request to produce groundwater for domestic use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acre-feet/yr or 855,414 gallons per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acre-feet/yr or 748,487 gallons per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:

Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.928700°/Longitude -97.7168527° Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.928214°/Longitude -97.717865°

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Board upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254-933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.

Sincerely,

Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC





| 102    | U.S. Postal Service <sup>™</sup> CERTIFIED MAIL <sup>®</sup> REC Domestic Mail Only   |                                      |
|--------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 10 -   | For delivery information, visit our website   | at www.usps.com.                     |
| 4796   | Certified Mail Fee \$3.75<br>\$ \$3.05  | 0042<br>4                            |
| 1 0000 | Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as more fate)  Fleturn Receipt (inardcopy)  Return Receipt (electronic)  Cartified Mail Restricted Delivery  Adult Signature Required  Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ | Postmark<br>Here                     |
| 0420   | Postage \$0.58<br>\$<br>Total Postage and Fee 38<br>\$  | 10/19/2021                           |
| 7051   | Sent TO THORNTON  | LLSY -7 See Boverse for Instructions |

# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR A COMBINATION DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT FROM CLEARWATER UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Mr. Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC, on behalf of Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Availability Study for plating the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrawal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Rod and Firefly Road, Florence, TX. This application is for a request to produce groundwater for domestic-use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acre-feet/yr or 855,414 gallons per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acre-feet/yr or 748,487 gallons per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:

Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.928700°/Longitude -97.7168527° Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.928214°/Longitude -97.717865°

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Board upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254-933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.

### **Publisher's Affidavit**

# **State of Texas County of Bell**

Before Me, The Undersigned Authority, this day personally appeared <u>Jane Moon</u> after being by me duly sworn, says that she is the <u>Classified Manager Inside Sales</u> of the Temple Daily Telegram, a newspaper published in Bell County, Texas and that the stated advertisement was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):

October 26, 2021

For: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC

Ad #: 16671626 Ad cost: \$152.90 Times Published: 1


Jane Møon

Classified Manager Inside Sales

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this day: October 26, 2021

Notary Public in and for Bell County, Texas

(Seal)



# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR A COMBINATION DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT FROM CLEARWATER UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Availability Study for plotting the proposed Stillman Valley Ronchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrowal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquiter with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre fract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Road and Firefly Road, Florence, TX. This application is for a request to produce ground-water for domestic use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acce-feet/yr or 855.414 gollans per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acce-feet/yr or 748,487 gollans per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:
Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.9287009/Longitude
-97.7166527
Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.9282149/Longitude
-97.717665

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Baard upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254-933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. \$206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.

Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well #2: N2-20-009P Application & Notification



## APPLICATION FOR NON-EXEMPT WELL **CLASSIFICATION 2**

Phone: 254933-0120 Fax: 254933-8396
P.O. Box 1989, Belton, TX 76513
A NON-EXEMPT WELL, CLASSIFICATION 2 is a well that satisfies the following conditions:

N2-20-009P

1) A water well used for purposes other than domestic, livestock or poultry; or
2) A water well that is drilled, equipped or completed so that it is capable of producing more than 25,000 gallons/day

|   |  |   |   | , g   |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Check one of the following:  ORILLING PERMIT  | OPERATION  | ING PERMIT  | OPERMIT A   | MENDMENT  |
| (Complete Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 & 7)  |  | Sections 1, 5 & 7;  | Modify Dillin   |   |
| New Well  |  | ions 2, 3, & 4 if<br>m Drilling Permit)   | (Complete Sec   | etions 1,2,3,4 & 7)   |
| Replacement Well  | _  | main in District  | Modify Opera<br>(Complete Sec   | ting Permit<br>etions 1,5 & 7)  |
|   | _  | Exported Outside District*  | Change in We  |   |
| An application for an Operating Permit must b   |  |   | (Complete Section 1) t Rule 9.3 and State I   |   |
| days of completing a new well, or reworking/re- existing well.  A Hydrogeological Report is required for 1) O applications requesting an annual maximum per more than 37 acre-feet; or 2) amendments to ine or production capacity of a public water supply, commercial, industrial, agricultural or irrigation outside casing diameter greater than 6 5/8 inches District Rule 6.9.2.  *Requests to export water outside the District ma complete Section 7. | perating Permit<br>mitted use of<br>crease production<br>municipal,<br>well with an<br>s as discussed in | State of Texas W within 60 days of Witer District Water Quality A Installer and/or screen test, sam Installer or Drill days of the well purge the well is pump permanen | treate 9.3 and state to the Well Reports are due to of well completion. The Role 9.3 at compassessment is required well Driller. District ple bottles, and coord er to retrieve the same completion. Temporas required should the tly installed in first 4 or operating permits 2 | o the District  letion of all wells I by the Pump Staff will provide inate with Pump ple within 45 ary pump to well not have 5 days. This |
| Owner Information     Note: If well owner is different from pr     construction and operation.  Well Owner: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley I  |  |   |   |   |
| Address: 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53  |  | Austin  |   |   |
| Address: 6127 Mesa Dr. #200-55 (Street or P.O. Box)   |  | (City)  | TX (State)  | 78759<br>(Zip Code)   |
| Contact Person (if other than owner):   |  | •   | •   | ·   |
|   |  |   |   |   |
| If ownership of well has changed, name of   | f previous owner   |   | State W   | ell No.   |
| 2. Property Location & Proposed Well Loc<br>Owner of property (if different from well of  |  |   |   |   |
| Property is located 6.5 mile  | <sub>es</sub> S  | of Killeen  | on Stillman   | Valley and Firefly Rd   |
| Property is located 6.5 mile (Number)   | (N,S,E,W)  | (Nearest City or  | Town) (N  | ame of Road)  |
| Acreage: 43.435 Bell CAD Property I   | D#_15092   | Latitude: 30.928  | 214 Longitud  | e: <u>97.717865</u>   |
| a. Proposed use of well and estimated am  YES *Domestic: Industrial;  *Total number of houses to be serviced  **Notice is required of any application provide water or wastewater service well.   | to the TCEQ to do  | e used for each purpose: estock/Poultry; ublic Supply;  bottain or modify a Certific  | Other.  | tural/Irrigation:<br>and Necessity to   |
| b. Estimated distance from nearest:(feet)  103 N / S Property Line; 180 River, Stream or Lake; N/A Other Source of Conta  | N/A E  | / W Property Line; N/A<br>sisting Water Well; N/A<br>ry, pesticide mixing/loadin  | Livestock Er  |   |
| c. Estimated rate of withdrawal (GPM): 1  | 7 d.   | Is property subject to flo  | oding: O Yes 6  | ) No  |
| e. Is there another well on the property? ( If yes, how many wells?   | O Yes <b>O</b> No f.   | Is the well part of a mult<br>List State Well Number  |   | m? OYes • No  |
| g. Attach the following:  |  |   |   |   |
| <ul> <li>tax plat map indicating the location and adjacent owners' physical add</li> <li>Indicate the location of the proposition property lines.</li> <li>CUWCD will provide the location</li> </ul>   | fresses and mailin<br>sed well or the ex   | g addresses. (Bell CAD misting well to be modified  | naps if current will be<br>I with a circle and do   | accepted) t, and the distance to  |

| ame: Associated Drilling   |  | TDLR Pump Installer License Number: 4064   |
|--|--|--|
| ddress: PO Box 673   |  | TDLR Well Drillers License Number: 4064  |
| (Street or P.O. Box)   |  | Comments/notes Drilled for Glass Well Services   |
| Dripping Springs TX  | 78620  | Continens notes  |
| (City) (Stat   |  |  |
| 512-894-3535   |  |  |
| (Phone #)  | (Fax #)  | (E-mail address)   |
| Completion Information   |  |  |
| Provide the following informa  | ation to the extent known and availa   | able at the time of application.   |
| <del>-</del>   |  | al log, or chemical analysis, within 60 days of completic  |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | ed and not closer to any existing well or authorized well s  |
| than the District's minimum  |  | to and not closer to any existing wenter numbrized went  |
|  | •  | same Community   |
|  | explain requested amendment and r  |  |
| decided to pursue the  | lower trinity for best chance  | e of sustainable water for the future owners   |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | er (Dia) 12 inches (in) from 0 to 18 :   |
|  | <u>18</u> to780 Casing: M  |  |
| C  | an Tuna DVC Carum I  |  |
| Screen: Yes W No U Scre  | een typetvc, screen i  | Dia. 4.5 in from 700 to 760 ft;  |
| # of Packers 2 ; Pun   | np Type: submersible : Power:  | electric ; Horsepower Rating 05 ;  |
| # of Packers 2 ; Pun   | np Type: submersible : Power:  | electric ; Horsepower Rating 05 ;  |
| # of Packers 2 ; Pun   | np Type: submersible ; Power:<br>nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con  | electric ; Horsepower Rating 05 ;  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo   | np Type: submersible ; Power:<br>nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con  | electric ; Horsepower Rating 05 ;  |
| # of Packers 2 ; Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit  | np Type: submersible ; Power:<br>nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con<br>rmation: Lower Trinity  | electric ; Horsepower Rating <u>05</u> ;  ppleted <u>08/18/26</u>  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  permits or permit renewals for mu  | electric ; Horsepower Rating <u>05</u> ;  ppleted <u>08/18/26</u>  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well.   | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity generalists or permit renewals for mu   | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrected 5  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well.   | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity generalists or permit renewals for mu   | electric ; Horsepower Rating <u>05</u> ;  ppleted <u>08/18/26</u>  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annual Include statement/documentat  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID:  | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrector of the corre |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to  | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrected 5 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrected 5 : inpleted 08/18/26 obe produced: 43.435 are incompared to the informal observation of the informal observation of the informal observation of the informal observation of the informal observation observation of the informal observation observation observation of the informal observation ob |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reques   | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con rmation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: tion explaining requested productio whed or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6   | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrector   Corrector   Italian Requested increase/decrease: in: o be produced: 43.435 acre-feet or 855,414 gall   |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reques Requested annual volume to b  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu  al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0   | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Itiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease: in: o be produced: 43.435 are galleted acre-feet or 6 in 6 i  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reques Requested annual volume to b  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu  al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0   | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrector   Corrector   Italian Requested increase/decrease: in: o be produced: 43.435 acre-feet or 855,414 gall   |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reques Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu  al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0   | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Itiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the information.  Requested increase/decrease:  on: o be produced: 43.435 arre-feet or 855,414 gall  Gallons (0 % of total pumpage)  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 but the production of the district of the power of t | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26 Corrector of the information of the information of the produced:    Requested increase/decrease:   |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annual Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 we exported out of the District: 0 withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the follow ater in the District and in the propose  | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Iltiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annual Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reques Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi • The availability of wa • The projected effect of   | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 ons) Withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the follow ater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer e  | electric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Iltiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annual Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect o holders or other groun  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is te sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus) Withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the followater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer endwater users within the District.   | clectric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Intiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 : Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annual Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect of holders or other groun How the proposed exp  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is te sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus) Withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the followater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer endwater users within the District.   | clectric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Intiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect of holders or other groun How the proposed exp   | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is te sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus) Withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the followater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer endwater users within the District. port is consistent with the approved  | clectric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Intiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect of holders or other groun How the proposed exp Certification I hereby certify that the inform                                  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus Withdrawals from all non-exempt wa dide the District, describe the followater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer endwater users within the District. port is consistent with the approved   | clectric : Horsepower Rating 05 : inpleted 08/18/26  Corrected 5  Intiple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the informa Requested increase/decrease:  |
| # of Packers 2 Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect of holders or other grout How the proposed exp Certification I hereby certify that the inform abide by the terms of the Dista  | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 192 in. Date Con rmation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is te sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus Withdrawals from all non-exempt we dide the District, describe the follow after in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer or indwater users within the District. port is consistent with the approved mation contained herein is true and or ict Rules, the District Management   | cliple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the information of the produced:    Requested increase/decrease:   |
| # of Packers 2 Pun Depth:ft; Colum Proposed Water Bearing Fo Operating Permit NOTE: If requesting operating requested below for each well. Current operating permit annua Include statement/documentat Number of contiguous acres o Total annual production reque: Requested annual volume to b NOTE: (1 acre-foot = 325,851 gallo of the following month Export Requirements If water is to be exported outsi  The availability of wa The projected effect of holders or other grout How the proposed exp Certification I hereby certify that the inform abide by the terms of the Distri | np Type: submersible : Power: nn Pipe ID: 1/2 in. Date Con remation: Lower Trinity  g permits or permit renewals for mu al production: ion explaining requested productio wned or leased on which water is to sted with this operating permit: 2.6 be exported out of the District: 0 bus Withdrawals from all non-exempt wa dide the District, describe the followater in the District and in the propos of the proposed export on aquifer endwater users within the District. port is consistent with the approved   | cliple wells, please attach a separate sheet with the information of the produced:    Requested increase/decrease:   |

PERMIT TERMS: Drilling Permits—effective for 365 days from the date the permit application is approved by the Board. Combination Drilling / Operating Permits—effective until the end of the calendar year in which it is issued. Permits may be renewed by the General Manager, subject to any changes necessary under proportional adjustment regulations, District Rules, or the District Management Plan.


the District Management Plan.

SPACING/ACREAGE REQUIREMENTS: Refer to District Rules, Section 9.5. For a well with a column pipe size of 2" or less, a minimum tract size of 2 acres is required, with a 100' setback from other well sites, and a 50' setback from property lines. Acreage and setbacks increase with larger column pipe size.

Acreage and setbacks increase with larger column pipe size.

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS: Permit applicants must provide notice of filing as follows: 1) publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the District; and 2) certified mail, return receipt requested, to all adjacent property owners and owners of wells located within ½ mile radius of the existing well or proposed well that is the subject of the application. The District will provide the appropriate forms for notification. Applicant must provide 1) proof of publication of public notice; and 2) proof of receipt by certified mail of the public notice to property owners as

SUBMIT FOR ADMIN REVIEW



LOCATION MAP

NOT TO SCALE

FIREFLY RD. (ROW VARIES)

367161351W

LOT 6

1 1000

12014312518

LOT 4

tores in Min

LOT 7

ATHER C

\_\$71\*37'16"E

113 mae 125 W 14 3 C

LOT 5

105 02

49.96

9-

8.8

2010 ( 55 M) - ( 60)

AND LOCATE DE PROPERTO LOCATE

| STILLMAN VALLEY RANCHETTES |          |             |       |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|--|--|--|
| CULVERT TABLE              |          |             |       |  |  |  |
|                            |          |             |       |  |  |  |
| LOT                        | *CULVERT | CULVERT     |       |  |  |  |
| NUMBER                     | DIA (in) | LENGTH (FT) | SLOPE |  |  |  |
| 1                          | 18       | 22          | 1.25% |  |  |  |
| 2                          | 18       | 22          | 2.00% |  |  |  |
| 3                          | 18       | 22          | 3.33% |  |  |  |
| 4                          | 18       | 22          | 3.90% |  |  |  |
| 5                          | 18       | 22          | 3.20% |  |  |  |
| 6                          | 18       | 22          | 2.40% |  |  |  |
| . 7                        | 18       | 22          | 2.30% |  |  |  |
| 8                          | 18       | 22          | 1.90% |  |  |  |
| 9                          | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |
| 10                         | 18       | 22          | 1.00% |  |  |  |
| 11                         | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |
| 12                         | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |
| 13                         | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |
| 14                         | 18       | 22          | 0.50% |  |  |  |
|                            |          |             |       |  |  |  |

Subdivision Regulations. Existing impervious cover conditions are calculated for each culvert drainage area along Stillman Valley Rd. and Firefly Rd.

\* Note: Culvert material assumed to be RCP.

LOT 10

La contra

238.97

LOT 11

15 mar78

520"43"25"E 1786.10"

- TO F

fajufa!

754.0

LOT 8 🚍

# PRELIMINARY PLAT

# RANCHETTES SUBDIVISION

4 SUBDIVISION OF 43 455 ACRES, MORE OR (ESS. O'LAND AREA OF THE MALEMONY SURVEY, ARSTRAIT NO 1212, ASSIR411 N. S. AND THE M. MILLER SURVEY, ARSTRAIT NO 1212, IN STEE COUNTY, TEACH

CONTO MATE AND PARTHER OF THE PROPERTY AMAIN, HEREIT CALL WITHIN A APPEAR THAT AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

uging maken in garanting and water property of the company of the garanting and the company of t

THE COMMISSION OF THE BELL COUNTY TEXAS AND SOCIAL WITHIN MAN ALL. IN A REPORT SET BATH COMES ACOUSTIC MAN CARREST HIS ARM FIREFUL

, LOT 14

POINT OF

BEGINNING-

59.70 ACRES .-BREZ LLC-B POCUMENT NO.

2020000290

The second of th

WATER STRUCT IN THIS SECURED IN MILE OF PRINCES OF HE WELL SPACES FOR THE THE SECURED OF THE SEC

en a construir de la métalita de la construir de

STEPPEN TO DELLARY, PERMANELA ANALAS BARRANAS MANAGEMENTANIA (NO. 17) BARRANAS MANAGEMENTANIA BARRANAS MANAGEMENTANIA (NO. 17)

MARE AS NESSEE

The world's colors a properties increases in the yield of the colors and the following colors and perfect the colors and the following colors and perfect the colors and the description of the colors and the colors an

The second secon



( IN FEET ) 1 inch - 200 ft

#### LEGEND

The second of th

Er Frager Setters teutitt

Transfers (PE) Provides the company of the control of the control

#### DESCRIPTION - 88.526 ACRES

estimates at all orders and other statum, the recent at that connections, course a fact that a state of the s

Therein when the provided the or \$40 they work that any the previous com-bins sent after their softward wild receive on they wantle by a new pact with each of the the theory will the the popularities of making of the mes

- COUNTRY OF WE A DISTRICT OF THE CONTRY OF A FIRST OF A FIRST OFFICE OF A FIRST AT A CASE OF A FIRST AT A CASE OF A FIRST AT A CASE OF A FIRST OF A FIRST AT A CASE OF A CASE OF A FIRST AT A CASE OF A C
- ATAPEL COLL.

  AT
- To provide the second of the s

- CONTRACTAL A DISTANCE OF SECTO FEET TO A TILL FOR FOUR FOLIAGE.

  TO TROUTE FOR A DISTANCE OF THE SECTION A TILL FOR PORT, THE SECTION AS TILL FOR PORT, THE SECTION AS TILL FOR PORT, THE SECTION AS TILL FOR PORT AS TILL FOR PORT

THE CO. WITH THE WESTERN POINT FOR WAY UNE US SAID PREFET HOAD AND THE EASTERN USE OF SAID 79 ED AFME THAT I SOME USE E. - C STAWE OF 1776 10 FEFT by the POINT OF HETCHING.

1100143734 W 620 46 VALLEY RD. ..VARIES) 0207431291W 020 ar LOT 2 LOT 12 MAN 0.W LOT 13 201431251W 6.2014 S67'52'52'W 561'10' --- 45°36" j LOT 1 N20'43'25"W 834.27' BM I IRON ROD WITH ATWELL CAP ELEVATION 789.98 N20'43'25"W 778.22" N68'30'49"E 54 09" 81.60 79.80 ACRES TRACT WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY LLC DOCUMENT NO. 2020060320 R.P.R.B.C.T. T O 102 P /0 PROJECT: Stillman PROJECT: Platting
JOB NUMBER: 20014085
SURVEY DATE: JANUAR: 2021
SCALE: 1" = 200 SURVEYOR: POBERT J GERTSON, RPLS 6367 TECHNICIAN / www.atwell-group.com DRAWING. DESCRIPTION: 805 LAR COMAS PARE WAY, SIRTE 310 AUSTIS, 17 78745 512 104 0505 TEPE LS 101937.c PARTYCHIEF: FIELDBOOKS

PRELIMINARY PLAT OF STILLMAN VALLEY RANCHETTES M.A. BROWN SURVEY ABSTRACT NO. 952. W. MILLER SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 1278 **BELL COUNTY, TEXAS** 

雷 活

F.85PT J GEPTSON

6347

SHEET 1 OF 1

## **Clearwater Underground Water Conservation**

PO Box 1989 Belton, TX 76513

# **Invoice**

Invoice #: 128

**Invoice Date:** 12/23/2020

**Due Date:** 12/14/2020

Project:


P.O. Number:

#### Bill To:

Whitley 20 8127 Mesa Dr #206-53 Austin TX 78759

| Date       | Description   | Amount   |
|------------|---|----------|
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee<br>Well #N2-20-008P (Well #1)          | 1,000.00 |
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee Well # N2-20-009P (Well #2)            | 1,000.00 |
| 12/14/2020 | Permit Application Fee Well # N2-20-010P (Well # 3) E-16-043P | 1,000.00 |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |
|            |   |          |

| Total            | \$3,000.00 |
|------------------|------------|
| Payments/Credits | \$0.00     |
| Balance Due      | \$3,000.00 |



#### N2-20-009P Contact List

Zip

Well#

<u>Status</u>

<u>Depth</u>

<u>Aguifer</u>

<u>Use</u>

**Distance** 

<u>State</u>

City

#### Wells 1/4 Mile

Name

Prop ID

| 15092          | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave  | Pflugerville | TX | 78660 | N2-20-008P | Capped   | 800     | Lower Trinity  | Domestic | 367 ft   |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----|-------|------------|----------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|
| 15092          | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave  | Pflugerville | TX | 78660 | E-16-043P  | Active   | 725     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 879 ft   |
| 15092          | Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC | 21400 Windmill Ranch Ave  | Pflugerville | TX | 78660 | E-03-318G  | Plugged  | 14      | Alluvium       | Not Used | 552 ft   |
| 36643          | Justin Scott                   | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen      | TX | 76542 | N1-18-004P | Active   | 685     | Lower Trinity  | Domestic | 1,185 ft |
|                |                                |                           |              |    |       |            |          |         |                |          |          |
| Wells 1/2 Mile |                                |                           |              |    |       |            |          |         |                |          |          |
| 123172         | Robert Aviles-Rodriguez        | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen      | TX | 76542 | E-21-057P  | Proposed | 560     | Undeclared     | Domestic | 1,608 ft |
| 32563          | Angela Edwards                 | PO Box 425                | Florence     | TX | 76527 | E-02-1379G | Active   | 500     | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1,541 ft |
| 77652          | Patrick Murphy                 | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado       | TX | 76571 | E-02-1855G | Active   | 570     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 1,927 ft |
| 480353         | Kirk & Tamera Roefer           | 401 Gladeview Dr          | Round Rock   | TX | 78681 | E-03-232G  | Active   | 400     | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1,858 ft |
| 116784         | Anna Thornton                  | 17451 Stillman Valley Rd. | Florence     | TX | 76527 | E-02-3592G | Active   | unknown | unknown        | Domestic | 1.772 ft |
| 116784         | Anna Thornton                  | 17451 Stillman Valley Rd. | Florence     | TX | 76527 | E-02-3593G | Active   | 12      | Upper Trinity  | Domestic | 1.967 ft |
| 126029         | Anne Wehler                    | 17232 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen      | TX | 76542 | E-03-231G  | Active   | 560     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 2,287 ft |
| 15090          | Abhiram Garapati               | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin       | TX | 78732 | E-03-317G  | Active   | 685     | Middle Trinity | Domestic | 1,685 ft |
| 123169         | Rolando & Sonia Hinojosa       | 18066 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen      | TX | 76542 | E-03-400G  | Active   | 570     | •              | Domestic | 2,252 ft |
| 116784         | Anna Thornton                  | 17451 Stillman Valley     | Florence     | TX | 76527 | E-12-024P  | Active   | 590     |                | Domestic | 1,619 ft |
| 123174         | Stephen & Claire Alford        | 1213 Wood Creek Lane      | Southlake    | TX | 76092 | E-04-092P  | Active   | 560     | •              | Domestic | 2,541 ft |
|                |                                |                           |              |    |       |            |          |         |                |          |          |

#### **Adjacent Property**

| - Aujucciit i Topcii | <del>"                                    </del> |                           |            |    |       |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|------------|----|-------|
| 15090                | Abhiram Garapati                                 | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin     | TX | 78732 |
| 36947                | Clyde Melvin Ford Revocable Trust                | PO Box 339                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 459952               | Jorge Cintron-Lugo & Mariela Ortiz               | 3985 Chaparral            | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 123173               | Mary Floyd                                       | 8904 Bowfield Dr          | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 123172               | Robert Aviles-Rodriguez                          | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| 77652                | Patrick Murphy                                   | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado     | TX | 76571 |
| 32563                | Angela Edwards                                   | PO Box 425                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 480353               | Kirk & Tamera Roefer                             | 401 Gladeview Dr          | Round Rock | TX | 78681 |
| 116784               | Anna Thornton                                    | 17451 Stillman Valley     | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 500578               | Kevin Parlin                                     | 107 Hilea Court           | Bastrop    | TX | 78602 |
| 432396               | Erik & Ashley Gulbranson                         | 22537 Firefly Rd.         | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 432398               | Alice Merideth                                   | PO Box 26                 | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| 36643                | Justin Scott                                     | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |

<u>Address</u>

# **Mailing List**

# N2-20-008P & N2-20-009P

| Abhiram Garapati                   | 201 Dawn River Cove       | Austin     | TX | 78732 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----|-------|
| Clyde Melvin Ford Revocable Trust  | PO Box 339                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| Jorge Cintron-Lugo & Mariela Ortiz | 3985 Chaparral            | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| Mary Floyd                         | 8904 Bowfield Dr          | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| Robert Aviles-Rodriguez            | 200 W Gemini Lane         | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |
| Patrick Murphy                     | 22410 Hill Rd.            | Salado     | TX | 76571 |
| Angela Edwards                     | PO Box 425                | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| Kirk & Tamera Roefer               | 401 Gladeview Dr          | Round Rock | TX | 78681 |
| Anna Thornton                      | 17451 Stillman Valley     | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| Kevin Parlin                       | 107 Hilea Court           | Bastrop    | TX | 78602 |
| Erik & Ashley Gulbranson           | 22537 Firefly Rd.         | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| Alice Merideth                     | PO Box 26                 | Florence   | TX | 76527 |
| Justin Scott                       | 17710 Stillman Valley Rd. | Killeen    | TX | 76542 |

#### NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT

Name Address City, TX Zip

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

RE: Application for Operating Permits

To Whom It May Concern:

Mr. Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC, on behalf of Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Availability Study for plating the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrawal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Rod and Firefly Road, Florence, TX. This application is for a request to produce groundwater for domestic use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acre-feet/yr or 855,414 gallons per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acre-feet/yr or 748,487 gallons per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:

Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.928700°/Longitude -97.7168527° Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.928214°/Longitude -97.717865°

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Board upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254-933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.


Sincerely,

Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC



CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com\* 0042 xtro Services & Fees (mer klock, and fee backer) Aetum Receipt (haracopy) \$ \$11.1111 \_\_\$Ú\_ÛÛ Postmark Gentified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ \_\_\_\$U\_\_UIJ Here **\$0.0**0 10/19/2021 KINK + TAMERA RORFER 401 GLAPEVEW DR ROUND ROCK, TX 78681 **CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT** For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com® AUSET n. - IX - 78752... 0042 Extra Services & Fees (check box, and fee & prompte)

History Receipt thardcopy) Postmark \$0.00 Here 10/19/2021





| 2040 | U.S. Postal Service <sup>™</sup> CERTIFIED MAIL® REC Domestic Mall Only  |                                       |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|
|      | For delivery information, visit our website Florence, TX 976527  | at www.usps.com®.                     |
| 962h | Certified Mail Fee \$3.75 \$2.05 Extry Services & Fees (creat Lox, add fee \$100 feet)   | 0042<br>4                             |
| 0000 | This unification (paradocpy) \$ \$0.00     Batton Receipt (electricit) \$ \$0.00     Contino Mail Rectino Delivery \$ \$0.00     Adult Signature Required   Adult Signature Rectined Delivery \$   | Postmark<br>Hero                      |
| 0360 | Postage \$0.58<br>5<br>Total Postage and Factor 38   | 10/19/2021                            |
| 7021 | SCHOOL THORNTON SCHOOL POLICY OF STANDAN VALUE OF STANDAND VALUE OF STANDA | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR A COMBINATION DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT FROM CLEARWATER UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Mr. Russell Spillers, Texas Veterans Properties LLC, on behalf of Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Availability Study for plating the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrawal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Rod and Firefly Road, Florence, TX. This application is for a request to produce groundwater for domestic-use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acre-feet/yr or 855,414 gallons per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acre-feet/yr or 748,487 gallons per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:

Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.928700°/Longitude -97.7168527° Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.928214°/Longitude -97.717865°

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Board upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254-933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.

### **Publisher's Affidavit**

### State of Texas County of Bell

Before Me, The Undersigned Authority, this day personally appeared <u>Jane Moon</u> after being by me duly sworn, says that she is the <u>Classified Manager Inside Sales</u> of the Temple Daily Telegram, a newspaper published in Bell County, Texas and that the stated advertisement was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):

October 26, 2021

For: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC

Ad #: 16671626 Ad cost: \$152.90 Times Published: 1


Jane Møon

Classified Manager Inside Sales

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this day: October 26, 2021

Notary Public in and for Bell County, Texas

(Seal)



# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR A COMBINATION DRILLING AND OPERATING PERMIT FROM CLEARWATER UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Whitley 20 Stillman Valley LLC, has made application to the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) on October 10, 2021, with the prescribed TCEQ Groundwater Avoilability Study for plating the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision under Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11.

The proposed operating permit is for authorization to withdrawal from two new wells completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer with a maximum 2-inch column pipe on a 43.435-acre tract located on the corner of Stillman Valley Rood and Firefly Road, Fiorence, TX. This application is for a request to produce groundwater for domestic use in a shared well system for a maximum 14 homes on fourteen lots. The proposed annual quantity for Well #1, serving 8 homes, is not to exceed 2.6 acre-feetlyr or #85.414 gallons per year and Well #2, serving 7 homes, is not to exceed 2.3 acre-feetlyr or 748.487 gallons per year.

The proposed permits for two wells are located at:
Well #1 (N2-20-008P): Latitude 30.9287009/Longitude
-97.7168527
Well #2 (N2-20-009P): Latitude 30.9282149/Longitude
-97.7178659

The applications will be set for hearing before the CUWCD Board upon notice posted at the Bell County Clerk's Office and at the CUWCD Office. If you would like to support, protest, or provide comments on the applications, you must appear at the hearing and comply with District Rule 6.10. For additional information about this application or the permitting process, please contact the CUWCD at 700 Kennedy Court, Belton, Texas 76513, 254933-0120. The applicant may be contacted at 8127 Mesa Dr. #206-53, or by phone at 512-814-7357.

Wet Rock GW Services LLC Worsley Letter (2021b) Evaluation

# (W<sub>R</sub>)

#### Wet Rock Groundwater Services, L.L.C.

Groundwater Specialists
TBPG Firm No: 50038
317 Ranch Road 620 South, Suite 203
Austin, Texas 78734 • Ph: 512-773-3226
www.wetrockgs.com

November 19, 2021

Mr. Russell Spillers Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC 8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53 Austin, Texas 78759

RE: CUWCD Letter - Stillman Valley Ranchettes

Dear Mr. Spillers:

The Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD) requested that Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC (WRGS) further evaluate the future groundwater availability at the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes (SVR) subdivision at full buildout. The evaluation will focus on modeling the future effects of groundwater withdrawal from 2 Lower Trinity Aquifer wells used to serve the subdivision (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Location Map

CUWCD also requested that the study includes the following:

- A reduction in the groundwater production from the original study (Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021):
  - o 14 lots instead of 15;
  - o 90 gallons per capita per day instead of 106 gallons (results in approximately 3.9 acre-feet per year); and,
- Modeling a newly-discovered fault zone that potentially impacts long-term groundwater availability in the Lower Trinity Aquifer.

#### Drawdown Analysis - Stillman Valley Ranchettes

Similarly to the original study (Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021), a groundwater model was utilized to determine the projected drawdown from production within SVR at full build out to ultimately determine whether or not there is sufficient groundwater availability. In order to estimate future groundwater conditions, a one-layer groundwater model, consisting of 370 rows and 370 columns for a total of 136,900 cells, was created to estimate drawdown under a normal production scenario for SVR. Each cell has dimensions of 100 feet by 100 feet; the entire grid represents an approximately 49.0 square mile portion of the Trinity Aquifer. The boundaries of the grid extend approximately 3.5 miles beyond the center of SVR in order to evaluate the potential regional impacts from pumping.

The model calculates drawdown at each cell using the Theis Equation (1935),

$$s = \frac{Q}{4\pi T}W(u)$$
 (Equation 1)

where:

s = drawdown (feet);

Q = discharge (gallons per minute; gpm);

 $T = transmissivity (ft.^2/day);$  and

W(u) = well function

The well function W(u) is estimated by:

$$W(u) = -0.5772 - \ln u + u - \frac{u^2}{2 \times 2!} + \frac{u^3}{3 \times 3!} - \frac{u^4}{4 \times 4} + \dots$$
 (Equation 2)

where:

$$u = \frac{r^2 S}{4Tt} \tag{Equation 3}$$

r = the radius at which drawdown is estimated (feet); and

S = storativity (dimensionless).



The Theis Equation has several assumptions used to derive the formula which include (Driscoll, 1986):

- 1. The water-bearing formation is uniform in character and the hydraulic conductivity is the same in all directions;
- 2. The aquifer is uniform in thickness and infinite in areal extent;
- 3. The aquifer receives no recharge from any source;
- 4. The well penetrates, and receives water from the full thickness of the aquifer;
- 5. The water from storage is discharged instantaneously when the head is lowered;
- 6. The pumping well is 100% efficient;
- 7. All water removed from the well comes from aquifer storage;
- 8. Laminar flow exists through the well and aquifer; and,
- 9. The water table or potentiometric surface has no slope.

Unfortunately, assumptions 1 and 2 are not met within the Lower Trinity Aquifer in the vicinity of SVR. Recent aquifer testing and subsurface studies conducted by CUWCD and other entities have shown that there is wide regional variability in the aquifer characteristics, as well as specific structural phenomena that may inhibit groundwater flow. With regards to SVR, there is a known structural feature approximately 4,500 feet to the west that acts as an aquifer boundary. This structural feature may have long-term impacts on groundwater availability to the region as development continues. Several other faults in the immediate vicinity of SVR have been identified by CUWCD; however, they did not have any noticeable effects on the aquifer during testing, therefore they were not considered in this study.

In an effort to model the aquifer impacts from the proposed pumping, the following values taken from the aquifer testing (Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021) were utilized:

• Transmissivity: 11.59 ft.<sup>2</sup>/day;

• Storativity: 2.07 x 10<sup>-5</sup>.

The updated groundwater model was designed to estimate drawdown at full buildout (14 lots) after 30 years of continuous production. Figure 2 provides a conceptual map of the groundwater model. The SVR wells are shown as blue circles on the map; the image wells are pink circles; the aquifer boundary (fault) is along the dashed red line. The daily estimated water demand per lot (household) is 248.4 gallons, equivalent to 3,477.6 gallons per day from the 2 wells (combined; 1.0275 gallons per minute (gpm) per well). In addition to the SVR pumping wells, image wells were added to the model to assess the impacts from the aquifer boundary. In short, imaginary discharging wells (image wells) have been placed at the same distance as the real well from the known boundary (fault - approximately 4,500 feet away from the SVR wells), but on the opposite side on a common line perpendicular to the boundary. At the boundary, the drawdown produced by the image wells is equivalent to the drawdown caused by the real wells. Applying the Law of Superposition, the resultant drawdown is the algebraic sum of the drawdowns produced from both the real and image wells (Ferris et al., 1962).






Figure 2: Groundwater Model Map

The results of the updated model are illustrated in Table 1, Figure 3, and Figure 4. Based upon the additional modeling and the inclusion of the image wells to simulate drawdown along the aquifer boundary (fault), the cone of depression extends westward from the pumping wells at SVR in an elliptical pattern towards the fault zone (Figures 3 and 4). After 30 years of pumping at SVR, the decline in the static water level is estimated at 76.29 feet for Well No. 1, and 76.44 feet at Well No. 2; at a distance of 3.5 miles from the center of pumping, the drawdown is estimated to be approximately 21 feet (Figures 3 and 4).

Table 1: Summary of drawdown calculations (30 years)

|            | Current Static<br>Water Level <sup>1</sup> | Static Water Level<br>After 30-Years of<br>Pumping | Saturated<br>Thickness | Estimated Pumping<br>Level at<br>15 gpm <sup>2</sup><br>- 30 years |
|------------|--|--|------------------------|--|
| Well       | (ft. bgl)                                  | (ft. bgl)  | (ft.)                  | (feet)   |
| Well No. 1 | 367.3                                      | 443.59   | 336.41                 | 593.59   |
| Well No. 2 | 372.7                                      | 449.14   | 330.86                 | 599.14   |

Notes: ft. = feet; bgl = below ground level; gpm = gallons per minute;

1 = Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021; 2 = specific capacity of 0.10 gpm/ft. used for estimations




Figure 3: 30-Year Modeled drawdown from production at Stillman Valley Ranchettes (small-scale)




Figure 4: 30-Year Modeled drawdown from production at Stillman Valley Ranchettes (large-scale)

The additional modeling efforts show that the aquifer boundary (fault) negatively impacts the water level drawdown over time when compared to the original study (Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC, 2021). Using the specific capacity calculated from the 24-hour aquifer test at Well No. 2 (0.10 gpm/ft.), the estimated pumping level at a pumping rate of 15 gpm after 30 years will reach approximately 593.59 feet below ground level (ft. bgl) in Well No. 1, and 599.14 ft. bgl in Well No. 2 (Table 1). There will be at least 330 feet of saturated thickness remaining in the Lower Trinity Aquifer at each of the SVR pumping wells even after 30 years of constant pumping.

From the well database provided by CUWCD, there are 2 nearby permitted wells completed within the Lower Trinity Aquifer on the eastern side of the aquifer boundary: Well No. N1-18-004P and Well No. N2-20-004P (Figure 4). These wells will most likely experience water level declines from pumping at SVR. Since the fault has been characterized as a no-flow boundary, it is assumed that wells situated west of the boundary will not experience water level impacts from pumping at SVR.

According to the most recent information collected by CUWCD, the static water level at Well No. N1-18-004P was documented to be 369.22 ft. bgl on November 8, 2021 (CUWCD Website). The well is 685 feet deep; the pump is set to a depth of 640 ft. bgl. From the modeling results, the decline in the static water level at Well No. N1-18-004P is estimated to be approximately 46 feet after 30 years of continuous production at SVR (Figure 4). From this information coupled with the well construction, there will be approximately 225 feet of water above the current pump setting.

According to the most recent information collected by CUWCD, the static water level in Well No. N2-20-004P was documented to be 396.85 ft. bgl on November 8, 2021 (CUWCD Website). The well is 790 feet deep; the pump is set to a depth of 700 ft. bgl. From the modeling results, the decline in the static water level at Well No. N2-20-004P is estimated to be approximately 40.5 feet after 30 years of continuous production at SVR (Figure 4). From this information coupled with the well construction, there will be approximately 262.65 feet of water above the current pump setting.


The modeled drawdown within the Lower Trinity Aquifer from production at SVR is substantial over a 30-year period; however, the projected effects do not result in unreasonable impacts to the aquifer, nor do they result in unreasonable impacts to nearby well owners. I, Andrew Worsley, Texas Licensed Professional Geoscientist, certificate number 15201, based on my best judgment, current groundwater conditions, and the information developed, assumed, and presented in this letter, certify that adequate groundwater is available from the underlying aquifer to supply the anticipated use of the proposed subdivision.

The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Andrew Worsley, P.G. 15201 on 11-19-21.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 254-315-1129.

Respectfully, Andrew Worsley, P.G. Senior Staff Hydrogeologist Wet Rock Groundwater Services, L.L.C.








#### References

- Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District. (n.d.). Retrieved November 18, 2021, from https://cuwcd.org/.
- Driscoll, F.G., 1986. Groundwater and Wells (2nd. Ed.): Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- J. G. Ferris, D. B. Knowles, R. H. Brown, and R. W. Stallman, 1962, Theory of Aquifer Tests, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1536-E, 113 p.
- Theis, C.V., 1935. The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using groundwater storage, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., vol. 16, pp. 519-524.
- Wet Rock Groundwater Services L.L.C (2021). Report of Findings Stillman Valley Ranchettes Groundwater Availability Certification for Platting: Bell County, Texas.



Wet Rock GW Services LLC Stillman Valley Ranchettes Groundwater Availability Certification Report of Findings
Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision
Groundwater Availability Certification for Platting:
Bell County, Texas

For: Mr. Russell Spillers Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC 8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53 Austin, Texas 78759







**Groundwater Specialists** 

TBPG Firm No: 50038
317 Ranch Road 620 South, Suite 203
Austin, TX 78734 Ph: 512.773.3226
www.wetrockgs.com

## REPORT OF FINDINGS WRGS 21-016

# Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision Groundwater Availability Certification for Platting: Bell County, Texas

for

Mr. Russell Spillers Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC 8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53 Austin, Texas 78759

> Bell County, Texas September 2021


WRGS Project No. 160-001-21



# Wet Rock Groundwater Services, L.L.C.

Groundwater Specialists
317 Ranch Road 620 South, Suite 203
Austin, Texas 78734 • Phone: 512-773-3226
www.wetrockgs.com
TBPG Firm No: 50038

The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Andrew Worsley, P.G. 15201 on September 24, 2021:



Ap

Andrew Worsley, P.G. License No. 15201

Wet Rock Groundwater Services, LLC TBPG Firm Registration No. 50038

(This Page Left Blank Intentionally)



# Table of Contents

| Figure 12: Modeled drawdown after 30 years from production at the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes |
|---|
| Figure 11: Modeled drawdown after 10 years from production at the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes |
| Figure 10: Groundwater model - Stillman Valley Ranchettes Wells No. 1 and 2                           |
| Figure 9: Groundwater model map   |
| Figure 8: Aquifer test hydrograph of Well No. 2 and Observation Well No. 1 (August 30, 2021)          |
| Figure 7: Aquifer test hydrograph of Well No. 2 (August 30, 2021)                                     |
| Figure 6: Well construction profiles of Well No. 1 and Well No. 2                                     |
| Figure 5: Well location map   |
| Figure 4: Aquifer map6  |
| Figure 3: Geologic map and stratigraphic column (modified from Barnes et. al, 1974)5                  |
| Figure 2: Groundwater Conservation District map   |
| Figure 1: Location map  |
| Figures   |
| 20  |
| Section VII: References   |
| Section VI: Certification   |
| V.2. Model Results - 30 Years   |
| V.1. Model Results - 10 Years   |
| Section V: Groundwater Availability   |
| IV.3. Water Quality   |
| IV.1. Well Details  |
| IV.1. Well Details 8  |
| III.2. Hydrogeology   |
| III.1. Stratigraphy and Geologic History  |
| Section III: General Groundwater Resource Information   |
| Section II: Projected Water Demand Estimate   |
| Section I: Introduction1  |



## **Tables**

| Table 1: Summary of wells within 1-mile of subdivision  | 9  |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Summary of well construction                   | 12 |
| Table 3: Summary of aquifer test results                | 17 |
| Table 4: Summary of water quality results of Well No. 2 | 18 |
| Table 5: Summary of drawdown calculations (10 years)    | 21 |
| Table 6: Summary of drawdown calculations (30 years)    | 23 |

## **Appendices**

Appendix A: Certification of Groundwater Availability for Platting Form

Appendix B: Geophysical Logs

Appendix C: State Well Reports

Appendix D: Aquifer Test Data and Analyses

Appendix E: Well Efficiency Calculation

Appendix F: Water Quality Reports



#### **Section I: Introduction**

This report details the results of a groundwater availability study of the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes Subdivision (Stillman Valley) to meet the requirements of the Certification of Groundwater Availability for Platting Form (Title 30, Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 230, Sections 230.2 through and including 230.11). Appendix A provides the completed Certification of Groundwater Availability for Platting Form.

Stillman Valley is located along Stillman Valley Road approximately 5.3 miles south of the City of Killeen in western Bell County (Figure 1). The proposed subdivision is documented within the Bell County Tax Assessor as Property ID: 15092. Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC (8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53, Austin, TX 78759) is the plat applicant.




Figure 1: Location map

Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC proposes to develop the approximately 79.8 acre property as a subdivision including 18 single family residential lots. The lot sizes range from 2.1 to 13 acres which will be served by three (3) water wells: three (3) large lots (over 10 acres each) spanning 35.1 acres will be served by an existing well; the remaining fifteen (15) lots spanning 44.7 acres will be served by two (2) new wells. The subdivision is located within the jurisdiction of the Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District (CUWCD). Figure 2 provides a map showing the general location of the subdivision with the county and groundwater district boundaries.






Figure 2: Groundwater Conservation District map

This report will focus on the fifteen (15) lots (smaller than 10 acres) spanning 44.7 acres that will be served by the new wells, since the County subdivision regulations do not require a plat for the larger tracts. This report will be submitted to CUWCD and Bell County to meet their requirements for a groundwater availability for platting study.

#### Section II: Projected Water Demand Estimate

For the purposes of this report, CUWCD provided the appropriate water usage via permit applications that will serve this subdivision. The total annual permit volume for the subdivision (2 wells) will be 1,603,901 gallons (4.92 acre-feet per year).

CUWCD Production permit details are summarized below:

- Well No. 1 will serve up to 8 homes. The well will not exceed 2,234 gallons/day (855,414 gallons per year; 2.6 acre-feet/year);
- Well No. 2 will serve up to 7 homes. The well will not exceed 2,051 gallons/day (748,487 gallons per year; 2.3 acre-feet/year); in accordance to the maximum permit amount;
- Total annual permitted volume for the subdivision: 1,603,901 gallons (4.92 acre-feet/year).

An estimated water use of 106 gallons per person along with the assumption of 2.76 persons per household (U.S. Census - Bell County Average, 2019) were utilized. The subdivision includes 15 single family residential lots. The following formula was used to calculate the projected water demand for the subdivision:

#### Equation 1: Total Water Demand

 $Qs = n \times 2.76 \times 106 \times 365 \text{ days} = 1,601,766 \text{ gallons/year or } 4.92 \text{ acre-feet/year}$ 

Where:

Qs = Total Water Demand at full build out for the subdivision;

n = Number of connections (15 lots);

2.76 = Average number of persons per household; and

106 = The average per capita usage of water per day in gallons.

Equation 1 assumes 2.76 persons per household using 106 gallons per person per day which results in a total water demand of 4.92 acre-feet/year at full build out of the subdivision. There are no planned nonresidential water demands.



#### Section III: General Groundwater Resource Information

According to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), there is (1) major aquifer (Trinity) that supplies groundwater within the study area. The TWDB classifies major aquifers as aquifers that produce large amounts of water over large areas. The Trinity Aquifer is a regionally extensive aquifer system made up of Cretaceous carbonates and sandstones that were deposited throughout central Texas. Regionally, this aquifer is affected by geologic structures which include the Llano Uplift, the San Marcos Arch, and the Balcones fault system (Ashworth, 1983).

#### III.1. Stratigraphy and Geologic History

Figure 3 provides a geologic map and stratigraphic column illustrating the geology surrounding the subdivision. The subdivision overlies the Cretaceous aged sedimentary rocks comprising the Trinity Aquifer. The Walnut Formation covers the vast majority of the subdivision's surface. The sediments that comprise these groups were deposited approximately 140 million years ago by a Cretaceous aged sea that once dominated the interior of North America and the Gulf Coast region. For approximately 79 million years this shallow sea deposited the sediments that now make up the property and its surrounding area (Toll et. al, 2018).

Pennsylvanian-aged rocks which make up the structural base in the study area are composed of the Bend, Canyon, and Strawn Groups. The oldest member of the Bend Group is the Marble Falls Limestone, which is locally divided and makes up the Marble Falls Aquifer to the west of the study area. The lower unit consists of massive limestone and reef deposits and the upper unit consists of fine grained bedded limestone with chert nodules and beds. The overlying Smithwick Formation consists of interbedded claystone, siltstone, and sandstone. Above the Bend Group are the Strawn and Canyon Groups comprised of limestones, shales, and fine grained sandstones. Together with the Smithwick Formation, these groups act as confining units between the Marble Falls and Lower Trinity aquifers (Figure 3; Preston et. al, 1996).

Cretaceous aged rocks overlie the Pennsylvanian system. The Cretaceous sediments comprising the Trinity and Edwards Groups were deposited by a shallow Cretaceous sea and once covered the entire region, but have since been eroded away completely in some areas. In the study area, the Trinity Group is divided into three geologic sections from oldest to youngest: the Travis Peak Formation, the Glen Rose Formation, and Paluxy Formation (Brune and Duffin, 1983). The Travis Peak Formation is mainly comprised of shale, sand, and limestone and is grouped as one formation to the west of the study area. To the south and west, the formation is separated from oldest to youngest into the Hosston and Sligo (Lower Trinity Aquifer), Hammett Shale (aquitard), and the Cow Creek Limestone and Hensell Sand (part of the Middle Trinity Aquifer).

Above the Travis Peak is the Glen Rose Limestone, which is separated into Upper and Lower units, and also comprise parts of the Upper and Middle Trinity aquifers, respectively (Figure 3). The Glen Rose Limestone generally consists of alternating layers of limestone and dolomite found at the top of the formation; massive limestone layers are found near the base. Above the Glen Rose Limestone is the Paluxy Sand, which is also part of the Upper Trinity Aquifer (Jones, 2003).

Above the Trinity Group lies the Fredericksburg and Washita Groups that make up the Edwards Aquifer. The Fredericksburg Group is separated from the Trinity Group by the Walnut, Bee Cave Marl,



and Keys Valley Marl (confining units). The Comanche Peak Limestone and Edwards Limestone are the two members of the Fredericksburg Group within the Edwards Aquifer. The oldest member in the overlying Washita Group contained in the Edwards Aquifer is the Georgetown Formation (Figure 3; Barnes et. al, 1974).




Figure 3: Geologic map and stratigraphic column (modified from Barnes et. al, 1974)



## III.2. Hydrogeology

The confined portion of the Trinity Aquifer is only accessible groundwater source located beneath the proposed subdivision. The Trinity Aquifer spans as far north as the Texas/Oklahoma border and as far south as Medina County where fresh water can be produced. Figure 4 shows the location of the Trinity Aquifer with respect to other aquifers in the area, including the Edwards (BFZ) Aquifer (in blue). The solid green portion reflects the unconfined zone of the Trinity Aquifer where recharge occurs; the hatched green portion reflects the confined zone of the Trinity Aquifer. The formations comprising the Trinity Aquifer become thicker downdip (east/southeast) approaching the Balcones Fault Zone to the southeast (Ashworth, 1983).




Figure 4: Aquifer map

The Trinity Aquifer as its name implies is divided into three aquifers from oldest to youngest: the Lower, Middle and Upper Trinity Aquifers. Formations comprising the Lower Trinity Aquifer include, from oldest to youngest, the Hosston and Sligo members (Figure 3). The Hosston Member consists of a conglomerate of gravel, sand and clay cemented by both calcite and quartz. It also contains sections of sandstone, siltstone, claystone, dolomite, limestone, and shale. The Sligo Limestone consists of clastic sediment near the property, and becomes dominantly limestone and dolomite to the east. Surface outcrops are referred to in the literature as Sycamore; Hosston and Sligo are the subsurface equivalents (Figure 3).

Located stratigraphically above the Hosston Member is the Hammett Shale, which is a transgressive deposit that onlaps Lower Trinity Sligo and Hosston members. The interval averages 40 to 60 feet in thickness in the central Texas area (Wierman et al., 2010). The unit is primarily a clay rich, gray-green sticky, dolomitic



shale/claystone with siltstone and dolomite lenses that acts as a confining bed separating the Lower Trinity Aquifer from the Middle Trinity Aquifer (Figure 3).

Above the Hammett Shale lies the Middle Trinity Aquifer composed of the Cow Creek Limestone, Hensell, and Lower Glen Rose Limestone (Figure 3). The Cow Creek Limestone is a massive, fossiliferous limestone and dolomite ranging up to 100 feet in thickness and may contain some interbedded sand, clay, and evaporite minerals such as gypsum and anhydrite (Ashworth, 1983; Preston et. al, 1996; Wierman et al., 2010). The formation was subaerially exposed and subjected to meteoric water infiltration during early Hensell time, which resulted in widespread vuggy porosity (Loucks, 1977). In some areas, the Cow Creek is heavily fractured and capable of producing large well yields. Overlying the Cow Creek is the Hensell Member, which in the outcrop, is composed of loose sand and grades into thick continental deposits of red clay, silt, sand, and conglomerate with limestone beds in the subsurface. The Hensell is sand rich in the northern portions of the aquifer. Downdip, the Hensell grades into marine deposits of silty dolomite, marl, calcareous shale, and shaley limestone known as the Bexar Shale Member (Ashworth, 1983). Downdip, the Bexar Shale may act as a confining unit for the Cow Creek (Wierman et al., 2010).

Stratigraphically above the Hensell Member, the Glen Rose Limestone is divided into a Lower and Upper Member (Figure 3). The boundary between the two members is identified by a thin, heavily fossiliferous limestone bed containing Corbula martinae that persists throughout the study area except where erosion has lowered the land surface below the bed (Whitney, 1952; Ashworth, 1983). The separation between the two units is also distinguishable on geophysical logs where two distinct evaporite zones are found within the Upper Glen Rose; one midway through the Upper Glen Rose and another near the base shown by resistivity spikes on a geophysical log. The lower member of the Glen Rose Limestone consists of a massive, fossiliferous limestone at the base grading upward into thin beds of limestone, dolomite, marl, and shale. The top 15 to 20 feet of the lower member, designated the Salenia texana zone, is a highly fossiliferous, nodular marl and limestone which is capped by the Corbula bed (Ashworth, 1983). Near the top of the Lower Glen Rose, in some locations, is a reef deposit that is cavernous, heavily fractured, and can range in thickness. Where the reef deposit is encountered, the Lower Glen Rose can provide high yielding wells.

The Upper Member of the Glen Rose Formation, comprising the Upper Trinity Aquifer, consists of alternating beds of limestone and dolomite with marly sections that act as aquitards and restrict downward migration of groundwater to the Middle and Lower Trinity Aquifers (Wierman et al., 2010). The Upper Glen Rose also contains two distinct evaporite beds of gypsum or anhydrite that are easily distinguishable on geophysical logs due to high resistivity values. The lower evaporite zone occurs at the base of the Upper Glen Rose, which Ashworth (1983) describes as a "convenient correlation marker" between the Upper and Lower Glen Rose. The evaporite beds in some cases are the source of elevated sulfate concentrations in groundwater. Where present, the Upper Trinity Aquifer can yield small amounts of water to shallow wells which are often utilized for livestock and domestic use.

The water quality of a well completed within the Trinity Aquifer depends upon several factors, including the degree of fracturing, the amount of time the groundwater is in contact with the rock it is flowing through, and the minerals that compose the rock. For example, groundwater that flows through gypsum and anhydrite beds, which are composed of calcium sulfate (CaSO<sub>4</sub>), will typically contain elevated levels of sulfate. Additionally, groundwater that has traveled a longer distance and has had longer contact time with aquifer sediments will also typically contain higher Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) than groundwater that has been in contact with the same rock for a shorter amount of time.



## **Section IV: Aquifer Testing**

#### IV.1. Well Details

Prior to this study, there was one existing well documented within the Stillman Valley property. The existing well is completed within the Middle Trinity Aquifer, and will serve the three (3) larger tracts (greater than 10 acres). There are also two (2) newly constructed Lower Trinity Aquifer wells located within the proposed subdivision that were used in this study. The wells were constructed by Associated Drilling, Inc. Figure 5 provides a map showing the location of the Stillman Valley wells along with all documented wells within one mile of the property boundary. Figure 6 provides well profiles displaying well construction and formation depths that were determined from the drill cuttings by Glass Well Service, state well reports and geophysical logs; Appendix B provides the geophysical logs for both wells; Appendix C provides available state well reports. Table 1 provides a summary of the existing wells according to CUWCD well database within 1-mile of the subdivision not used in testing; Table 2 provides a well construction summary for wells within the subdivision.




Figure 5: Well location map



Table 1: Summary of wells within 1-mile of subdivision

| Map<br>ID | CUWCD<br>Well ID | Exempt | Well Name  | Use               | Status  | Depth | Aquifer           |
|-----------|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|
| 4         | E-02-1000G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 700   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 5         | E-02-1137G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 542   | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 6         | E-02-1138G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 600   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 7         | E-02-1334G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 600   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 8         | E-02-1379G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 500   | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 9         | E-02-1855G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 570   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 10        | E-02-1910G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 663   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 11        | E-02-2191G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 650   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 12        | E-02-2318G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 750   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 13        | E-02-2625P       | Yes    |            | Livestock/Poultry | Active  | 580   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 14        | E-02-2755G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 720   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 15        | E-02-2756G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 850   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 16        | E-02-3143G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 615   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 17        | E-02-3144G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 700   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 18        | E-02-3433G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 561   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 19        | E-02-3592G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 0     | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 20        | E-02-3593G       | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 12    | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 21        | E-02-379P        | Yes    | 1000 (0.1) | Domestic          | Active  | 810   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 22        | E-02-380G        | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Plugged | 0     | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 23        | E-02-964G        | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Active  | 743   | Middle<br>Trinity |
| 24        | E-02-980G        | Yes    |            | Livestock/Poultry | Active  | 0     | Upper<br>Trinity  |
| 25        | E-03-179P        | Yes    |            | Domestic          | Plugged | 680   | Middle<br>Trinity |



| 26 | E-03-192G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 522   | Middle<br>Trinity |
|----|-----------|------|---|-------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|
| 27 | E-03-206G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | A -4:    | 570   |                   |
| 21 | E-03-2000 | 1 65 |   | Domestic          | Active   | 572   | Middle            |
| 20 | E-03-207G | Vec  |   | Demination        | A -4:    | 550   | Trinity           |
| 28 | E-03-20/G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 552   | Middle            |
| 20 | E 02 021C | 3.7  |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 29 | E-03-231G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 560   | Middle            |
| 20 | T 00 000  |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 30 | E-03-232G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 400   | Upper             |
|    |           |      | 43 4                                    |                   | 100      |       | Trinity           |
| 31 | E-03-256G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 560   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 32 | E-03-299G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 850   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 33 | E-03-317G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 685   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 34 | E-03-318G | Yes  |   | Not Used          | Inactive | 14    | Alluvium          |
| 35 | E-03-322G | Yes  |   | Livestock/Poultry | Active   | 660   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 36 | E-03-400G | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 570   | Middle            |
|    |           | -    |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 37 | E-04-092P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 560   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 38 | E-05-080P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 600   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 39 | E-07-052G | Yes  |   | Livestock/Poultry | Plugged  | 580   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 40 | E-08-039P | Yes  | , | Domestic          | Active   | 590   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 41 | E-11-049P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 700   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 42 | E-11-069P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 720   | Middle            |
|    | 2501      |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 43 | E-12-024P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 590   | Middle            |
|    |           |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 44 | E-12-030P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 580   | Middle            |
|    | 0, 1      |      | 0.00                                    |                   |          | 2 3 0 | Trinity           |
| 45 | E-12-053P | Yes  |   | Domestic          | Active   | 625   | Middle            |
|    |           | -    |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 46 | E-16-046P | Yes  | Knight                                  | Domestic          | Active   | 580   | Middle            |
|    |           |      | 3                                       |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 47 | E-19-     | Yes  | Hale                                    | Domestic          | Active   | 663   | Middle            |
|    | 132GU     |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 48 | E-19-     | Yes  | Corbin                                  | Domestic          | Active   | 561   | Middle            |
|    | 175GU     |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 49 | E-19-     | Yes  | Cavin                                   | Domestic          | Active   | 562   | Middle            |
|    | 176GU     |      |   |                   |          |       | Trinity           |
| 50 | E-20-080P | Yes  | Blake                                   | Domestic          | Active   | 750   | Undeclared        |
|    | - L.      |      |   |                   |          |       | 21.01370140       |



| 51 | E-21-057P  | Yes |                        | Domestic | Proposed | 620 | Undeclared       |
|----|------------|-----|------------------------|----------|----------|-----|------------------|
| 52 | N1-18-004P | No  | Justin Scott           | Domestic | Active   | 685 | Lower<br>Trinity |
| 53 | N2-20-004P | No  | Hines Texas, LLC<br>#3 | Domestic | Capped   | 730 | Lower<br>Trinity |
| 54 | N2-20-005P | No  | Hines Texas, LLC<br>#4 | Domestic | Capped   | 762 | Lower<br>Trinity |
| 55 | N2-21-004P | No  | Hines Texas, LLC<br>#4 | Domestic | Proposed | 760 | Lower<br>Trinity |

To meet the guidelines for the Bell County development rules and regulations and to adequately assess the availability of groundwater within the vicinity of the proposed subdivision, one (1) aquifer test was conducted. The aquifer test consisted of pumping one well for at least 24 hours followed by a recovery phase while measuring water levels in both the pumping and observation wells. This is in accordance with the testing procedures of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Title 30 Part 1 Chapter 230.8. Based on the state well reports, drillers' lithology logs, and geophysical logs conducted by Well Scope, Inc., all wells used in the aquifer testing were completed in the Lower Trinity Aquifer. The following provides a well construction summary for each well used in the aquifer test:

## Well No. 1

According to the State Well Report (Tracking No. 583803), Well No. 1 was completed by Associated Drilling, Inc. on August 17, 2021. The well was drilled to a total depth of 800 feet below ground level (ft. bgl) with a 12-inch borehole from 0 to 18 ft. bgl and an 8-inch borehole from 18 to 800 ft. bgl. The well was completed with 4 1/2-inch PVC casing set from +2 to 720 ft. bgl and 780 to 800 ft. bgl, with 4 1/2-inch PVC screen from 720 to 780 ft. bgl. According to the driller's lithology log and geophysical logs, the well was completed in the Sligo and Hosston members of the Lower Trinity Aquifer. According to the well report, the well was jetted at an estimated rate of up to 20 gallons per minute (gpm) upon completion (Figure 6; Appendix C).

#### Well No. 2


According to the State Well Report (Tracking No. 583802), Well No. 2 was completed by Associated Drilling, Inc. on August 19, 2021. The well was drilled to a total depth of 780 ft. bgl with a 12-inch borehole from 0 to 18 ft. bgl and an 8-inch borehole from 18 to 780 ft. bgl. The well was completed with 4 1/2-inch PVC casing set from +2 to 700 ft. bgl and 760 to 780 ft. bgl, with 4 1/2-inch PVC screen from 700 to 760 ft. bgl. According to the driller's lithology log and geophysical logs, the well was completed in the Sligo and Hosston members of the Lower Trinity Aquifer. According to the well report, the well was jetted at an estimated rate of up to 20 gpm upon completion (Figure 6; Appendix C).




Table 2: Summary of well construction

| Well          | Tracking<br>No. | Lafitude         | Longitude       | Elevation<br>(ft. MSL) | Date<br>Completed | Aquifer          | Well<br>Depth<br>(ft. bgl) | Static Water<br>Level<br>(ft. bgl; date;<br>ft. MSL) | Borehole<br>(diameter;<br>ft. bgl)  | Casing<br>(diamoter;<br>material; ft. bgl) | Sereen<br>(diameter;<br>material; ft. bgl) |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Well<br>No. 1 | 583803          | 30° 55' 43.32" N | 97° 43' 0.67" W | 787                    | 8-17-21           | Lower<br>Trinity | 800                        | 367.3'<br>(8-30-21)<br>419.7' MSL                    | 12"<br>(0'-18')<br>8"<br>(18'-800') | 4 1/2" PVC<br>(+2'-720')<br>(780'-800')    | 4 1/2" PVC Screen<br>(720'-780')           |
| Well<br>No. 2 | 583802          | 30° 55' 41.57" N | 97° 43' 4.48" W | 783                    | 8-19-21           | Lower<br>Trinity | 780                        | 372.7'<br>(8-30-21)<br>410.3' MSL                    | 12"<br>(0'-18')<br>8"<br>(18'-780') | 4 1/2" PVC<br>(+2'-700')<br>(760'-780')    | 4 1/2" PVC Screen<br>(700'-760')           |







Notes:

- Well profiles created with information from State Well Reports, drill cuttings and geophysical surveys (8-23-21).

- Stratigraphy is estimated.

- Figure for schematic purposes; not drawn to scale.

Figure 6: Well construction profiles of Well No. 1 and Well No. 2



#### IV.2. Aquifer Testing

One (1) aquifer test was performed utilizing 2 wells to assess the hydrogeologic properties of the Lower Trinity Aquifer within the proposed subdivision. The objective was to perform an aquifer test with a 24-hour pumping phase followed by a recovery phase in which the pumping well achieved at least 90% recovery. For the aquifer test, Glass Well Service set a submersible pump within the pumping well that was capable of varying its discharge rate via ball valve. Prior to the start of the aquifer test, pressure transducers capable of measuring the water level and temperature at one-minute intervals were placed in the pumping and observation wells to gather data for the duration of the test. Flow meter readings and water levels were taken prior to, during, and at the conclusion of the test. The aquifer test had at least a 24-hour pumping phase followed by a recovery phase. The data from the aquifer test was analyzed using the Cooper and Jacob (1946) solution in the Aqtesolv software suite (Duffield, 2007). Table 3 provides a summary of the aquifer testing results; Appendix D provides the results of the aquifer test analyses; and Appendix E provides the well efficiency calculation for Well No. 2.

# IV.2.1. Aquifer Test of Well No. 2 (August 31, 2021)

The aquifer test of Well No. 2 (pumping well) was conducted on August 30, 2021 with Well No. 1 serving as the observation well approximately 376 feet away. A 5 horsepower (HP) submersible pump was set in the pumping well on 700 feet of 1 1/2-inch PVC column pipe. The pump was started at 9:43 A.M. on August 30, 2021; the water level was monitored for 24.05 hours of pumping and 30.02 hours of recovery. Prior to the pumping phase of the aquifer test, the static water level of the pumping well was measured at 372.7 ft. bgl (410.3 ft. MSL) and the static water level of the observation well was measured at 376.3 ft. bgl (419.7 ft. MSL). Figure 7 provides a hydrograph of the pumping well and temperature over the duration of the aquifer test; Figure 8 provides a hydrograph of both the pumping and observation wells over the duration of the test.

Well No. 2 was pumped at an average rate of 17 gpm throughout the pumping phase; the initial rate was 18 gpm and the final measured pumping rate was 17 gpm with 175.61 feet of drawdown, resulting in a specific capacity of 0.10 gpm/ft. When compared to the theoretical specific capacity (0.04 gpm/ft.), Well No. 2 exhibited an efficiency of 275%. The Cooper-Jacob analysis resulted in a transmissivity of 11.59 ft²/day, and a hydraulic conductivity of 0.11 ft./day. A maximum drawdown of 51.1 feet was observed in Well No. 1, indicating a hydraulic connection between the two wells. Due to the observed hydraulic connection, we calculated a storativity value of 2.07 x 10<sup>-5</sup> (Cooper-Jacob; Table 3).

The majority of drawdown for Well No. 2 was observed within the first four hours of the pumping phase (137 feet; Figure 7). Throughout the rest of the pumping phase, the water level within Well No. 2 continued to gradually decline by approximately 38 feet before pump shutoff (Figure 7). The water level in the observation well displayed a direct response to starting and stopping the pump in Well No. 2 (Figure 8). After the pump was shut off, recovery was measured in both wells; the water level in the pumping well recovered 90% in approximately 16 hours. There were no aquifer boundary conditions observed during the testing.






Figure 7: Aquifer test hydrograph of Well No. 2 (August 30, 2021)






Figure 8: Aquifer test hydrograph of Well No. 2 and Observation Well No. 1 (August 30, 2021)



Table 3: Summary of aquifer test results

| Date      | Well     | Average<br>Pump Rate<br>(gpm) | Final<br>Pump Rafe<br>(gpm) | Drawdown<br>(ft.) | Specific<br>Capacity<br>(gpm/ft.) | Transmissivity<br>(ft²/d) | Storativity             | Hydraulic<br>Conductivity<br>(ft/d) | Well<br>Efficiency | Aquifer<br>Thickness<br>(ft.) | Aquifer<br>Boundary<br>Detected |
|-----------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 8/30/2021 | PW No. 2 | 17                            | 17                          | 175.61            | 0.10                              | 11.59                     | -                       | 0.11                                | 275%               | 104                           | No                              |
|           | OW No. 1 | <u>:</u>                      | -                           | 51.1              | -                                 | -                         | 2.07 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> | -                                   |                    | 104                           | No                              |



#### IV.3. Water Quality

A water quality sample was collected from the pumping well after approximately 3 hours of pumping. The sample was collected by an owner of the proposed development in sealed containers and stored on ice in a cooler. The sample was transported to LCRA Environmental Laboratory Services and was tested in accordance with Texas Administrative Code 230.9 (Determination of Groundwater Quality). Appendix F provides a copy of the water quality reports.

Table 4 provides the water quality summary of Well No. 2. The results were compared to Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) and Secondary Contaminant Levels (SCL). The results showed that the sample exceeded the MCL standard for fluoride and SCL standard for chloride, pH, and total dissolved solids (TDS).

The water sample was also tested for the presence or absence of total coliform and E. coli. Total coliform bacteria was found to be present while E. coli was absent. Presence of total coliform bacteria within a well that has recently been drilled is not uncommon. With additional proper chlorination of the well, we anticipate that future samples will indicate the absence of total coliform bacteria.

Table 4: Summary of water quality results of Well No. 2

|                         |           | CI               | Conductivity<br>(mbos/cm) | F       | Fe               | NO3    | Mu                | р <b>И</b>           | <b>\$04</b>      | Hardness<br>(as CaCO3) | TDS               | TC/E. coli     |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Sample TCEQ MCLs & SCLs |           |                  |                           |         |                  |        |                   |                      |                  |                        |                   |                |
| Well                    | Data      | 300 <sup>2</sup> |                           | 41 & 22 | 0.3 <sup>2</sup> | 10¹    | 0.05 <sup>2</sup> | 6.5-8.5 <sup>2</sup> | 300 <sup>2</sup> |                        | 1000 <sup>2</sup> | Presence       |
| No. 2                   | 8/30/2021 | 370              | 2,030                     | 6.41    | < 0.05           | < 0.01 | 0.003             | 8.64                 | 30.9             | 39.2                   | 1,150             | Present/Absent |

Note: 1 = TCEQ Maximum Contaminant Level; 2 = TCEQ Secondary Contaminant Level; Concentrations in red are above TCEQ MCL or SCLs; All units expressed in mg/L (except pH & E.C.);



### Section V: Groundwater Availability

A groundwater model was utilized to determine the projected drawdown from production at the proposed subdivision at full build out to ultimately determine whether or not there is sufficient groundwater availability. In order to estimate future groundwater conditions, a one-layer groundwater model, consisting of 370 rows and 370 columns for a total of 136,900 cells, was created to estimate drawdown under a normal production scenario for Stillman Valley. Each cell has dimensions of 100 feet by 100 feet; the entire grid represents an approximately 49.0 square mile portion of the Trinity Aquifer. The boundaries of the grid extend approximately 3.5 miles beyond the center of the proposed subdivision in order to evaluate the potential regional impacts from pumping (Figure 9).




Figure 9: Groundwater model map

Assumptions used in the drawdown calculations and the overall groundwater availability for the proposed subdivision include inherent uncertainties such as:

- Future pumpage from the aquifer or from interconnected aquifers from area wells outside of
  the subdivision or any other factor that cannot be predicted that will affect the storage of water
  in the aquifer;
- Long-term impacts to the aquifer based on climatic variations; and/or,
- Future impacts to usable groundwater due to unforeseen or unpredictable contamination.



The model calculates drawdown at each cell using the Theis Equation,

$$s = \frac{Q}{4\pi T}W(u)$$
 (Equation 1)

where:

s = drawdown (feet);

Q = discharge (gallons per minute; gpm);

 $T = transmissivity (ft.^2/day);$  and

W(u) = well function.

The well function W(u) is estimated by:

$$W(u) = -0.5772 - \ln u + u - \frac{u^2}{2 \times 2!} + \frac{u^3}{3 \times 3!} - \frac{u^4}{4 \times 4} + \dots$$
 (Equation 2)

where:

$$u = \frac{r^2 S}{4Tt}$$
 (Equation 3)

r = the radius at which drawdown is estimated (feet); and

S = storativity (dimensionless).

The Theis Equation has several assumptions used to derive the formula which include (Driscoll, 1986):

- 1. The water-bearing formation is uniform in character and the hydraulic conductivity is the same in all directions;
- 2. The aquifer is uniform in thickness and infinite in areal extent;
- 3. The aquifer receives no recharge from any source;
- 4. The well penetrates, and receives water from the full thickness of the aquifer;
- 5. The water from storage is discharged instantaneously when the head is lowered;
- 6. The pumping well is 100% efficient;
- 7. All water removed from the well comes from aquifer storage;
- 8. Laminar flow exists through the well and aquifer; and,
- 9. The water table or potentiometric surface has no slope.

The groundwater model was designed to estimate drawdown at full buildout (15 lots) after 10 and 30 years of continuous production at a rate of 2,234 gallons per day in Well No. 1 (1.55 gpm), and 2,051 gallons per day in Well No. 2 (1.42 gpm); the production from the Lower Trinity Aquifer equates to a constant rate of approximately 2.97 gpm (Figure 10). In an effort to model the aquifer impacts from the proposed pumping in accordance with site-specific data, the following values calculated from the Stillman Valley aquifer testing were utilized: transmissivity: 11.59 ft.²/day; and, storativity: 2.07 x 10<sup>-5</sup>.






Figure 10: Groundwater model - Stillman Valley Ranchettes Wells No. 1 and 2

## V.1. Model Results - 10 Years

The groundwater model was designed to estimate drawdown at full permitted capacity for Wells No. 1 and No. 2 after 10 years. The results of the model are summarized in Table 5 and Figure 11.

Table 5: Summary of drawdown calculations (10 years)

|               | Current Static<br>Water Level | Static Water Level<br>After 10-Years of<br>Pumping | Estimated Pumping<br>Level at 17 gpm*<br>- 10 years | Boundary A                               | Nearest Property<br>fter 10 Years of<br>mping | Dist. to Outer Edges of<br>Cone of Depression -<br>10 years |  |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Well          | (ft. bgl)                     | (ft. bgl)  | (feet)  | Property<br>Boundary<br>Distance<br>(ft) | Drawdown<br>(feet)                            | (miles)   |  |
| Well No.<br>1 | 367.3                         | 438.21   | 592.76  | 50                                       | 56.62   | ~13   |  |
| Well No.      | 372.7                         | 441.11   | 595.66  | 50                                       | 55.30   | ~13   |  |

Notes: ft. = feet; bgl = below ground level; gpm = gallons per minute; \*specific capacity of 0.11 gpm/ft. used for estimations






Figure 11: Modeled drawdown after 10 years from production at the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes

Based upon the drawdown calculated from distance-drawdown projections, the drawdown after 10 years of production at the full permit volume results in of 70.91 feet of drawdown at Well No. 1; 68.41 feet for Well No. 2. Due to the nature of the Theis calculation, the outer edges of the cone of depression caused by the pumping is approximately 13 miles from the pumping wells (Table 5); we estimate that the actual area of influence will be much more localized. Estimated drawdown at the eastern edge of the subdivision was calculated to be approximately 45 feet (Figure 11).

From the model results, there will be approximately 330 feet of saturated thickness remaining in the Lower Trinity Aquifer at each of the pumping wells after 10 years of constant pumping at the permitted volume. Using the specific capacity calculated from the 24-hour aquifer test at Well No. 2 (0.11 gpm/ft.), the estimated pumping level at 17 gpm will reach approximately 592.76 ft. bgl in Well No. 1, and 595.66 feet in Well No. 2 (Table 5). From this estimation, the pumping water level will not reach the confined production zone, and therefore will not result in dewatering the aquifer.

## V.2. Model Results - 30 Years

The groundwater model was designed to estimate drawdown at full permitted capacity for Wells No. 1 and No. 2 after 30 years. The results of the model are summarized in Table 6 and Figure 12.

Table 6: Summary of drawdown calculations (30 years)

|          | Current Static<br>Water Level | Static Water Level Estimated Pumping Drawdown at Nearest Property After 10-Years of Pumping - 30 years Pumping  Drawdown at Nearest Property Boundary After 30 Years of Pumping |        | Dist. to Outer Edges of<br>Cone of Depression -<br>30 years |                    |         |
|----------|-------------------------------|---|--------|---|--------------------|---------|
| Well     | (ft. bgl)                     | (ft. bgl)   | (feet) | Property<br>Boundary<br>Distance<br>(ft)                    | Drawdown<br>(feet) | (miles) |
| Well No. | 367.3                         | 442.52  | 597.06 | 50  | 60.85              | ~20     |
| Well No. | 372.7                         | 445.43  | 599.97 | 50  | 59.65              | ~20     |

Notes: ft. = feet; bgl = below ground level; gpm = gallons per minute; \*specific capacity of 0.11 gpm/ft. used for estimations




Figure 12: Modeled drawdown after 30 years from production at the proposed Stillman Valley Ranchettes



Based upon the drawdown calculated from distance-drawdown projections, the drawdown after 30 years of production at the full permit volume results in of 75.22 feet of drawdown at Well No. 1; 72.73 feet for Well No. 2. Due to the nature of the Theis calculation, the outer edges of the cone of depression caused by the pumping is approximately 20 miles from the pumping wells (Table 6); we estimate that the actual area of influence will be much more localized. Estimated drawdown at the eastern edge of the subdivision was calculated to be approximately 49.5 feet (Figure 12).

From the model results, there will be approximately 325 feet of saturated thickness remaining in the Lower Trinity Aquifer at each of the pumping wells after 30 years of constant pumping at the permitted volume. Using the specific capacity calculated from the 24-hour aquifer test at Well No. 2 (0.11 gpm/ft.), the estimated pumping level at 17 gpm will reach approximately 597.06 ft. bgl in Well No. 1, and 599.97 feet in Well No. 2 (Table 6). From this estimation, the pumping water level will not reach the confined production zone, and therefore will not result in dewatering the aquifer.



## **Section VI: Certification**

I, Andrew Worsley, Texas Licensed Professional Geoscientist, certificate number 15201, based on best judgment, current groundwater conditions, and the information developed and presented in this form, certify that adequate groundwater is available from the underlying aquifer to supply the anticipated use of the proposed subdivision.

The Trinity Aquifer in the area near the proposed subdivision exhibits variable yield and water quality and is susceptible to long-term water level declines. For these reasons we recommend that the submersible pumps within each well are set as deep as practical in an effort to sustain adequate water supply. On-site treatment systems such as reverse osmosis and/or water softeners may be installed by the home owner to reduce the potential effects from poor-quality groundwater.



#### **Section VII: References**

- Ashworth, J. B., 1983, Ground-water availability of the Lower Cretaceous formations in the Hill Country of south-central Texas: Texas Department of Water Resources Report 273,173 p.
- Barlow, P.M., and Leake, S.A., 2012. Streamflow depletion by wells—Understanding and managing the effects of groundwater pumping on streamflow. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1376. Reston, Virginia: USGS.
- Bredehoeft, J.D., and T.J. Durbin. 2009. Ground water development—The time to full capture problem. Ground Water 47, no. 4: 506–514. DOI:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2008. 00538.x
- Brune, G., and Duffin, G. L., 1983, Occurrence, availability, and quality of groundwater in Travis County, Texas: Texas Department of Water Resources, Report 276, 219 p.
- Cooper, H.H. and C.E. Jacob, 1946. A generalized graphical method for evaluating formation constants and summarizing well field history, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., vol. 27, pp. 526-534.
- Driscoll, F.G., 1986. Groundwater and Wells (2<sup>nd</sup>. Ed.): Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota, p. 1021.
- Duffield, G.M., 2007, AQTESOLV for Windows Version 4.5--PROFESSIONAL, HydroSOLVE, Inc., Reston, VA. http://www.aqtesolv.com/default.htm
- George, W. O., 1952. Geology and ground-water resources of Comal County, Tex., with sections on surface-water runoff, by S. D. Breeding and Chemical character of the water, by W. W. Hastings: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1138, 126 p.
- Jones, I.C., 2003, Groundwater availability modeling: northern segment of the Edwards aquifer, Texas: Texas Water Development Board Report 358, 75 p.
- Loucks, R.G., 1977, Porosity development and distribution in shoal-water carbonate complexes—subsurface Pearsall Formation (Lower Cretaceous) South Texas, in Bebout, D.G., and Loucks, R.G., eds., Cretaceous Carbonates of Texas and Mexico: University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, Report of Investigations no. 89, p. 97–126
- Preston, R.D., Pavilcek, D.J., Bluntzer, R.L., and Derton, J., 1996. The Paleozoic and Related Aquifers of Central Texas. TWDB Report 346, 77 p.
- Theis, C.V. 1940. The source of water derived from wells—Essential factors controlling the response of an aquifer to development. Civil Engineering 10: 277–280.
- Toll, N. J., Green, R. T., McGinnis, R. N., Stepchinski, L. M., Nunu, R. N., Walter, G. R., . . . Deeds, N. E. (May 2018). Conceptual Model Report for the Hill Country Trinity Aquifer Groundwater Availability Model. Austin: Texas Water Development Board (TWDB).



- Walton, W.C. 2011. Aquifer system response time and groundwater supply management. Ground Water 49, no. 2: 126–127.
- Whitney, 1952. Some Zone Marker Fossils of the Glen Rose Formation of Central Texas. Marion I. Whitney, Journal of Paleontology V26, No. 1 p. 65-73, January 1952.
- Wierman, D. A., Broun, A. S., Hunt, B. B., 2010, Hydrogeologic Atlas of the Hill Country Trinity Aquifer, Blanco, Hays, and Travis Counties, Central Texas. Hays-Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, United States.



# Appendix A

Certification of Groundwater Availability for Platting Form



#### CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY FOR PLATTING FORM

Use of this form: If required by a municipal authority pursuant to Texas Local Government Code, §212.0101, or a county authority pursuant to §232.0032, Texas Local Government Code, the plat applicant and the Texas licensed professional engineer or Texas licensed professional geoscientist shall use this form based upon the requirements of Title 30, TAC, Chapter 230 to certify that adequate groundwater is available under the land to be subdivided (if the source of water for the subdivision is groundwater under the subdivision) for any subdivision subject to platting under Texas Local Government Code, §212.004 and §232.001. The form and Chapter 230 do not replace state requirements applicable to public drinking water supply systems or the authority of counties or groundwater conservation districts under either Texas Water Code, §35.019 or Chapter 36.

Administrative Information (30 TAC §230.4)

1. Name of Proposed Subdivision: Stillman Valley Ranchettes

2. Any Previous Name Which Identifies the Tract of Land: 3. Property Owner's Name(s): Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC Address: 8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53, Austin, Texas 78759 Phone: 512-814-7357 Fax: 4. Plat Applicant's Name: Whitley 20 Stillman Valley, LLC Address: 8127 Mesa Dr., #206-53, Austin, Texas 78759 Phone: 512-814-7357 Fax: 5. Licensed Professional Engineer or Geoscientist: Name: Andrew Worsley, P.G. Address: 317 Ranch Road 620 S., Suite 203, Lakeway, Texas 78734 Phone: 254-315-1129 Fax: Certificate Number: TBPG License No.: 15201 6. Location and Property Description of Proposed Subdivision: approximately 5.3 miles south of the City of Killeen in western Bell County; intersection of Stillman Valley Rd. and Firefly Rd. 7. Tax Assessor Parcel Number(s). Book: Map: Parcel: Bell County: 15092

# Proposed Subdivision Information (30 TAC §230.5)

- 8. Purpose of Proposed Subdivision (single family/multi-family residential, non-residential, commercial): single family
- 9. Size of Proposed Subdivision (acres): 44.7
- 10. Number of Proposed Lots: 15
- 11. Average Size of Proposed Lots (acres): 2.98
- 12. Anticipated Method of Water Distribution.

| Expansion of Existing Public Water Supply System? | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| New (Proposed) Public Water Supply System?        | Yes | No |
| Individual Water Wells to Serve Individual Lots?  | Yes | No |
| Combination of Methods?                           | Yes | No |

Description (if needed): 2 permitted wells will serve 15 lots: Well No. 1 will serve up to 8 homes; Well No. 2 will serve up to 7 homes.

13. Additional Information (if required by the municipal or county authority):

Note: If public water supply system is anticipated, written application for service to existing water providers within a 1/2-mile radius should be attached to this form (30 TAC §230.5(f) of this title).

# Projected Water Demand Estimate (30 TAC §230.6)

14. Residential Water Demand Estimate at Full Build Out (includes both single family and multi-family residential).

Number of Proposed Housing Units (single and multi-family): 15 single family housing units

Average Number of Persons per Housing Unit: 2.76 persons

Gallons of Water Required per Person per Day: 106 gallons per capita per day (gpcd)

Water Demand per Housing Unit per Year (acre feet/year): 0.3277 acre-feet

Total Expected Residential Water Demand per Year (acre feet/year): 4.92 acre-feet

15. Non-residential Water Demand Estimate at Full Build Out.

Type(s) of Non-residential Water Uses: N/A

Water Demand per Type per Year (acre feet/year): 4.92 acre-feet

- 16. Total Water Demand Estimate at Full Build Out (acre feet/year): 4.92 acre-feet/year
- 17. Sources of Information Used for Demand Estimates: US Census data and Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District

General Groundwater Resource Information (30 TAC §230.7)

18. Identify and describe, using Texas Water Development Board names, the aquifer(s) which underlies the proposed subdivision: Trinity Aquifer


Note: Users may refer to the most recent State Water Plan to obtain general information pertaining to the state's aquifers. The State Water Plan is available on the Texas Water Development Board's Internet website at: www.twdb.state.tx.us

| Obtaining Site-Specific Groundwater Data (30 TAC §230.8)   |     |     |
|--|-----|-----|
| 19. Have all known existing, abandoned, and inoperative wells within the proposed subdivision been located, identified, and shown on the plat as required under §230.8(b) of this title?                                     | Yes | No  |
| 20. Were the geologic and groundwater resource factors identified under §230.7(b) of this title considered in planning and designing the aquifer test required under §230.8(c) of this title?                                | Yes | No  |
| 21. Have test and observation wells been located, drilled, logged, completed, developed, and shown on the plat as required by §230.8(c)(1) - (4) of this title?  | Yes | No  |
| 22. Have all reasonable precautions been taken to ensure that contaminants do not reach the subsurface environment and that undesirable groundwater has been confined to the zone(s) of origin (§230.8(c)(5) of this title)? | Yes | No  |
| 23. Has an aquifer test been conducted which meets the requirements of §230.8(c)(1) and (6) of this title?   | Yes | No  |
| 24. Were existing wells or previous aquifer test data used?  | Yes | No  |
| 25. If yes, did they meet the requirements of §230.8(c)(7) of this title?  | Yes | No  |
| 26. Were additional observation wells or aquifer testing utilized?   | Yes | (No |

Note: If expansion of an existing public water supply system or a new public water supply system is the anticipated method of water distribution for the proposed subdivision, site-specific groundwater data shall be developed under the requirements of 30 TAC, Chapter 290, Subchapter D of this title (relating to Rules and Regulations for Public Water Systems) and the applicable information and correspondence developed in meeting those requirements shall be attached to this form pursuant to §230.8(a) of this title.

| Determination of Groundwater Quality (30 TAC §230.9)  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 27. Have water quality samples been collected as required by §230.9 of this title?  | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28. Has a water quality analysis been performed which meets the requirements of §230.9 of this title?   | (Yes)    | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Determination of Groundwater Availability (30 TAC §230.10)  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29. Have the aquifer parameters required by §230.10(c) of this title been determined?   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30. If so, provide the aquifer parameters as determined.  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of yield and drawdown: (See attached Table 3)  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific capacity: (See attached Table 3 & Appendix C)  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Efficiency of the pumped well: (See attached Table 3 & Appe   | endix D) |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmissivity: (See attached Table 3 & Appendix C)   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficient of storage: (See attached Table 3)  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic conductivity: (See attached Table 3 & Appendix C)   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Were any recharge or barrier boundaries detected? Yes   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, please describe:  |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thickness of aquifer(s): 104 feet   |          |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Have time-drawdown determinations been calculated as required under §230.10(d)(1) of this title?  | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32. Have distance-drawdown determinations been calculated as required under §230.10(d)(2) of this title?  | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33. Have well interference determinations been made as required under §230.10(d)(3) of this title?  | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34. Has the anticipated method of water delivery, the annual groundwater demand estimates at full build out, and geologic and groundwater information been taken into account in making these determinations? | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35. Has the water quality analysis required under §230.9 of this title been compared to primary and secondary public drinking water standards as required under §230.10(e) of                                 | Yes      | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| this title?  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Does the concentration of any analyzed constituent exceed the standards?   | Yes  | No                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, please list the constituent(s) and concentration measure(s) which exceed standards: Fluoride (6.41 mg/L); Chloride (370 mg/L); pH (8.64); TDS (1,150 mg/L); and presence of total coliform bacteria  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundwater Availability and Usability Statements (30 TAC  | §230.11(a) and (b))                          |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36. Drawdown of the aquifer at the pumped well(s) is estimated period andfeet over a 30-year period. (See attack)  |  | eet over a 10-year       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37. Drawdown of the aquifer at the property boundary is estimated to be feet over a 10-year period and feet over a 30-year period. (See attached Tables 5 & 6)   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38. The distance from the pumped well(s) to the outer edges of the cone(s)-of-depression is estimated to befeet over a 10-year period andfeet over a 30-year period. (See attached Tables 5 & 6)   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39. The recommended minimum spacing limit between wells well yield of 17 gallons per minute per well.  | is 375 feet wi                               | th a recommended         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40. Available groundwater is is not (circle one) of sufficient platted subdivision.  | quality to meet the in                       | tended use of the        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41. The groundwater availability determination does not consider assumptions or uncertainties that are inherent in the groundwater (See Section V)   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certification of Groundwater Availability (30 TAC §230.11(c))  Must be signed by a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer or a Texas Licensed Professional Geoscientist.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42. I, Andrew Worsley , Texas Licent Licensed Professional Geoscientist (circle which applies), cert based on best professional judgment, current groundwater con and presented in this form, certify that adequate groundwater to supply the anticipated use of the proposed subdivision. | ificate numberditions, and the informations. | 15201 , mation developed |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Adopted July 9, 2008

Effective July 31, 2008

# Appendix B

Geophysical Logs



# **Geophysical Logs**

Well No. 1



Well No. 2



# **Appendix C**

State Well Reports



Well Report

Well No. 1

STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #583803

Owner:

Stillman Valley Ranchettes c/o Russel

Owner Well #:

No Data

Address:

Grid #:

58-03-4

8127 Mesa Dr #206-53

**Austin, TX 78759** 

Latitude:

30° 55' 43.32" N

Well Location:

17965 Stillman Valley Road

Florence, TX

Longitude:

097° 43' 00.67" W

Well County:

**Spillers** 

Elevation:

No Data

Type of Work:

**New Well** 

Bell

Proposed Use:

**Domestic** 

Drilling Start Date: 8/16/2021

Drilling End Date: 8/17/2021

Borehole:

Diameter (in.) 12

Top Depth (ft.)

Bottom Depth (ft.) 18

8

0 18

800

**Drilling Method:** 

**Air Rotary** 

**Borehole Completion:** 

**Straight Wall** 

Top Depth (ft.)

Bottom Depth (ft.)

Description (number of sacks & material)

Annular Seal Data:

0

18

4 cement 2 holeplug Bags/Sacks

620

680

12 cement Bags/Sacks

Seal Method: pressure tremmie method

Distance to Property Line (ft.): +100

Sealed By: Driller

Distance to Septic Field or other

concentrated contamination (ft.): unknown

Distance to Septic Tank (ft.): unknown

Method of Verification: owner

Surface Completion:

**Surface Sleeve Installed** 

**Surface Completion by Driller** 

Water Level:

420 ft. below land surface on 2021-08-17

Measurement Method: Sonic/Radar

Packers:

burlap 18'

burlap and rubber 680'

Type of Pump:

Submersible

Well Tests:

**Estimated** 

Yield: 15-20 GPM

Strata Depth (ft.)

Water Type

Water Quality:

380

hosston trinity

Chemical Analysis Made:

Did the driller knowingly penetrate any strata which

contained injurious constituents?: No

amed injunious constituents:.

**Certification Data:** 

The driller certified that the driller drilled this well (or the well was drilled under the driller's direct supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true and correct. The driller understood that failure to complete the required items will result in the report(s) being returned for completion and resubmittal.

Company Information:

**Associated Drilling Inc** 

**PO BOX 673** 

**Dripping Springs, TX 78620** 

**Driller Name:** 

**James Benoit** 

License Number:

4064

Comments:

**Drilled for Glass Well Services** 

**Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District** 

# Lithology: DESCRIPTION & COLOR OF FORMATION MATERIAL

# Casing: BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA

No

| Top (ft.) | Bottom (ft.) | Description                            | Dla<br>(in.) | Туре   | Material             | Sch./Gage      | Top (ft.) | Bottom<br>(ft.) |
|-----------|--------------|--|--------------|--------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 0         | 10           | tan lime and clay                      | 8            | Blank  | New Plastic          | sch 40         | -2        | 18              |
| 10        | 515          | blue lime                              |              |        | (PVC)                |                | _         |                 |
| 515       | 530          | grey lime                              | 4.5          | Blank  | New Plastic (PVC)    | sdr17          | -1        | 720             |
| 530       | 605          | tan white limestone, some sand, hensel | 4.5          | Screen | New Plastic<br>(PVC) | sdr17<br>0.020 | 720       | 780             |
| 605       | 635          | grey limestone                         | 4.5          | Blank  | New Plastic<br>(PVC) | sdr17          | 780       | 800             |
| 635       | 660          | grey limestone and shale               |              |        | ή. το,               |                |           |                 |
| 660       | 715          | tan white limestone                    |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |
| 715       | 740          | blue tan sandstone, trinity            |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |
| 740       | 760          | multi color limestone                  |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |
| 760       | 770          | yellow white limestone                 |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |
| 770       | 780          | red yellow clay                        |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |
| 780       | 800          | grey black shale, smithwick            |              |        |                      |                |           |                 |

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540 Well Report

Well No. 2

STATE OF TEXAS WELL REPORT for Tracking #583802

Owner:

Stillman Valley Ranchettes c/o Russel

**Spillers** 

Address:

8127 Mesa DR #206-53

**Austin, TX 78759** 

Well Location:

17965 Stillman Valley Road

Florence, TX

Well County:

Bell

Owner Well #:

No Data

Grid #:

58-03-4

Latitude:

30° 55' 41.57" N

Longitude:

097° 43' 04.48" W

Elevation:

No Data

Type of Work:

**New Well** 

Proposed Use:

**Domestic** 

Drilling Start Date: 8/18/2021

Drilling End Date: 8/19/2021

Diameter (in.)

Top Depth (ft.)

Bottom Depth (ft.)

Borehole:

12 8

0

18

18 780

**Drilling Method:** 

**Air Rotary** 

**Borehole Completion:** 

**Straight Wall** 

Top Depth (ft.)

Bottom Depth (ft.)

Description (number of sacks & material)

Annular Seal Data:

0

18

4 cement 2 holeplug Bags/Sacks

620

680

12 cement Bags/Sacks

Seal Method: pressure tremmie

Sealed By: Driller

Distance to Septic Field or other

concentrated contamination (ft.): unknown

Distance to Property Line (ft.): +100

Distance to Septic Tank (ft.): unknown

Method of Verification: owner

Surface Completion:

**Surface Sleeve Installed** 

**Surface Completion by Driller** 

Water Level:

407 ft. below land surface on 2021-08-19

Measurement Method: Sonic/Radar

Packers:

burlap 18

burlap and rubber 680

Type of Pump:

**Submersible** 

Well Tests:

**Estimated** 

Yield: 15-20 GPM

Water Type
Water Quality: 373 hosston trinity

Chemical Analysis Made: No

Did the driller knowingly penetrate any strata which contained injurious constituents?:

Certification Data: The driller certified that the driller drilled this well (or the well was drilled under the

driller's direct supervision) and that each and all of the statements herein are true and correct. The driller understood that failure to complete the required items will result in

the report(s) being returned for completion and resubmittal.

Company Information: Associated Drilling Inc

**PO BOX 673** 

**Dripping Springs, TX 78620** 

Driller Name:

**James Benoit** 

License Number:

No

4064

Comments:

drilled for Glass Well Services

**Clearwater Underground Water Conservation District 11DC2020** 

| DESCRIPT  | TION & COL   | Lithology:<br>OR OF FORMATION MATERIAL | Casing:<br>BLANK PIPE & WELL SCREEN DATA |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |
|-----------|--------------|--|--|--------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Top (ft.) | Bottom (ft.) | Description                            | Dla<br>(in.)                             | Туре   | Material          | Sch./Gage      | Top (ft.) | Bottom<br>(ft.) |  |  |  |
| 0         | 10           | tan clay and lime                      | 8  | Blank  | New Plastic       | sch 40         | -2        | 18              |  |  |  |
| 10        | 505          | blue lime                              |  |        | (PVC)             | ===            | _         |                 |  |  |  |
| 505       | 520          | blue clay                              | 4.5                                      | Blank  | New Plastic (PVC) | sdr17          | -1        | 700             |  |  |  |
| 520       | 610          | tan white limestone, hensell           | 4.5                                      | Screen | New Plastic (PVC) | sdr17<br>0.020 | 700       | 760             |  |  |  |
| 610       | 630          | grey limestone                         |  |        | New Plastic       |                | =         |                 |  |  |  |
| 630       | 655          | grey lime and shale                    | 4.5                                      | Blank  | (PVC)             | sdr17          | 760       | 780             |  |  |  |
| 655       | 690          | tan white limestone                    |  |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |
| 690       | 740          | blue tan limestone, trinity            |  |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |
| 740       | 760          | multi color limestone                  |  |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |
| 760       | 770          | yellow white limestone                 |  |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |
| 770       | 780          | red yellow clay                        |  |        |                   |                |           |                 |  |  |  |

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR PERSONS HAVING WELLS DRILLED CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY

TEX. OCC. CODE Title 12, Chapter 1901.251, authorizes the owner (owner or the person for whom the well was drilled) to keep information in Well Reports confidential. The Department shall hold the contents of the well log confidential and not a matter of public record if it receives, by certified mail, a written request to do so from the owner.

Please include the report's Tracking Number on your written request.

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation P.O. Box 12157 Austin, TX 78711 (512) 334-5540

# Appendix D

Aquifer Test Data and Analysis



**Aquifer Test** 

Well No. 2

Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well No. 2 - Aquifer Test (August 30, 2021)

| Date and Time    | Time Since<br>Pump Start<br>(min) | Time Since<br>Pump Stop<br>(min) | PW Wetl No. 2 Temperature (F) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft bgs) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Pump Rate<br>(gpm) | PW Well No. 2 Specific Capacity (gpm/ft) | Comments            | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 8/30/21 9:43 AM  | 0                                 |                                  | 77.72                         | 372.70                                      | 410.30                                      | 0.00                                 |  | <del>†</del>                             | Pump Start          | 419.68                                      | 0.00                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:44 AM  | 1                                 |                                  | 77.70                         | 388.29                                      | 394.71                                      | 15.59                                | 18                                     | 1.15                                     | Meter: 16.7 gallons | 419.59                                      | 0.09                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:45 AM  | 2                                 |                                  | 77.68                         | 404.73                                      | 378.27                                      | 32.03                                | 18                                     | 0.56                                     |                     | 419.63                                      | 0.05                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:46 AM  | 3                                 |                                  | 77.72                         | 413.53                                      | 369.47                                      | 40.83                                | 18                                     | 0.44                                     |                     | 419.67                                      | 0.01                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:47 AM  | 4                                 |                                  | 77.67                         | 420.28                                      | 362.73                                      | 47.57                                | 18                                     | 0.38                                     |                     | 419.73                                      | -0.05                                |
| 8/30/21 9:48 AM  | 5                                 |                                  | 77.68                         | 425.25                                      | 357.75                                      | 52.54                                | 18                                     | 0.34                                     |                     | 419.59                                      | 0.09                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:49 AM  | 6                                 |                                  | 77.67                         | 429.23                                      | 353.78                                      | 56.52                                | 18                                     | 0.32                                     |                     | 419.59                                      | 0.09                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:50 AM  | 7                                 |                                  | 77.61                         | 432.64                                      | 350.36                                      | 59.93                                | 18                                     | 0.30                                     |                     | 419.68                                      | 0.00                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:51 AM  | 8                                 |                                  | 77.60                         | 435.56                                      | 347.45                                      | 62.85                                | 18                                     | 0.29                                     |                     | 419.65                                      | 0.03                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:52 AM  | 9                                 |                                  | 77.54                         | 438.05                                      | 344.95                                      | 65.35                                | 18                                     | 0.28                                     |                     | 419.65                                      | 0.03                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:53 AM  | 10                                |                                  | 77.47                         | 440.21                                      | 342.79                                      | 67.51                                | 18                                     | 0.27                                     |                     | 419.71                                      | -0.04                                |
| 8/30/21 9:54 AM  | - 11                              |                                  | 77.47                         | 442.21                                      | 340.79                                      | 69.50                                | 18                                     | 0.26                                     |                     | 419.67                                      | 0.01                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:55 AM  | 12                                |                                  | 77.42                         | 443.87                                      | 339.13                                      | 71.16                                | 18                                     | 0.25                                     |                     | 419.65                                      | 0.03                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:56 AM  | 13                                |                                  | 77.39                         | 445.48                                      | 337.52                                      | 72.78                                | 18                                     | 0.25                                     |                     | 419.69                                      | -0.01                                |
| 8/30/21 9:57 AM  | 14                                |                                  | 77.37                         | 447.01                                      | 335.99                                      | 74.30                                | 18                                     | 0.24                                     |                     | 419.60                                      | 0.08                                 |
| 8/30/21 9:58 AM  | 15                                |                                  | 77.28                         | 448.32                                      | 334.69                                      | 75.61                                | 18                                     | 0.24                                     | pH: 10.79/ EC: 2.70 | 419.60                                      | 0.08                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:03 AM | 20                                |                                  | 77.16                         | 453.97                                      | 329.03                                      | 81.27                                | 18                                     | 0.22                                     | pH: 9.92/ EC: 2.19  | 419.44                                      | 0.24                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:08 AM | 25                                |                                  | 77.15                         | 458.35                                      | 324,65                                      | 85,64                                | 18                                     | 0.21                                     | pH: 9.30/ EC: 2.09  | 419.44                                      | 0.24                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:13 AM | 30                                |                                  | 77.13                         | 461.89                                      | 321.11                                      | 89.18                                | 18                                     | 0.20                                     | pH: 9.08/ EC: 2.06  | 419.20                                      | 0.47                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:18 AM | 35                                |                                  | 77.17                         | 464.86                                      | 318.15                                      | 92.15                                | 18                                     | 0.20                                     | pH: 8.91/EC: 2.05   | 418.99                                      | 0.69                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:23 AM | 40                                |                                  | 77.25                         | 467.62                                      | 315.38                                      | 94.91                                | 18                                     | 0.19                                     | pH: 8.83/ EC: 2.04  | 418.98                                      | 0.69                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:28 AM | 45                                |                                  | 77.30                         | 470.13                                      | 312.87                                      | 97.43                                | 18                                     | 0.18                                     | pH: 8.72/ EC: 2.03  | 418.62                                      | 1.06                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:43 AM | 60                                |                                  | 77.49                         | 476.28                                      | 306.72                                      | 103.58                               | 18                                     | 0.17                                     | pH: 8.56/ EC: 2.01  | 418.00                                      | 1.68                                 |
| 8/30/21 10:58 AM | 75                                |                                  | 77.53                         | 481.46                                      | 301.54                                      | 108.76                               | 18                                     | 0.17                                     | pH: 8.41/EC: 2.01   | 417.25                                      | 2.43                                 |
| 8/30/21 11:13 AM | 90                                |                                  | 77.54                         | 485.78                                      | 297.22                                      | 113.07                               | 18                                     | 0.16                                     | pH: 8.37/ EC: 2.00  | 416.38                                      | 3.30                                 |
| 8/30/21 11:28 AM | 105                               |                                  | 77.59                         | 489.56                                      | 293.44                                      | 116.86                               | 17                                     | 0.15                                     | pH: 8.27/ EC: 2.00  | 415.47                                      | 4.21                                 |
| 8/30/21 11:43 AM | 120                               |                                  | 77.57                         | 492.60                                      | 290.40                                      | 119.90                               | 17                                     | 0.14                                     | pH: 8.24/ EC: 2.01  | 414.63                                      | 5.04                                 |
| 8/30/21 12:13 PM | 150                               |                                  | 77.57                         | 498.10                                      | 284.90                                      | 125.40                               | 17                                     | 0.14                                     | pH: 8.13/ EC: 2.00  | 412.83                                      | 6.85                                 |
| 8/30/21 12:43 PM | 180                               |                                  | 77.61                         | 502.60                                      | 280.40                                      | 129.89                               | 17                                     | 0.13                                     | pH: 8.08/ EC: 2.06  | 411.05                                      | 8.63                                 |
| 8/30/21 1:13 PM  | 210                               |                                  | 77.55                         | 506.33                                      | 276.67                                      | 133.63                               | 17                                     | 0.13                                     | pH: 7.98/ EC: 2.12  | 409.31                                      | 10.37                                |
| 8/30/21 1:43 PM  | 240                               |                                  | 77.58                         | 509.64                                      | 273.36                                      | 136.93                               | 17                                     | 0.12                                     | pH: 7.99/ EC: 2.16  | 407.42                                      | 12.25                                |
| 8/30/21 2:43 PM  | 300                               |                                  | 77.53                         | 515.14                                      | 267.86                                      | 142.44                               | l                                      | † ···• †                                 | pii. 7.221 DC. 2.10 | 404.10                                      | 15.58                                |
| 8/30/21 3:43 PM  | 360                               |                                  | 77.54                         | 519.58                                      | 263.42                                      | 146.88                               |  | h  |                     | 400.87                                      | 18.81                                |
| 8/30/21 4:43 PM  | 420                               |                                  | 77.49                         | 523.10                                      | 259.90                                      | 150.40                               |  | t  |                     | 397.99                                      | 21.68                                |
| 8/30/21 5:43 PM  | 480                               |                                  | 77.48                         | 526.24                                      | 256.76                                      | 153.53                               | ļ                                      | + +                                      |                     | 395.26                                      | 24.42                                |

Note: bgs = below ground surface Column Pipe Diameter = 1 1/2 inches Horsepower = 5 HP

MSL = Mean Sea Level Pump Setting = 700 ft EC=Electrical conductivity (mS/cm)

#### Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well No. 2 - Aquifer Test (August 30, 2021)

| Date and Time    | Time Since<br>Pump Start<br>(min) | Time Since<br>Pump Stop<br>(min) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Temperature<br>(F) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft bgs) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Pump Rate<br>(gpm) | PW Well No. 2 Specific Capacity (gpm/ft)         | Comments                | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 8/30/21 6:43 PM  | 540                               |                                  | 77.48                                  | 528.90                                      | 254.10                                      | 156.19                               |  | <del>                                     </del> |                         | 392.69                                      | 26.99                                |
| 8/30/21 7:43 PM  | 600                               |                                  | 77.46                                  | 531.26                                      | 251.74                                      | 158.56                               |  |  |                         | 390.30                                      | 29.38                                |
| 8/30/21 8:43 PM  | 660                               |                                  | 77.50                                  | 533.34                                      | 249.67                                      | 160.63                               |  | 1  |                         | 388.08                                      | 31.59                                |
| 8/30/21 9:43 PM  | 720                               |                                  | 77.50                                  | 535.18                                      | 247.82                                      | 162.47                               |  |  |                         | 386.09                                      | 33.58                                |
| 8/30/21 10:43 PM | 780                               |                                  | 77.51                                  | 536.93                                      | 246.07                                      | 164.23                               |  |  |                         | 384.19                                      | 35.48                                |
| 8/30/21 11:43 PM | 840                               |                                  | 77.49                                  | 538.29                                      | 244.71                                      | 165.58                               |  |  |                         | 382.36                                      | 37.31                                |
| 8/31/21 12:43 AM | 900                               |                                  | 77.50                                  | 539.77                                      | 243.23                                      | 167.06                               |  |  |                         | 380.71                                      | 38.96                                |
| 8/31/21 1:43 AM  | 960                               |                                  | 77.49                                  | 541.00                                      | 242.00                                      | 168.30                               |  |  |                         | 379.10                                      | 40.58                                |
| 8/31/21 2:43 AM  | 1,020                             |                                  | 77.49                                  | 542.24                                      | 240.76                                      | 169.54                               |  |  |                         | 377.56                                      | 42.11                                |
| 8/31/21 3:43 AM  | 1,080                             |                                  | 77.47                                  | 543.29                                      | 239.71                                      | 170.58                               |  |  |                         | 376.11                                      | 43.57                                |
| 8/31/21 4:43 AM  | 1,140                             |                                  | 77.46                                  | 544.20                                      | 238.80                                      | 171.50                               |  |  |                         | 374.79                                      | 44.89                                |
| 8/31/21 5:43 AM  | 1,200                             |                                  | 77.49                                  | 545.25                                      | 237.75                                      | 172.55                               |  |  |                         | 373.55                                      | 46.13                                |
| 8/31/21 6:43 AM  | 1,260                             |                                  | 77.49                                  | 546.08                                      | 236.92                                      | 173.37                               |  |  |                         | 372.25                                      | 47.42                                |
| 8/31/21 7:43 AM  | 1,320                             |                                  | 77.51                                  | 546.86                                      | 236.14                                      | 174.16                               |  |  |                         | 371.07                                      | 48.61                                |
| 8/31/21 8:43 AM  | 1,380                             |                                  | 77.47                                  | 547.66                                      | 235.34                                      | 174.96                               |  |  |                         | 369.98                                      | 49.69                                |
| 8/31/21 9:43 AM  | 1,440                             |                                  | 77.53                                  | 548.48                                      | 234.52                                      | 175.77                               |  |  |                         | 368.89                                      | 50.78                                |
| 8/31/21 9:46 AM  | 1,443                             | 0                                | 77.47                                  | 548.32                                      | 234.68                                      | 175.61                               | 17                                     | 0.10   | Pump Stop               | 368.93                                      | 50.74                                |
| 8/31/21 9:47 AM  | 1,444                             | 1                                | 77.51                                  | 537.55                                      | 245.45                                      | 164.85                               |  |  | Meter: 24,536.7 gallons | 368.89                                      | 50.79                                |
| 8/31/21 9:48 AM  | 1,445                             | 2                                | 77.65                                  | 522.93                                      | 260.07                                      | 150.23                               |  |  | Avg. Pump Rate: 17      | 368.82                                      | 50.86                                |
| 8/31/21 9:49 AM  | 1,446                             | 3                                | 77.75                                  | 514.02                                      | 268.98                                      | 141.32                               |  | 1  |                         | 368.85                                      | 50.82                                |
| 8/31/21 9:50 AM  | 1,447                             | 4                                | 77.84                                  | 507.67                                      | 275.33                                      | 134.97                               |  |  |                         | 368.78                                      | 50.89                                |
| 8/31/21 9:51 AM  | 1,448                             | 5                                | 77.95                                  | 502.80                                      | 280.21                                      | 130.09                               |  |  |                         | 368.77                                      | 50.90                                |
| 8/31/21 9:52 AM  | 1,449                             | 6                                | 77.99                                  | 498.94                                      | 284.06                                      | 126.24                               |  |  |                         | 368.81                                      | 50.87                                |
| 8/31/21 9:53 AM  | 1,450                             | 7                                | 78.08                                  | 495.73                                      | 287.28                                      | 123.02                               |  |  |                         | 368.69                                      | 50.98                                |
| 8/31/21 9:54 AM  | 1,451                             | 8                                | 78.10                                  | 492.96                                      | 290.04                                      | 120.26                               |  |  |                         | 368.77                                      | 50.90                                |
| 8/31/21 9:55 AM  | 1,452                             | 9                                | 78.19                                  | 490.70                                      | 292.30                                      | 117.99                               |  |  |                         | 368.79                                      | 50.89                                |
| 8/31/21 9:56 AM  | 1,453                             | 10                               | 78.21                                  | 488.53                                      | 294.47                                      | 115.83                               |  |  |                         | 368.72                                      | 50.95                                |
| 8/31/21 9:57 AM  | 1,454                             | 11                               | 78.26                                  | 486.56                                      | 296.44                                      | 113.85                               |  |  |                         | 368.68                                      | 51.00                                |
| 8/31/21 9:58 AM  | 1,455                             | 12                               | 78.26                                  | 484.94                                      | 298.07                                      | 112.23                               |  | 1  |                         | 368.68                                      | 51.00                                |
| 8/31/21 9:59 AM  | 1,456                             | 13                               | 78.20                                  | 483.39                                      | 299.61                                      | 110.69                               |  |  |                         | 368.66                                      | 51.02                                |
| 8/31/21 10:00 AM | 1,457                             | 14                               | 78.21                                  | 481.93                                      | 301.07                                      | 109.23                               |  |  |                         | 368.66                                      | 51.02                                |
| 8/31/21 I0:01 AM | 1,458                             | 15                               | 78.20                                  | 480.66                                      | 302.34                                      | 107.95                               |  |  |                         | 368.76                                      | 50.91                                |
| 8/31/21 10:06 AM | 1,463                             | 20                               | 78.11                                  | 474.99                                      | 308.01                                      | 102.28                               |  | 1  |                         | 368.63                                      | 51.05                                |
| 8/31/21 10:11 AM | 1,468                             | 25                               | 78.02                                  | 470.59                                      | 312.42                                      | 97.88                                |  |  |                         | 368.60                                      | 51.08                                |
| 8/31/21 10:16 AM | 1,473                             | 30                               | 77.90                                  | 466.99                                      | 316.02                                      | 94.28                                |  |  |                         | 368.63                                      | 51.04                                |


Note: bgs = below ground surface Column Pipe Diameter = 1 1/2 inches Horsepower = 5 HP
MSL = Mean Sea Level Pump Setting = 700 ft EC=Electrical conductivity (ms/cm)

Stillman Valley Ranchettes Well No. 2 - Aquifer Test (August 30, 2021)

| Date and Time    | Time Since<br>Pump Start<br>(min) | Time Since<br>Pump Stop<br>(min) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Temperature<br>(F) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft bgs) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) | PW<br>Well No. 2<br>Pump Rate<br>(gpm) | PW Well No. 2 Specific Capacity (gpm/ft) | Comments | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Water Level<br>(ft MSL) | OW<br>Well No. 1<br>Drawdown<br>(ft) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 8/31/21 10:21 AM | 1,478                             | 35                               | 77.83                                  | 463.92                                      | 319.08                                      | 91.21                                |  |  |          | 368.63                                      | 51.04                                |
| 8/31/21 10:26 AM | 1,483                             | 40                               | 77.69                                  | 461.12                                      | 321.88                                      | 88.41                                |  |  |          | 368.66                                      | 51.02                                |
| 8/31/21 10:31 AM | 1,488                             | 45                               | 77.64                                  | 458.61                                      | 324.39                                      | 85.91                                |  |  |          | 368.75                                      | 50.93                                |
| 8/31/21 10:46 AM | 1,503                             | 60                               | 77.59                                  | 452.26                                      | 330.74                                      | 79.56                                |  |  |          | 369.10                                      | 50.57                                |
| 8/31/21 11:01 AM | 1,518                             | 75                               | 77.58                                  | 447.21                                      | 335.79                                      | 74.51                                |  |  |          | 369.61                                      | 50.07                                |
| 8/31/21 11:16 AM | 1,533                             | 90                               | 77.54                                  | 442.95                                      | 340.05                                      | 70.25                                |  |  |          | 370.06                                      | 49.61                                |
| 8/31/21 11:31 AM | 1,548                             | 105                              | 77.53                                  | 439.10                                      | 343.90                                      | 66.39                                |  |  |          | 370.64                                      | 49.04                                |
| 8/31/21 11:46 AM | 1,563                             | 120                              | 77.52                                  | 435.89                                      | 347.11                                      | 63.18                                |  |  |          | 371.20                                      | 48.48                                |
| 8/31/21 12:16 PM | 1,593                             | 150                              | 77.54                                  | 430.30                                      | 352.70                                      | 57.60                                |  |  |          | 372.55                                      | 47.13                                |
| 8/31/21 12:46 PM | 1,623                             | 180                              | 77.56                                  | 425.73                                      | 357.27                                      | 53.02                                |  |  |          | 373.89                                      | 45.79                                |
| 8/31/21 1:16 PM  | 1,653                             | 210                              | 77.54                                  | 421.94                                      | 361.06                                      | 49.23                                |  |  |          | 375.14                                      | 44.53                                |
| 8/31/21 1:46 PM  | 1,683                             | 240                              | 77.56                                  | 418.63                                      | 364.37                                      | 45.92                                |  |  |          | 376.47                                      | 43.20                                |
| 8/31/21 2:46 PM  | 1,743                             | 300                              | 77.56                                  | 413.33                                      | 369.67                                      | 40.63                                |  |  |          | 379.06                                      | 40.62                                |
| 8/31/21 3:46 PM  | 1,803                             | 360                              | 77.59                                  | 409.07                                      | 373.93                                      | 36.37                                |  |  |          | 381.46                                      | 38.21                                |
| 8/31/21 4:46 PM  | 1,863                             | 420                              | 77.55                                  | 405.61                                      | 377.39                                      | 32.91                                |  |  |          | 383.60                                      | 36.08                                |
| 8/31/21 5:46 PM  | 1,923                             | 480                              | 77.57                                  | 402.78                                      | 380.22                                      | 30.08                                |  |  |          | 385.61                                      | 34.07                                |
| 8/31/21 6:46 PM  | 1,983                             | 540                              | 77.59                                  | 400.49                                      | 382.51                                      | 27.79                                |  |  |          | 387.46                                      | 32.22                                |
| 8/31/21 7:46 PM  | 2,043                             | 600                              | 77.55                                  | 398.36                                      | 384.64                                      | 25.65                                |  |  |          | 389.20                                      | 30.48                                |
| 8/31/21 8:46 PM  | 2,103                             | 660                              | 77.56                                  | 396.59                                      | 386.41                                      | 23.88                                |  |  |          | 390.71                                      | 28.96                                |
| 8/31/21 9:46 PM  | 2,163                             | 720                              | 77.54                                  | 395.05                                      | 387.95                                      | 22.35                                |  |  |          | 392.10                                      | 27.58                                |
| 8/31/21 10:46 PM | 2,223                             | 780                              | 77.57                                  | 393.61                                      | 389.39                                      | 20.91                                |  |  |          | 393.49                                      | 26.19                                |
| 8/31/21 11:46 PM | 2,283                             | 840                              | 77.57                                  | 392.35                                      | 390.65                                      | 19.65                                |  |  |          | 394.67                                      | 25.01                                |
| 9/1/21 12:46 AM  | 2,343                             | 900                              | 77.59                                  | 391.35                                      | 391.65                                      | 18.64                                |  |  |          | 395.81                                      | 23.87                                |
| 9/1/21 1:46 AM   | 2,403                             | 960                              | 77.55                                  | 390.30                                      | 392.70                                      | 17.60                                |  |  |          | 396.85                                      | 22.83                                |
| 9/1/21 2:46 AM   | 2,463                             | 1,020                            | 77.61                                  | 389.36                                      | 393.64                                      | 16.66                                |  |  |          | 397.87                                      | 21.81                                |
| 9/1/21 3:46 AM   | 2,523                             | 1,080                            | 77.57                                  | 388.62                                      | 394.39                                      | 15.91                                |  |  |          | 398.81                                      | 20.86                                |
| 9/1/21 4:46 AM   | 2,583                             | 1,140                            | 77.56                                  | 387.77                                      | 395.23                                      | 15.07                                |  |  |          | 399.61                                      | 20.07                                |
| 9/1/21 5:46 AM   | 2,643                             | 1,200                            | 77.56                                  | 387.15                                      | 395.85                                      | 14.45                                |  |  |          | 400.35                                      | 19.33                                |
| 9/1/21 6:46 AM   | 2,703                             | 1,260                            | 77.60                                  | 386.49                                      | 396.51                                      | 13.78                                |  |  |          | 401.08                                      | 18.60                                |
| 9/1/21 7:46 AM   | 2,763                             | 1,320                            | 77.59                                  | 385.84                                      | 397.16                                      | 13.14                                |  |  |          | 401.73                                      | 17.94                                |
| 9/1/21 8:46 AM   | 2,823                             | 1,380                            | 77.61                                  | 385.41                                      | 397.59                                      | 12.71                                |  |  |          | 402.35                                      | 17.32                                |

Note: bgs = below ground surface Column Pipe Diameter = 1 1/2 inches Horsepower = 5 HP

MSL = Mean Sea Level Pump Setting = 700 ft EC=Electrical conductivity (mS/cm)



# WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: \...\PW Well 2.aqt

Date: 09/24/21

Time: 09:21:48

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: WRGS
Client: Russell Spillers
Project: 160-001-20
Location: Bell County
Test Well: Well No. 2
Test Date: 8-30-21

# **AQUIFER DATA**

Aquifer Thickness: 10-

104. ft

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

# **WELL DATA**


| Pumpi      | ng Wells |        | Observation Wells |        |        |  |  |  |
|------------|----------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Well Name  | X (ft)   | Y (ft) | Well Name         | X (ft) | Y (ft) |  |  |  |
| Well No. 2 | 0        | 0      | ۰                 |        |        |  |  |  |

# **SOLUTION**

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Cooper-Jacob

 $T = 11.59 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ 



#### **WELL TEST ANALYSIS**

Data Set: \...\OW Well 1.aqt

Date: 09/24/21

Time: 09:21:09

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: WRGS Client: Russell Spillers Project: 160-001-20 Location: Bell County Test Well: Well No. 2 Test Date: 8-30-21

#### AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 104. ft

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

Solution Method: Cooper-Jacob

# **WELL DATA**

|            | Pumping Wells |        |          |
|------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| Well Name  | X (ft)        | Y (ft) | Well Na  |
| Well No. 2 | 0             | 0      | • Well N |

| Observation Wells |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Well Name         | X (ft) | Y (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · Well No. 1      | 376    | 0      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

 $T = 10.88 \text{ ft}^2/\text{day}$ 

S = 2.065E-5

# Appendix E

Well Efficiency Calculation



Well Efficiency

Well No. 2

# W<sub>R</sub>

# Wet Rock Groundwater Services, L.L.C.

Groundwater Specialists
TBPG Firm No: 50038
317 Ranch Road 620 South, Suite 203
Austin, Texas 78734 • Ph: 512-773-3226
www.wetrockgs.com

# Well Efficiency Calculations Well No. 2

From: Driscoll, F.G., 1986: Groundwater and Wells: second Ed. Pp.556-558

Well Efficiency = (Actual specific capacity / Theoretical specific capacity)

Actual Specific Capacity =  $\frac{Q}{s}$ 

Where: Q = Discharge of well, in gpm; and s = Observed drawdown, in feet

Actual Specific Capacity = 17 gpm / 175.61 ft = 0.11 gpm/ft

Theoretical Specific Capacity = 
$$\frac{Q}{S'}$$
;  $S' = \frac{T}{264 \log \frac{0.3Tt}{r^2 S}}$ 

Where:

Q = Discharge of well, in gpm;

s' = Theoretical drawdown, in feet;

T = Transmissivity, in gpd/ft;

t = Time of pumping, in days;

S = Storage Coefficient, =  $2.07 \times 10^{-5}$ ; and,

r = radius of well, in ft.

$$\frac{86.70}{264\log\frac{(0.3)(86.70)(1)}{(0.1875)^2(0.0000207)}} = 0.04$$
ific Capacity =

Theoretical Specific Capacity =

Efficiency = Actual Specific Capacity / Theoretical Specific Capacity = 0.11 / 0.04 = 275%

# Appendix F

Water Quality Reports



 $\Diamond$ 

**Water Quality** 

Well No. 2



September 14, 2021

BRAD DENKHAUS
WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY LLC
21400 WINDMILL RANCH AVE
Pflugerville, TX 78660
brad\_denkhaus@yahoo.com

RE: Final Analytical Report

Q2123436

Attn: BRAD DENKHAUS

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by LCRA Environmental Laboratory Services. Results reported herein conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. This final report provides results related only to the sample(s) as received for the above referenced work order.

Thank you for selecting ELS for your analytical needs. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at (512) 730-6022 or environmental.lab@lcra.org. We look forward to assisting you again.

Authorized for release by:

Ariana Dean Account Manager ariana.dean@lcra.org

Enclosures:





Workorder: Q2123436

Workorder Description: RWSTBDENKHAUS\_08302021

Client: **BRAD DENKHAUS ELS CLIENT** Report To:

WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN Profile: ELS CLIENT 2020 **VALLEY LLC** 

21400 WINDMILL RANCH AVE Sampled By: BRAD DENKHAUS

Pflugerville, TX 78660

# **Sample Summary**

| Lab ID      | Sample ID                            | Matrix | Method                        | Date Collected   | Date Received    | Analytes<br>Reported |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | E200.7 Metals, Trace Elements | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 3                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | E200.8, ICP-MS                | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 6                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | E300.0, Anions                | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 5                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | SM2340B, Hardness Calc.       | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 1                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | SM2510B, Conductivity @ 25°C  | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 1                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | SM2540C, TDS                  | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 1                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | SM4500-H+B, pH @ 25°C         | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 2                    |
| Q2123436001 | WHITLEY 20<br>STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | DW     | SM9223B, IDEXX                | 08/30/2021 11:06 | 08/30/2021 13:22 | 3                    |

# **Report Definitions**

MRL - Minimum Reporting Limit

LOD - Limit of Detection

ML - Maximum Limit - Client Specified MCL - Maximum Contaminant Level

LOQ - Limit of Quantitation - Client Specified

**DF** - Dilution Factor

(S) - Surrogate Spike

MDL - Method Detection Limit

**RPD - Relative Percent Difference** 

#### **Qualifier Definitions**



- J Analyte detected below quantitation limit
- R RPD outside duplicate precision limit
- S Spike recovery outside limit
- B- Analyte detected in method blank
- N Not Accredited
- M Analyte Detected Above Maximum Contaminant Level
- SL Spike Recovery Low SH Spike Recovery High
- H Analyzed Past Hold Time CR Confirmed Result
- CH Result confirmed by historical data



# **Workorder Summary**

#### **Analysis Results Comments**

Lab ID: Q2123436001 Sample ID: WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY LLC

Fluoride - M|Analyte Detected Above Maximum Contaminant Level

**Analytical Results** 

Client ID: **ELS CLIENT** Lab ID:

Q2123436001

**Date Collected: Date Received:**  08/30/2021 11:06 08/30/2021 13:22 Matrix: **Drinking Water** 

Sample ID:

WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY

Conductance @ 25°C (SM2510B, Conductivity @ 25°C)

Units

Units

Units

370 mg/L

6.41 mg/L

39.2 mg/L

1150 mg/L

Results

Results

Results

INORGANICS (SM2340B, Hardness Calc.)

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (SM2540C, TDS)

MRL

10.0

0.100

MRL

MRL

25.0

LOD

5.00

0.0500

LOD

LOD

10.0

Location:

SAMPLE Sample Type:

Facility:

Project ID:

**ELS CLIENT 2020** 

Sample Point:

| Parameter            | Results       | Units       | MRL      | LOD      | ML     | DF | Prepared         | Ву | Analyzed         | Ву | Qualifier |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|----|------------------|----|------------------|----|-----------|
| Specific Conductance | 2030          | umho/cm     | 10.0     | 10.0     |        | 1  | 08/31/2021 14:41 | ME | 08/31/2021 14:41 | ME |           |
| INORGANICS (E200.7   | Prep/E200.7 N | letals, Tra | ce Eleme | ents)    |        |    |                  |    |                  |    |           |
| Parameter            | Results       | Units       | MRL      | LOD      | ML     | DF | Prepared         | Ву | Analyzed         | Ву | Qualifier |
| Calcium Total        | 9.77          | mg/L        | 0.200    | 0.0700   |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:26 | ME | 09/09/2021 12:47 | FM | N         |
| iron Total           | <0.0500       | mg/L        | 0.0500   | 0.0200   |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:26 | ME | 09/09/2021 12:47 | FM |           |
| Magnesium Total      | 3.61          | mg/L        | 0.200    | 0.0700   |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:26 | ME | 09/09/2021 12:47 | FM |           |
| INORGANICS (E200.8,  | ICP-MS Prep   | E200.8, IC  | P-MS)    |          |        |    |                  |    |                  |    |           |
| Parameter            | Results       | Units       | MRL      | LOD      | ML     | DF | Prepared         | Ву | Analyzed         | Ву | Qualifier |
| Aluminum Total       | 0.138         | mg/L        | 0.00500  | 0.00200  |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| Arsenic Total        | 0.00479       | mg/L        | 0.00100  | 0.000400 | 0.01   | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| Copper Total         | 0.00226       | mg/L        | 0.00100  | 0.000400 | 1      | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| Lead Total           | <0.00100      | mg/L        | 0.00100  | 0.000400 | 0.0150 | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| Manganese Total      | 0.00301       | mg/L        | 0.00100  | 0.000400 |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| Zinc Total           | <0.00500      | mg/L        | 0.00500  | 0.00200  |        | 1  | 09/03/2021 10:21 | ME | 09/07/2021 08:23 | FO |           |
| INORGANICS (E300.0,  | Anions)       |             |          |          |        |    |                  |    |                  |    |           |
| Parameter            | Results       | Units       | MRL      | LOD      | ML     | DF | Prepared         | Ву | Analyzed         | Ву | Qualifier |
| Nitrite (as N)       | <0.0100       | mg/L        | 0.0100   | 0.00500  | 1      | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML |           |
| Nitrate (as N)       | <0.0100       | mg/L        | 0.0100   | 0.00500  | 10     | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML |           |
| Sulfate              | 30.9          | mg/L        | 1.00     | 0.500    |        | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML | 08/30/2021 15:10 | ML |           |
| INORGANICS (E300.0,  | Anions)       |             |          |          |        |    |                  |    |                  |    |           |

ML

4

ML

ML

DF

10

10

DF

1

10

**Parameter** 

**Parameter** 

**Parameter** 

Total Hardness (as CaCO3)

Total Dissolved Solids(TDS)

Chloride

Fluoride

Analyzed

08/31/2021 10:16

08/31/2021 10:16

**Analyzed** 

09/13/2021 12:14

**Analyzed** 

09/01/2021 13:38

Ву

MI

ML

Ву

CW

Ву

ML

Qualifier

M

Qualifier

Ν

Qualifier

Ву

М

ML

Ву

cw

Ву

ML

Prepared

08/31/2021 10:16

08/31/2021 10:16

Prepared

09/13/2021 12:14

**Prepared** 

09/01/2021 13:38



**Analytical Results** 

Client ID: ELS CLIENT

**Date Collected:** 08/30/2021 11:06 **Date Received:** 08/30/2021 13:22

Matrix: Drinking Water

**Lab ID:** Q2123436001 **Sample ID:** WHITLEY 20 S

WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY

Location:

Sample Type: SAMPLE

LLC

Project ID: ELS CLIENT 2020

Facility: Sample Point:

Total Coliform by Colilert (SM9223B, IDEXX)

| Parameter         | Results | Units | MRL  | LOD  | ML | DF | Prepared         | Ву  | Analyzed         | Ву  | Qualifier |  |
|-------------------|---------|-------|------|------|----|----|------------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------|--|
| Residual Chlorine | 0.00    | mg/L  |      |      |    | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB | N         |  |
| Total Coliform    | Present | P/A   | 1.00 | 1.00 |    | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB |           |  |
| Ecoli             | Absent  | P/A   | 1.00 | 1.00 |    | 1  | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB | 08/30/2021 15:15 | MAB |           |  |

#### pH (SM4500-H+B, pH @ 25°C)

| Parameter   | Results | Units | MRL  | LOD  | ML | DF | Prepared         | Ву | Analyzed         | Ву | Qualifier |
|-------------|---------|-------|------|------|----|----|------------------|----|------------------|----|-----------|
| рН          | 8.64    | рН    | 0.00 | 0.00 |    | 1  | 09/03/2021 00:00 | ME | 09/03/2021 00:00 | ME | N         |
| Temperature | 19.2    | С     |      |      |    | 1  | 09/03/2021 00:00 | ME | 09/03/2021 00:00 | ME | N         |

#### **Sample Comments**

General Comments for METHOD SM4500-H+B, pH - Defined as a field parameter, measurement must be taken within 15 minutes of collection. Results are provided for information purposes only.

# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: MET/8716

**Preparation Method:** E200.8, ICP-MS **Associated Lab IDs:** Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E200.

E200.8, ICP-MS

#### Method Reporting Limit Check (1653870)

|                 |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter       | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Arsenic Total   | mg/L  | 0.001  | 0.0011       | 107.0     | 50 - 150         | _         |
| Copper Total    | mg/L  | 0.001  | 0.001        | 98.6      | 50 - 150         |           |
| Lead Total      | mg/L  | 0.001  | 0.001        | 102.0     | 50 - 150         |           |
| Manganese Total | mg/L  | 0.001  | 0.001        | 101.0     | 50 - 150         |           |
| Zinc Total      | mg/L  | 0.001  | 0.0008       | 79.3      | 50 - 150         |           |

#### Method Reporting Limit Check (1653871)

|                |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter      | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Aluminum Total | mg/L  | 0.005  | 0.0047       | 93.5      | 50 - 150         |           |
| Zinc Total     | mg/L  | 0.005  | 0.0049       | 98.2      | 50 - 150         |           |

# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: MET/8716

**Preparation Method:** E200.8, ICP-MS Prep **Associated Lab IDs:** Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E200.8, ICP-MS

| I aborator | v Fortified Rlank   | (1653385) · I ah | Fortified Rlank Di    | ıplicate (1653386)  |
|------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Lunciator  | , i vi ulica plalik | ( ) COCOOO), Luc | I VI UII CU DIUIIN DU | ipiicate ( i voodo) |

| Parameter       | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD       | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Aluminum Total  | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0559          | 112.0              | 85 - 115            | 0.0571              | 114.0                  | 2.12      | 20        |           |
| Arsenic Total   | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0491          | 98.1               | 85 - 115            | 0.0489              | 97.9                   | 0.40<br>8 | 20        |           |
| Copper Total    | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.05            | 99.9               | 85 - 115            | 0.0509              | 102.0                  | 1.78      | 20        |           |
| Lead Total      | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0509          | 102.0              | 85 - 115            | 0.0514              | 103.0                  | 0.97<br>8 | 20        |           |
| Manganese Total | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0504          | 101.0              | 85 - 115            | 0.051               | 102.0                  | 1.18      | 20        |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Blank (1653385)

| Parameter  | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result | Spike<br>Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Zinc Total | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0506       | 101.0              | 85 - 115         |           |

#### Laboratory Reagent Blank(1653384)

| Parameter       | Units | Results  | MRL   | LOD    | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Aluminum Total  | mg/L  | <0.00500 | 0.005 | 0.002  |           |
| Arsenic Total   | mg/L  | <0.00100 | 0.001 | 0.0004 |           |
| Copper Total    | mg/L  | <0.00100 | 0.001 | 0.0004 |           |
| Lead Total      | mg/L  | <0.00100 | 0.001 | 0.0004 |           |
| Manganese Total | mg/L  | <0.00100 | 0.001 | 0.0004 |           |
| Zinc Total      | mg/L  | <0.00500 | 0.005 | 0.002  |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Matrix (1653387); Lab Fortified Matrix Duplicate (1653388); Original: Q2123608001

| Parameter       | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD  | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Aluminum Total  | mg/L  | 0.05             | 1.49            | 284.0              | 70 - 130            | 1.47                | 241.0                  | 1.35 | 20        | SH        |
| Arsenic Total   | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0486          | 93.8               | 70 - 130            | 0.0476              | 91.7                   | 2.08 | 20        |           |
| Copper Total    | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0642          | 92.4               | 70 - 130            | 0.0625              | 88.9                   | 2.68 | 20        |           |
| Lead Total      | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0573          | 104.0              | 70 - 130            | 0.0563              | 102.0                  | 1.76 | 20        |           |
| Manganese Total | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.197           | 89.2               | 70 - 130            | 0.192               | 79.4                   | 2.57 | 20        |           |
| Zinc Total      | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.176           | 96.6               | 70 - 130            | 0.172               | 87.8                   | 2.3  | 20        |           |



# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch:

MET/8724

E200.7 Metals, Trace Elements

Preparation Method: Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E200.7 Metals, Trace Elements

| Parameter  | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result | Spike<br>Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Iron Total | mg/L  | 0.05             | 0.0509       | 102.0              | 50 - 150         |           |
|            |       |                  |              |                    |                  |           |

#### Method Reporting Limit Check (1654302)

| Parameter       | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result | Spike<br>Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Calcium Total   | mg/L  | 0.2              | 0.187        | 93.5               | 50 - 150         |           |
| Magnesium Total | mg/L  | 0.2              | 0.219        | 110.0              | 50 - 150         |           |

# **Quality Control Results**

MET/8724 QC Batch:

**Preparation Method:** E200.7 Prep Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E200.7 Metals, Trace Elements

#### Laboratory Fortified Blank (1653409); Lab Fortified Blank Duplicate (1653410)

| Parameter       | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD  | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Calcium Total   | mg/L  | 10.0             | 10.8            | 108.0              | 85 - 115            | 11.1                | 111.0                  | 2.74 | 20        |           |
| Iron Total      | mg/L  | 1.0              | 1.08            | 108.0              | 85 - 115            | 1.11                | 111.0                  | 2.74 | 20        |           |
| Magnesium Total | mg/L  | 10.0             | 10.8            | 108.0              | 85 - 115            | 11.1                | 111.0                  | 2.74 | 20        |           |

#### Laboratory Reagent Blank(1653411)

| Parameter       | Units | Results | MRL  | LOD  | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|---------|------|------|-----------|
| Calcium Total   | mg/L  | <0.200  | 0.2  | 0.07 |           |
| Iron Total      | mg/L  | <0.0500 | 0.05 | 0.02 |           |
| Magnesium Total | mg/L  | <0.200  | 0.2  | 0.07 |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Matrix (1653412); Lab Fortified Matrix Duplicate (1653413); Original: Q2123628002

| Parameter       | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD       | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Calcium Total   | mg/L  | 10.0             | 12.8            | 112.0              | 70 - 130            | 12.8                | 112.0                  | 0.0       | 20        |           |
| Iron Total      | mg/L  | 1.0              | 1.13            | 113.0              | 70 - 130            | 1.15                | 115.0                  | 1.75      | 20        |           |
| Magnesium Total | mg/L  | 10.0             | 11.4            | 111.0              | 70 - 130            | 11.5                | 111.0                  | 0.87<br>3 | 20        |           |

# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: WET/24882

**Preparation Method:** E300.0, Anions **Associated Lab IDs:** Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E300.0, Anions

#### Method Reporting Limit Check (1650983)

|                |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter      | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Nitrite (as N) | mg/L  | 0.01   | 0.0115       | 115.0     | 50 - 150         |           |
| Nitrate (as N) | mg/L  | 0.01   | 0.0085       | 85.0      | 50 - 150         |           |
| Sulfate        | mg/L  | 1.0    | 0.891        | 89.1      | 50 - 150         |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Blank (1650984)

|                |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter      | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Nitrite (as N) | mg/L  | 1.0    | 1.03         | 103.0     | 90 - 110         |           |
| Nitrate (as N) | mg/L  | 1.0    | 1.0          | 100.0     | 90 - 110         |           |
| Sulfate        | mg/L  | 30.0   | 29.6         | 98.6      | 90 - 110         |           |

#### Limit of Quantitation Check (1650985)

|                |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter      | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Nitrite (as N) | mg/L  | 0.02   | 0.0179       | 89.5      | 70 - 130         |           |
| Nitrate (as N) | mg/L  | 0.02   | 0.0166       | 83.0      | 70 - 130         |           |
| Sulfate        | mg/L  | 5.0    | 4.21         | 84.1      | 70 - 130         |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Matrix (1650986); Lab Fortified Matrix Duplicate (1650987); Original: Q2123436001

| Parameter      | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD       | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Nitrite (as N) | mg/L  | 1.0              | 0.0             | 0.0                | 80 - 120            | 0.0                 | 0.0                    | 0.0       | 20        | SL        |
| Nitrate (as N) | mg/L  | 1.0              | 0.975           | 97.5               | 80 - 120            | 0.976               | 97.6                   | 0.10<br>3 | 20        |           |
| Sulfate        | mg/L  | 20.0             | 48.9            | 90.0               | 80 - 120            | 49.9                | 94.9                   | 2.02      | 20        |           |

# Laboratory Reagent Blank(1650981)

| Parameter      | Units | Results | MRL  | LOD   | Qualifier |
|----------------|-------|---------|------|-------|-----------|
| Nitrite (as N) | mg/L  | <0.0100 | 0.01 | 0.005 |           |
| Nitrate (as N) | mg/L  | <0.0100 | 0.01 | 0.005 |           |
| Sulfate        | mg/L  | <1.00   | 1.0  | 0.5   |           |

# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch:

WET/24887

**Preparation Method:** 

E300.0, Anions Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: E300.0, Anions

Limit of Quantitation Check (1651457)

| Parameter | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result | Spike<br>Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|-----------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Chloride  | mg/L  | 5.0              | 3.99         | 79.9               | 70 - 130         |           |
| Fluoride  | mg/L  | 0.02             | 0.02         | 100.0              | 70 - 130         |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Blank (1651456)

| Parameter | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result | Spike<br>Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|-----------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Chloride  | mg/L  | 30.0             | 29.3         | 97.8               | 90 - 110         |           |
| Fluoride  | mg/L  | 1.0              | 1.04         | 104.0              | 90 - 110         |           |

#### Laboratory Fortified Matrix (1651458); Lab Fortified Matrix Duplicate (1651459); Original: Q2123473001

| Parameter | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike<br>Result | %Spike<br>Recovery | Control<br>Limits % | Duplicate<br>Result | %Duplicate<br>Recovery | RPD       | RPD Limit | Qualifier |
|-----------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Chloride  | mg/L  | 20.0             | 55.0            | 91.3               | 80 - 120            | 54.9                | 90.9                   | 0.18<br>2 | 20        |           |
| Fluoride  | mg/L  | 1.0              | 1.18            | 93.5               | 80 - 120            | 1.17                | 91.9                   | 0.85<br>1 | 20        |           |

#### Method Reporting Limit Check (1651455)

|           |       | Spiked |              | Spike     |                  |           |
|-----------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Units | Amount | Spike Result | Recovery% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Chloride  | mg/L  | 1.0    | 0.691        | 69.1      | 50 - 150         |           |
| Fluoride  | mg/L  | 0.01   | 0.0127       | 127.0     | 50 - 150         |           |

#### Laboratory Reagent Blank(1651453)

| Parameter | Units | Results | MRL  | LOD   | Qualifier |
|-----------|-------|---------|------|-------|-----------|
| Chloride  | mg/L  | <1.00   | 1.0  | 0.5   |           |
| Fluoride  | mg/L  | <0.0100 | 0.01 | 0.005 |           |



# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: WET/24890

Preparation Method: SM2510B, Conductivity @ 25°C

Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: SM2510B, Conductivity @ 25°C

#### Lab Control Sample (1651614)

| Parameter                                 | Units   | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike    | e Result | - 1    | pike<br>overy% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|---|---------|------------------|----------|----------|--------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| Specific Conductance                      | umho/cm | 1000.0           | 9        | 79.0     | 97.9   |                | 70 - 130         |           |
| Duplicate (1651615); Original Q2123436001 |         |                  |          |          |        |                |                  |           |
| Parameter                                 | Uni     | ts               | Original | Dup      | licate | RPD            | RPD Limit        | Qualifier |
| Specific Conductance                      | umho    | /cm              | 2030.0   | 19       | 90.0   | 1.99           | 20               |           |
| Method Blank(1651616)                     |         |                  |          |          |        |                |                  |           |
| Parameter                                 |         | Units            |          | Results  |        | MRL            | LOD              | Qualifier |
| Specific Conductance                      |         | umho/cm          |          | <10.0    |        | 10.0           | 10.0             |           |



# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: WET/24899

Preparation Method: SM2540C, TDS Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: SM2540C, TDS

| Matrix Spike | (1652220); | Original: | Q2123328016 |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|

| Parameter                                 | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike Result<br>1030.0 |          | Spike<br>Recovery%<br>258.0 |                | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
|---|-------|------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids(TDS)               | mg/L  | 400.0            |                        |          |                             |                | 70 - 130         | SH        |
| Duplicate (1652219); Original Q2123328016 |       |                  |                        |          |                             |                |                  |           |
| Parameter                                 |       | Units            | Original               | Dupl     | icate                       | RPD            | RPD Limit        | Qualifier |
| Total Dissolved Solids(TDS)               |       | mg/L             | 0.0                    | 67:      | 3.0                         | 200.0          | 20               |           |
| Method Blank(1652204)                     |       |                  |                        |          |                             |                |                  |           |
| Parameter                                 |       | Units            |                        | Results  |                             | MRL            | LOD              | Qualifier |
| Total Dissolved Solids(TDS)               |       | mg/L             |                        | <25.0    |                             | 25.0           | 10.0             |           |
| Lab Control Sample (1652205)              |       |                  |                        |          |                             |                |                  |           |
| Parameter                                 | Units | Spiked<br>Amount | Spike                  | e Result |                             | pike<br>overy% | Control Limits % | Qualifier |
| Total Dissolved Solids(TDS)               | mg/L  | 400.0            | 403.0                  |          | 101.0                       |                | 80 - 120         | •         |



# **Quality Control Results**

QC Batch: WET/24919

Preparation Method: SM4500-H+B, pH @ 25°C

Associated Lab IDs: Q2123436001

Analysis Method: SM4500-H+B, pH @ 25°C

#### Duplicate (1653511); Original Q2123436001

| Parameter   | Units | Original | Duplicate | RPD  | RPD Limit | Qualifier |  |
|-------------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| рН          | рН    | 8.64     | 8.64      | 0.0  | 20        |           |  |
| Temperature | С     | 19.2     | 19.4      | 1.04 |           |           |  |

#### **QC Sample Comments**

#### Duplicate - 1653511

General Comments for METHOD SM4500-H+B, pH - Defined as a field parameter, measurement must be taken within 15 minutes of collection. Results are provided for information purposes only.

# **QC Cross Reference**

| Lab ID                        | Sample ID                         | Prep Batch | Prep Method         |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| MET/8716 - E200.8, ICP-MS     |                                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | MEP/11408  | E200.8, ICP-MS Prep |
| MET/8724 - E200.7 Metals, Tra | nce Elements                      |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC | MEP/11409  | E200.7 Prep         |
| MIC/6364 - SM9223B, IDEXX     |                                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |
| WET/24882 - E300.0, Anions    |                                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |
| WET/24887 - E300.0, Anions    |                                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |
| WET/24890 - SM2510B, Condu    | uctivity @ 25°C                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |
| WET/24899 - SM2540C, TDS      |                                   |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |
| WET/24919 - SM4500-H+B, pH    | 1 @ 25°C                          |            |                     |
| Q2123436001                   | WHITLEY 20 STILLMAN VALLEY<br>LLC |            |                     |

End of Report







| 0 A //  | Request for                                       | Testin      | ıg               |  |                  |  |
|---|---|-------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Please complete and return one form for each sa                       | structions on Page 2                              | for samp    | le collection.]  |  |                  |  |
| Name: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /                           | imple location (i.e.,                             | kitchen     | sink, outdoor    | r faucet, well hea   | id, etc).        |  |
| Name: Whit/ey Is Stillman Valley L. Address: 2/400 Windmill Reach Ave | 16  | E-1V        | brad             | -denkhau   | seyakos.com      |  |
| City DA   | 0.4   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| TTIVE COUNTE  | State.  |             |                  | Zip: 78  | 660              |  |
| Home Phone: (5/2) 744-797/  | Work Phone:                                       | , ,         |                  | AQ = Aqueor  | ode One<br>us    |  |
| Sample Collection Date: 8/30/21                                       | Sample Co   | llection    | Time: //:        | 66 Am DW = Drinkir   | g Water          |  |
| Sample Location:  |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| TEST REQUESTED  |   |             | PRICE            | QUANTITY   | TOTAL            |  |
| Total Coliform – E.coli (Presence/Absence)                            |   |             | \$45             | i  |                  |  |
| Method 300.0 (Chloride, Fluoride, Nitrite, Nitrate                    | , Sulfate)  |             | \$60             | 1  |                  |  |
| Method 200.7 (Iron, Calcium, Magnesium, Ha                            | rdness, calculation                               | n)          | \$60             | 1  |                  |  |
| Method 200.8 - LIST METALS  |   |             | \$60             | ]  |                  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids  |   |             | \$46             | }  | 1                |  |
| Total Organic Carbon (TOC)  |   |             | \$40             |  |                  |  |
| рН  |   |             | \$42             | 1  | 1                |  |
| Other (Write in): Canductivity  |   |             | \$ 40            | /  | l                |  |
| Other (vvrite in):  |   |             | \$               |  |                  |  |
| Other (Write in):   |   |             | \$               |  |                  |  |
| Other (Write in):   |   |             | \$               |  |                  |  |
|   |   |             | Subtotal /       | <b>Analytical Cost</b>   | \$ 353.00        |  |
|   |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES   |   |             | Pf               | RICE   | TOTAL            |  |
| Bottle Kit/cooler shipment  |   |             |                  | 520  | TOTAL            |  |
| Sampling Services   |   |             |                  | 25/hr.   |                  |  |
| Weekend/After-hours surcharge (applies to all analy                   | tical consisces)                                  |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| Westerna Andre Hours Surcharge (applies to all arialy                 | ucai services)                                    |             | •                | 250  |                  |  |
| Turnaround Time Requested   |   |             | PRICE M          | ULTIPLIER  | TOTAL            |  |
| Normal Turnaround (7-10 Working Days)                                 |   |             | 1 x L            | ist Price  | \$               |  |
| Rush Turnaround (4-6 Working Days)                                    |   |             |                  | of service   | \$               |  |
| Urgent Turnaround (2-3 Working Days)                                  |   |             |                  | of service   | \$               |  |
|   |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
|   |   |             | l otal Ana       | llytical Cost  | 353.00           |  |
|   |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| NOTE: Relinquishing sample(s) to ELS obligates the submitter to a     | ill ELS Standard Terms ar                         | nd Conditio | ns stated on the | back of this form.   |                  |  |
| For Laboratory Use Only   |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| For Laboratory Use Only: Sample Relinquished By                       | Date/Time   |             | Pacain           | ed Temp.: , °C   | ICE:(Y) N        |  |
| N/ 10   | x 56 6  | 11-2-       | Oan Hoceiv       | 11.6   | ICE.(I) IV       |  |
| Sample Regeived By:   | Date/Time /                                       | . 22/       | Derive           | d Temp.: N →°C   | Thermometer: 129 |  |
| Cellingaline  | 8/30/21   | 1:22        | on               | 116  | 12-3             |  |
| U   | A second second second second second              |             | 1-1              | and the same of th |                  |  |
| Payment Information:  | en in demonstrative annihilation in management in |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| Amount received: \$357 Check No. 075337                               | ayment Received By:                               |             | Payment Recei    | ,  | Work Order No.   |  |
| 335   | ( A   | •           | 8/30/2           | \  |                  |  |
| Visa/MC Approval No. 17537 T  | · ·   |             | •                |  |                  |  |
| 0 (3)23   |   |             |                  |  |                  |  |
| Comments:   |   |             |                  | 1 188181 0   |                  |  |